

Action Plan for the conservation and restoration of the European sturgeon



FAO

(Acipenser sturio)

Document prepared by
WWF-France, WSCS, GRS, IGB, Cemagref, Epidor
Final version edited by H. Rosenthal, P. Bronzi, J. Gessner,
D. Moreau, E. Rochard

CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF EUROPEAN
WILDLIFE AND NATURAL HABITATS (BERN CONVENTION)

Nature and environment, No. 152

Council of Europe Publishing

For a full list of titles in this series, please see the back of the book.

The opinions expressed in this work are the responsibility of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Directorate of Culture and Cultural and Natural Heritage.

All requests concerning the reproduction or translation of all or part of the document should be addressed to the Public Information and Publications Division, Directorate of Communication (F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex or publishing@coe.int). All other correspondence concerning this publication should be addressed to the Directorate of Culture and Cultural and Natural Heritage.

Cover design: Graphic Design Workshop, Council of Europe
Cover image: BIOS Agency (see photo credits at the back of the book)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

I. INTRODUCTION

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIESX

2.1 Phylogeny

2.2 Species Identification

2.2.1 Morphological Characteristics

2.2.2 Morphometric Characteristics

2.2.3 Genetic identification

2.2.4 Behavioural Characteristics

2.3 Life Cycle

2.3.1 Fertilised eggs and free embryos

2.3.2 The fry stage

2.3.3 The juvenile stage

2.3.4 The late juvenile stage

2.3.5 The Adults

III. HISTORICAL RANGE

3.1 Reasons for the decline

3.2 Current situation

IV. PROBLEM ANALYSIS: RISKS AND THREATS AFFECTING THE NATURAL POPULATION

4.1 Catches

4.2 Man-made alterations of rivers and estuaries

4.3 Environmental pollution of rivers and estuaries

4.4 Risks associated with low population densities

4.5 Introduction of allochthonous species

4.6 Climate change

V. LEGAL ASPECTS

5.1 Global instruments

5.1.1 The Convention on Migratory Species (CMS, Bonn Convention, 1979)

5.1.2 The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES, Washington Convention, 1973)

5.1.3 The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance

especially as Waterflow Habitat (Ramsar Convention, 1971)

5.1.4 The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 1992)

5.1.5 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS, 1982)

5.1.6 The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO)

5.2 Regional instruments

5.2.1 The Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention, 1979)

5.2.2 The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention)

5.2.3 The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean (Barcelona Convention, 1976)

5.2.4 European Community Laws and Regulations

5.2.4.1 *Community legislation for species and habitats*

5.2.4.2 *Community regulation concerning common fisheries policy (CFP)*

5.2.4.3 *Council Resolution concerning alien and locally absent species*

5.2.4.4 *European Commission Communication on Biodiversity 2010 and beyond*

5.2.4.5 *Community regulation concerning the ecological quality of water*

5.3.3 National Regulations

VI. OBJECTIVES AND MEASURES

6.1 Goals and overall objective

6.2 Actions and expected results

6.2.1 Component 1: *In-situ* conservation of *A. sturio*

6.2.2 Component 2: Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats

6.2.3 Component 3: *Ex-situ* conservation and re-introduction of *A. sturio*

6.2.4. Component 4: International Cooperation

VII. OVERCOMING GAPS IN SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE NEEDED FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTION PLAN

VIII. REFERENCES CITED

ANNEX 1 LIST OF EXEMPLARY CRITICAL HABITATS FOR *A. STURIO*
INCLUDING SITES DESIGNATED OR PLANNED TO BE DESIGNATED UNDER
NATURA 2000

ANNEX 2 ACTIONS, MEASURES, INDICATORS AND MILESTONES

ANNEX 3 RECOMMENDATION NO. 127 (2007) ON THE
CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION OF THE EUROPEAN
STURGEON

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio* L.1758) is an anadromous (migratory) fish, spending most of its life in marine waters while returning to its native river for reproduction. The vernacular name “common sturgeon” reflects an earlier status as a well known species with high economic importance across its former range. Of all sturgeon species in Europe, *A. sturio* historically had the largest area of distribution . The status of the species has completely changed during the last century.

