WILL THE EU DOOM OUR OCEAN & FISHERS?

#StopHarmfulSubsidies
#EMFF
CAPACITY-ENHANCING subsidies are not compatible with the objectives of environmental, social and economic sustainability of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) of the European Union (EU). In 2004, European institutions made a bold and historical move when they banned one of the most harmful fisheries subsidies (see page 7), those financing the construction of new vessels. With this decision, the EU became one of the leading voices on fisheries sustainability in international forums, and particularly within the World Trade Organization (WTO), which, since 2001, has been engaged in negotiations to secure a multilateral agreement banning harmful subsidies by 31 December 2019, as mandated by the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 14.6.

Fifteen years after the ban on construction subsidies, the status of some European fish stocks has improved. However, with fish biomass still low and overfishing still widespread, European institutions are on the verge of taking a spectacularly dramatic step backwards: both the European Parliament and Council of the EU (i.e. the Member States’ fisheries ministers) want to re-authorise capacity-enhancing subsidies, as part of the next European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF, 2021–2027), most notably construction subsidies. If this decision were to go through, not only would the credibility of the EU at the international level be irremediably damaged, it would also pose a serious threat to the future of European marine ecosystems and the coastal communities whose livelihoods rely on them.
OUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO AVOID DOOMING OUR OCEAN’S AND FISHERS’ FUTURE

In line with the EU’s pledges to **tackle the issues of overcapacity and overfishing** when reforming the CFP both in 2003 and 2013, to bring an end to harmful fisheries subsidies when adopting SDG 14.6 in 2015, and in continuity with a policy the EU pioneered almost 15 years ago, **we urge the European Parliament and the Council of the EU to:**

- **Reject harmful subsidies to build new fishing vessels;**
- **Reject capacity-enhancing subsidies for the modernisation of vessels and replacement of engines;**
- **Ensure that >25% of the budget are allocated to environmental protection, restoration, and marine knowledge;**
- **Increase to >25% the budget for control, monitoring and data collection;**
- **Increase transparency on how the EMFF is used by beneficiaries so as to avoid any detrimental misuse.**

**INVOlVING EUROPEAN CITIZENs IN THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS**

At every stage of the decision-making process on the EMFF, EU citizens will have the opportunity to call on key European decision-makers with the iPolitics platform.

https://ipoliticseu.bloomassociation.org
**CONSTRUCTION OF NEW VESSELS**

- **European Commission:** No construction subsidies. Support for the first acquisition of a second-hand fishing vessel by a young small-scale coastal fisher.

**ENGINE REPLACEMENT OR MODERNISATION**

- **European Commission:** Subsidy available to small-scale coastal fisheries only.

**ON BOARD INVESTMENTS**

- **European Commission:** No investments that increase the fishing capacity or the ability to find fish.

**PORTION OF THE BUDGET DEDICATED TO ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION, RESTORATION AND KNOWLEDGE**

- **European Commission:** No dedicated portion of the fund.

**PORTION OF THE BUDGET DEDICATED TO CONTROL, MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION**

- **European Commission:** At least 15% of the budget dedicated to control, monitoring and data collection.

**PERMANENT CESSATION OF FISHING ACTIVITIES**

- **European Commission:** Permanent cessations with strict conditions.

**EXTRAORDINARY CESSATION OF FISHING ACTIVITIES**

- **European Commission:** Extraordinary cessations with strict conditions.

---

1 Small-scale coastal fishing means "fishing carried out by fishing vessels of an overall length of less than 12 metres and not using towed gear as listed in Article 2(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006, i.e. trawl nets, boat seines, shore seines, and dredges."

2 Only grant this aid by financing not linked to costs + the fishing vessel is registered as active and has carried out fishing activities at sea for at least 120 days in each of the last three calendar years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT</strong></th>
<th><strong>COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION</strong></th>
<th><strong>NGOs’ RED LINES</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reintroduction of construction subsidies for small-scale coastal fishing vessels ¹</td>
<td>Reintroduction of subsidies incentivizing the construction for vessels below 24 meters by weakening the conditions laid down by the European Commission</td>
<td>No subsidies supporting or incentivizing the construction of new fishing vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy available to all fishing vessels</td>
<td>Subsidy available to all fishing vessels below 24 meters</td>
<td>No subsidies for the replacement or modernisation of engines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of broad exceptions allowing investments that increase the fishing capacity or the ability to find fish</td>
<td>Introduction of broad exceptions allowing investments that increase the fishing capacity or the ability to find fish</td>
<td>No investments that increase the fishing capacity or the ability to find fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 25% of the budget dedicated to environment protection, restoration and knowledge</td>
<td>No dedicated portion of the fund</td>
<td>At least 25% of the budget dedicated to environment protection, restoration and knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 15% of the budget dedicated to control, monitoring and data collection</td>
<td>At least 15% of the budget dedicated to control, monitoring and data collection</td>
<td>At least 25% of the budget dedicated to control, monitoring and data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent cessations with weakened conditions on eligible vessels</td>
<td>Permanent cessations with weakened conditions on eligible vessels and implementation requirements</td>
<td>Maintain the strict conditions laid down by the Commission for this ambiguous subsidy, the effectiveness of which has been called into question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation into ‘temporary cessations’ with weakened conditions</td>
<td>Transformation into ‘temporary cessations’ with weakened conditions</td>
<td>Maintain the strict conditions laid down by the Commission for this ambiguous subsidy, the effectiveness of which has been called into question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Activities of the vessel stopped during at least 90 consecutive days + the economic losses resulting from the cessation amount to more than 30% of the annual turnover + the support may be granted for a maximum duration of 6 months per vessel over 2021-2027 + type of cessations
Every seven years, European institutions revise their ‘Multiannual Financial Framework’ (MFF), the overall budget allocated to the different EU policies. As part of the revision, the European Commission published its proposal for the next EMFF (2021–2027) in June 2018. This structural fund will have a budget of more than EUR 6 billion and will determine the future of how our ocean is managed and protected. Since the proposal’s publication, both the European Parliament and the Council of the EU have established their respective positions for the next EMFF, with all three institutions due to find common ground and adopt the next EMFF by the end of 2020.