Besides man-made changes to rivers such as embankments, the construction of hydrodams (which block the upstream migration to spawning sites), and pollution overharvest has been identified to be among the key elements that caused the dramatic decline of the populations.

There are **five primary problem areas** impacting on survival and reproductive efficiency of the species (ranked in approximate order of importance):

- accidental catch (bycatch) and illegal fishing (poaching) are critical to the survival of very limited number of remaining individuals;
- drastic changes of hydrologic and hydrodynamic regimes in rivers and estuaries (i.e. sand and gravel extraction, dyking and channelization, hydrodams and navigation barriers) are greatly affecting spawning and nursery habitats and block migration to spawning sites;
- environmental pollution (i.e. agricultural, domestic and industrial wastes in rivers and estuaries) drastically affects the reproductive success;
- restriction of the chances for natural populations to effectively reproduce due to very small size of the highly dispersed remaining population (Allee effect); this would be enhanced even further with continued catches additionally reducing the mating probability
- interspecific competition with allochthonous species, disease transfer, and potentially risk of hybridization pose additional threats to the dwindling natural population, particularly if introductions are not reduced to negligible levels;

Additionally, climate change may also have an impact on future performance characteristics of the species.

The current situation with only one known remaining population worldwide in France, in the Gironde, Garonne and Dordogne basin, is dramatic. Although the size of the North-East Atlantic population remains unknown it is commonly admitted that this population is probably limited to no more than just a few hundred individuals. **All indicators concur that this**

is now one of the most threatened fish species in Europe, being in critical danger of extinction.

It has to be noted that a small population is more at risk of extinction than a large one. Continuous environmental change (environmental stochasticity), requires a minimal potential for adaptation. It is more likely that a larger population contains the entire genetic diversity, providing a better fitness for adaptation to environmental alterations. The longer the period with a critically small population lasts (genetic bottleneck), the higher the risk for loss of fitness for survival and subsequent risk of extinction.

Additionally, the Allee effect (Myers *et al.* 1995) predicts a negative growth rate at extremely low population sizes even if all environmental factors are favourable. Low numbers limit the chances for spawning encounters. This effect is compounded for sturgeons since adults only reproduce at long intervals. It is estimated that females mate only two or three times per decade, additionally limiting the encounters of mature fish at low population size.

Even the loss of one single adult specimen would be significant, compromising the future of the species at the currently critical state of the population.

Therefore, action is needed now to halt this downward trend.

To support a self-sustaining population of the European sturgeon is an obligation to which European member states have jointly and individually made a variety of serious commitments which also would allow the re-establishment of the species in key areas of its former natural range. These measures would also provide an important contribution to maintain biodiversity. This species with its diverse habitat requirements and high longevity can also serve as an indicator species, integrating over space and time the effectiveness of conservation measures.

Presently, a multi-task approach seems to offer the most promising options for rescuing and recovering the species. It is concluded that:

- (a) A consequent and massively supported *ex-situ* conservation programme to take advantage of the specimens already secured is inevitable,
- (b) An *in-situ* conservation programme aggressively enforced is needed to prevent further loss of the remaining specimens and also to recover them for improving the genetic diversity of the *ex-situ* brood stock,
- (c) A strategic (long-term) programme on habitat rehabilitation is required to assure that nursing and spawning sites meet the needs of the species and are accessible for the respective life cycle stages and

(c) A programme to re-establish self-sustaining populations in selected key areas within the historic range is aggressively promoted.

This Action Plan aims at preventing *A. sturio* from becoming extinct in Europe while subsequently reconstituting viable populations of the European sturgeon in its historic range through close national and international co-operation of range States, at all organisational levels. **The sequence of actions listed in this chapter resembles BY NO MEANS a priority listing. Many of the issues require simultaneous implementation of several actions while also some range states would need to place priorities in a different sequence than others.**

The plan has seven main conservation objectives which may be grouped under four general components. Details of the required measures for each of the actions are listed in Annex 1 under each of the objectives. Included are the responsible organisations, indicators of success and specific milestones to be reached. These components are:

- ✓ **Component 1** : *In-situ* conservation of *A. sturio*
- ✓ **Component 2** : Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats
- ✓ **Component 3** : *Ex-situ* conservation and re-introduction of *A. sturio*
- ✓ **Component 4**: International cooperation

The specific objectives and related actions for each of these components have been identified as follows:

Component 1: IN-SITU CONSERVATION OF *A. STURIO*

Objective 1: Significant reduction of fishing mortality

The support of fishermen to a programme of reduction of accidental captures and of *in-situ* monitoring of the species is critical to success of the Action Plan. The experience in France clearly demonstrates that this is both practicable and possible.

Objective 2: Effective control of allochthonous species

The introduction and transfer of non-indigenous species poses a critical threat to changes of biodiversity, a fact of equal importance to the loss of native species. The present rate of increasing reports of exotic sturgeon species (including hybrids) within the native range of *A. sturio* is alarming, as these non-native species may negatively affect the integrity of ecosystems in which the common sturgeon thrives, mainly competing for habitat and food resources.

Component 2: PROTECTION AND RESTORATION OF ESSENTIAL STURGEON HABITATS

Objective 3: Protecting and improving the quality and continuity of essential riverine and estuarine sturgeon habitats

Habitats previously utilized by *A. sturio* as spawning and nursery grounds in riverine and coastal waters have deteriorated and information on their status and option for rehabilitation is scarce to non-existing. Current attempts under OSPAR try to overcome this problem. There is an urgent need to improve the knowledge base on the subject while also seriously addressing issues on habitat fragmentation and needs for interconnections (“ecological footholds”).

Objective 4: Improvement of water quality

Water quality objectives certainly play an important role in habitat restoration and species recovery programmes. Our knowledge on requirements of various life cycle stages of sturgeons in terms of chemical and biological water quality criteria is fragmentary and needs urgent attention.

Component 3: EX-SITU CONSERVATION AND RE-INTRODUCTION OF *A. STURIO*

*Objective 5: Ex-situ conservation of *A. sturio**

Presently there seems to be no alternative but to pursue *ex-situ* conservation to save the common European sturgeon from becoming extinct while building up a broodstock sufficiently large for mass reproduction and develop rearing programmes for release of produced juveniles into restored habitats.

The ongoing and successful small-scale *ex-situ* production of the species from captive brood stock in June 2007 certainly signals a highly positive option to achieve the above listed objectives.

*Objective 6: Release of *A. sturio* for re-establishment or enhancement*

The ultimate goal of the objective is to re-establish self-sustaining populations in as many areas of its natural range as possible. These releases have to be (a) substantial in number because of the high natural mortality, (b) long-lasting (for decades) because of the late maturity of the species and its extreme longevity, (c) at the right “time-size-release window” to minimize mortalities, and (d) at habitats of strategic importance for nursing and imprinting (homing).

Component 4: INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION

Objective 7: Facilitation of international co-operation

The six conservation objectives above have to be complemented by the establishment of an appropriate co-operation mechanism between all stakeholders, including governmental agencies, research institutions and NGOs in range states actively involved in sturgeon conservation projects and long-term programmes. Therefore, it is proposed to set up a European Group possibly comprising such active members from range States, to specifically discuss and co-ordinate the implementation of the Action Plan and relevant national actions. The composition of the group may vary, depending on the number of ongoing projects and programmes in participating range states.

Actions, Indicators and Milestones

All of the respective Actions, the measures needed to fulfil them, the groups or organisations to whom these actions are addressed and finally the milestones and indicators that can serve to follow the progress and success of the Action Plan are presented in great detail in the Table in Annex 1.

Gaps in science and technology to be urgently addressed

Certain gaps in Science and Technology need to be urgently filled to properly implement the Action Plan for sturgeon conservation. Some of the required knowledge base has an over-arching significance for several of the Actions and their required measures. While they are mostly included or implied in the proposed measures presented in Annex 1, these knowledge gaps are also presented and justified in Chapter 7. They have to be addressed flexibly and directly within the proposed measures and/or independently carried out by national, international and EU-supported research projects. Such actions will greatly assist the achievement of the milestones and indicators in a timely fashion, thereby contributing to the survival and restoration of the European Atlantic or Common sturgeon as a European heritage.