While the original European Commission’s proposal is far from perfect, it does represent a step in the right direction with incentivising a transition to fishing with lower environmental impact and high socio-economic value. It is also leaning towards the commitment made by the EU to respect the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially SDG 10 ‘Reduced Inequalities’, SDG 12 ‘Responsible Consumption and Production’, and SDG 14 ‘Life below water’. However, the faint progress offered in the European Commission’s proposal is severely jeopardised by the disastrous amendments made by both the European Parliament and Council of the EU to reintroduce harmful subsidies to build new vessels and modernise existing ones. These amendments not only represent a serious threat to the future of EU communities and marine ecosystems, they also undermine the credibility and negotiation position of the EU at the international level by going against the United Nations’ SDGs.

The elimination of harmful fisheries subsidies has been a central topic of negotiations at the World Trade Organization (WTO) framework since 1998. There is growing evidence of detrimental impacts caused by harmful subsidies on the state of marine resources that ripples into negative social and economic consequences for fishing communities, food security, and political stability. This has allowed the international community to recognize and accept that cutting harmful subsidies is crucial if we are to give a chance to sustainable and equitable fisheries.

In 2001, WTO Member States agreed to address the issue of fisheries subsidies. However, no agreement has yet been reached despite the recognition of its importance in many political declarations including the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation (2002), the Rio+20 Declaration of 2012, and, more recently, the SDGs for 2030 adopted by the United Nations in September 2015.

After twenty years of negotiations, the WTO is about to conclude a multilateral, global agreement to eliminate harmful fisheries subsidies. The EU cannot choose this historical moment to betray its ambitions and international commitments to secure more sustainable practices for the world’s fisheries.

If the EU were to adopt the current amendments proposed by both the European Parliament and Council of the EU for the next EMFF, it risks jeopardising a WTO agreement to eliminate harmful subsidies globally. Such a decision would signify to the world that the EU is not standing by its commitments to the SDGs.
WHAT IS A ‘HARMFUL’ SUBSIDY?

Certain types of subsidies contribute to fishing overcapacity (that is, too much technology and effort deployed to catch finite fish stocks), and thus directly lead to overfishing. These subsidies are known as ‘harmful subsidies’. They account for over 60% of global fisheries subsidies (USD 22 billion — around EUR 20 billion — annually). They include any subsidy that reduces the operational costs of the fishing sector such as building new vessels or increasing the efficiency of fishing gear. They can also be subsidies provided to fishing companies and vessels that engage in detrimental practices such as marine habitat degradation, slavery, or that catch large proportion of species not intended for sale (i.e. bycatch) or threatened/endangered species.

But not all subsidies are ‘harmful’. On the contrary, certain subsidies lead to investment in natural capital assets. In stark contrast with ‘harmful’ subsidies, these ‘positive’ subsidies ensure the optimum use of the finite resources of our ocean’s diverse species. They include, for instance, subsidies aimed at improving monitoring, control and surveillance programs of fishing vessels, fisheries research and development, and fishery habitat enhancement programmes.

STATE OF EU FISH STOCKS

According to the latest data available, 69% of EU stocks remain under too much pressure to maximise catches year after year (Maximum Sustainable Yield, or MSY, which forms the central pillar of the current CFP).* The Mediterranean Sea is the most overexploited EU area, with almost 90% of stocks currently overfished.** When looking at additional fisheries indicators, only 12% of EU fish stocks are fished in such a manner that the sustainable objectives of the CFP are respected.*

Harmful subsidies account for **33.8%** of the planned funds, and beneficial ones for **45.1%**

(the rest being ambiguous, i.e. can be either one depending on the use)

**€4.6 BILLION PLANNED**

**(2.2 ALLOCATED)**

**FOR THE 2014-2020 PERIOD**

- Latvia, Hungary, and Slovakia have allocated the highest proportion of harmful subsidies (incl. national counterpart), with **62.3%**, **64.4%**, and **65.1%** respectively

- Spain, France, and Italy have allocated the most harmful subsidies (incl. national counterpart), with **457.4**, **267.6**, and **248.8 million euros** respectively

Actual spendings greatly vary by member state, from **7.3%** for Czech Republic to **44.1%** for Ireland

* Data from the European Commission, EMFF (2014-2020) as of 31/12/2018.

** EU fleet register, as of July 2019.