I. INTRODUCTION

The European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio* L.1758) is an anadromous (migratory) fish, once one of the most widespread of sturgeon species. The vernacular name “common sturgeon” reflects an earlier status as a well known species with high economic importance across its former range. Status of the species has completely changed over the last century. It is now one of the most threatened fish species in Europe, being in a critical danger of extinction (Rochard *et al.* 1990). The species is now strictly protected (IUCN Red List) also under a number of International and European

legislations (e.g. CITES, Bern Convention, European Habitat Directive) as well as under national legislation in most countries of its historic range.

The European sturgeon is now restricted to only one known relict population in the Gironde, France. This population reproduces infrequently and reveals signs of a continuous, substantial decline over the last few decades. The main reasons for the dramatic decline of the species are anthropogenic activities such as overexploitation, modification of habitats and pollution.

The Action Plan attempts to arrest this decline and secure the future for this species, first in the Gironde and ultimately throughout the species historic range in general.

The sturgeon has a complex life cycle, requiring a variety of different habitats throughout its very long life span (Holčík *et al.* 1989; Dettlaff *et al.* 1993, Holčík, 2000). These characteristics make the species an ideally suited indicator for environmental quality and connectivity and an excellent model species for good ecological status in rivers, estuaries and marine waters as has been outlined in the Ramsar Declaration on Global Sturgeon Conservation (Beamesderfer and Farr 1997; Rosenthal *et al.* 2006).

A self-sustaining population of the European sturgeon would provide an important contribution to maintaining biodiversity, which is a strict obligation under a number of national and international regulations.

Finally, the species supported in the past large-scale fisheries in a variety of rivers in its former range. As an important socio-economic factor in the coastal communities it enjoyed an iconic status and is still very present in the minds of many people. Decreasing populations rendered the fishery uneconomic. Protection has banned any legal harvest for the last few decades. However, when viewed from a long-term perspective, (which according to experience with *A. oxyrinchus* recovery in the Hudson River might take 80 years), the idea that a restored sturgeon population could support a sustainable exploitation (St-Pierre 1999; Collins *et al.* 2000) still needs to be proven although the potential is known from other anadromous teleost species through continued stocking programmes. So far, no experiences are available on complete restoration of extirpated populations in habitats previously inhabited but some examples exist on recovery of critically reduced populations through well-managed programmes (e.g. Winnebago Lake Sturgeon Management programme in Wisconsin, USA, over the past 40 years).

The main problems for the conservation and restoration for the European Common Sturgeon are the small population left in the wild, the

complex life cycle and cultivation challenges, as well as those anthropogenic factors negatively influencing the natural population described above.

These are all good reasons in support of a proactive approach towards effective conservation, sound recovery and sustained resource use measures. The development of the Action Plan for the conservation of the European sturgeon will effectively draw the attention of the contracting parties to the Bern Convention towards the requirements of this approach.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIES

2.1 Phylogeny

Sturgeons and the closely related paddlefishes belong to the class of bony fishes, the Osteichthyes, within which the subclass Actinopterygii (ray-finned fishes) includes the Chondrostei and the order Acipenseriformes. The order Acipenseriformes is composed of three families, of which the family Acipenseridae (sturgeons: 26 species in the genera *Acipenser*, *Huso*, *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*) as well as the family Polyodontidae (paddlefishes; containing the two monospecific genera *Polyodon* and *Psephurus*) are still represented by living species. The third family, the Chondrosteidae, is extinct and comprises species known from archaeological records only.

Acipenseriformes are confined to the northern hemisphere (Holčik, 1989; Birstein *et al.* 1997a, b). Biogeographic analysis suggests that the order originated approximately 200 million years ago. Early diversification took place in Asia. The majority of species today occurs in East Asia (9 species), North America (8 species), the Ponto-Caspian region (6 species), and the remainder in North Western Europe (3 species).

Current scientific knowledge of most species has been presented in the Proceedings of a series of specific International Symposia on Sturgeons (ISS) which were held at 4 years interval: first in Bordeaux, France in 1989 (Williot 1991), 1993 in Moscow, Russia, (Gershanovich and Smith 1995), 1997 in Piacenza, Italy, (Rosenthal *et al.* 1999), 2001 in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, USA (Rosenthal *et al.* 2002), and more recently in Ramsar, Iran in 2005 (Rosenthal *et al.* 2006).

2.2 Species identification

Sound taxonomy is a prerequisite for biodiversity conservation. Many sturgeon caught in Western Europe today are actually non-indigenous species originating from aquaculture escape or illegal and accidental releases (i.e. aquarium hobbyists and pet fish industry; Arndt *et al.* 2001). The European Sturgeon can be identified by a number of morphological, morphometric and genetic characteristics. However, under field conditions

discrimination between species can be difficult and in most cases requires expert assistance. The following chapters give a short description of the characteristics of *A. sturio*. For a more detailed overview of the sympatric species in European waters we refer to the Action Plan for the conservation of sturgeons (Acipenseridae) in the Danube River Basin (Bloesch *et al.* 2006: Council of Europe Publishing; Nature and Environment No 144).

2.2.1 Morphological Characteristics

The body of the European sturgeon (*A. sturio*) is elongated with a maximum depth of 10 – 13.5% of the total length. It is covered with 5 longitudinal rows of bony plates (scuta). The number of dorsal scutes varies from 12 - 15, the lateral scutes from 31-39 and the ventral scutes from 11-14. Between the rows of scutae the skin is covered with rhombic platelets, resembling the remains of an external armouring. The tail is heterocercal with an extended upper lobe. The dorsal fin is located in a posterior position, comprising 30-50 soft fin rays. The snout is pointed with the protrudable mouth being located in an inferior position on the ventral side of the head; the lower lip is divided. The four barbels are positioned approximately half way between the tip of the snout and the mouth. *A. sturio* has 16-26 branchiospines on the first gill arch (Marti 1939; Magnin 1963; Ninua 1976; Almaca 1988).



Figure 1 - *Acipenser sturio* (source Bauchot 1987)

The back is greyish-brown with green reflections. The belly is yellowish-white with silver reflections. The maximum length is reported to exceed 3.5 metres with a weight of more than 300 kg. Anecdotal information indicates that in rare cases specimens may have reached up to 5 metres in total length. Holčík *et al.* (1989) gives size ranges for a number of areas during the past century, indicating that the average total length of fish entering the rivers ranged between about 94 to 220 cm for males and 105 to 250 cm for females.

For detailed morphological characteristics compare Marti 1939, Magnin 1963, Holčík *et al.* 1989, Arthukhin and Vecsei 1999, Ludwig *et al.* 2002.

2.2.2 Morphometric characteristics

The proportion of the head relative to overall body size varies with size due to allometric growth. A number of typical morphometric characteristics have been established for *A. sturio* allowing discrimination of the species from the sympatric species. For details see Elvira *et al.* 2000, Gröger and Debus 2000, Magnin and Beaulieu 1963, Ninua 1976. Also, a fairly comprehensive analysis of the pertinent literature on morphometrics, sexual dimorphism and geographic variation in meristic characters is given by Holčík *et al.* (1989).

2.2.3 Genetic identification

Acipenser sturio has 116 ± 4 chromosomes (Fontana and Colombo 1974, Fontana 1976). The species has been described to have species-specific mitochondrial (mt) DNA patterns on Cytochrome (Cyt) b, 16s, 12s and D-loop (Birstein *et al.* 1998, Ludwig and Kirschbaum 1998 and 2000; Ludwig *et al.* 2000; 2002), as well as microsatellites (Ludwig *et al.* 2002). Coding genes of the MHC class have recently been used for species characterization (Tiedemann *et al.* 2007).

2.2.4 Behavioural characteristics

Experimentally, demonstrated that *A. sturio* become progressively tolerant to salt water and cannot move to sea before they reach 50 cm . These results are coherent with historical and present field observations. An extensive monitoring of a cohort of juveniles in the Gironde estuary and in the nearby coastal areas provided a sound understanding of their progressive movements through the estuary. In the Black Sea, young-of-year *Acipenser sturio* are reported to leave the estuary and migrate along the coast (Ninua 1976).

According to both field investigations (Brosse *et al.* 2000), as well as laboratory studies (Hensel *et al.* 2002; Kirschbaum *et al.* 2006), food selectivity is reported to be very specific.

2.3 Life Cycle

Details on the biology and especially the autecology of the European sturgeon are poorly known. Since the life cycle of this demersal species is both long and complex with a lifespan presumed to last over 60 years, maturity being reached after 10-16 years (depending upon geographical range and sex), lifestyle, spawning season, age at first maturity, nutrition and growth varied between river systems over the entire geographical range (Holčík *et al.* 1989). More detailed and highly demanding research is urgently required to improve the status of the remaining population. Specific knowledge on habitat requirements, feed preferences and environmental

clues (including behaviour) is very important to understand the underlying reasons for the decline of the populations and the restoration requirements.

It should be noted that most of the available knowledge on the ecology of the species described in the following has been acquired for the European sturgeon of the Gironde. Some data may be specific to this region and to the state of the population in the 1990's while older literature from various other regions describing habitat utilization by various age classes and migration patterns as well as hardiness, feeding habits, longevity, growth rates, spawning periods and sites may no longer reflect the actual ecological status (Holčík *et al.* 1989).

The life cycle of the European sturgeon comprises several stages (Figure 2). The European sturgeon is an anadromous migratory species, utilizing fresh water, estuaries and marine habitats at different life cycle stages. Adults live on the continental shelf. When entering the stage of maturity, they return to the river they originate from in order to reproduce. While in the estuary and lower reaches of the rivers, the driving external triggers to reach final maturity for spawning are substrate structure, water discharge volume, temperature profile and the presence of conspecifics (Detlaff *et al.* 1993). Spawning takes place in early summer (May to June in the Gironde, France). Historically, the spawning period in other European rivers has been reported to occur from April to May (in the Guadalquivir, Spain); and between June and August (in the Elbe River and its tributaries such as the rivers Eider, Oste and Stör, Germany), from March to June in the Po River (Pavesi 1907) slightly earlier in the Tyrrhenian rivers (D'Ancona 1924a). Spawning takes place in swift currents over suitable substrate.




Figure 2 – Habitat occupation and migration of various life cycle stages of *A. sturio* in the Dordogne basin, France (source: modified after Castelnaud et al. 1991)

The first stage from spawning, embryonic development, onset of feeding to the fingerling stage takes place in the lower reaches of rivers (bream region). Spawning occurs over habitats with coarse gravel (pebble), at considerable water depth (either in the main channel or in lotic branches), and current velocities between 0.8 and 2.0 m/s. Clear descriptions for habitat characteristics, habitat shifts during early larval and postlarval stages are incomplete or lacking for most previously known nursery areas. At an age of 6 months, young-of-the-year sturgeons migrate downstream until they reach the estuary (Fig. 2). They stay in areas of low salinity (<8 ‰) during their first year (Elie 1997). The brackish reaches of the estuary with moderate salinities are utilized by juvenile fish for a period of about 1-2 years. Between the age of 2 and 7, the juvenile fishes reveal an alternating movement between the sea and the estuary (known as the St. Jean migration). In the marine stage they stay on the continental shelf, starting at an age of 7-8 years, when they are referred to as late juveniles. At this stage, migrations back to the estuary are rare.

2.3.1 Fertilized eggs and free embryos

The eggs have a sticky coating and are fertilized in the open water. They do not float but sink to the bottom where they adhere to clean, coarse gravel and stones. The incubation time is temperature dependent, lasting for 4-5 days at 17-20°C (Williot *et al.* 2000). Other studies report incubation times ranging from 3 days (22°C) to 14 days (7.7°C) (Roule 1922 and 1925; Chalikov 1949; Spillmann 1961). Hatching takes place at a very early ontogenetic stage while still being considered as embryos with a large yolk sac, incompletely developed eyes and the mouth not yet opened. During this stage, fish rely on their yolk sac for provision of energy and nutrients. In the river, the hatched embryos and early larvae drift downstream and settle in crevices in the gravel where they continue their development. This phase still takes place close to the spawning sites.

2.3.2 The fry stage

Following the complete absorption of the yolk reserves, the fry start feeding on tiny plankton organisms for some days. During the onset of active feeding they gradually drift further downstream to more productive feeding grounds. Gradually, they undergo metamorphosis and convert from a pelagic to a benthic lifestyle, feeding mainly on bottom invertebrates, especially on oligochaetes and chironomid larvae, which they detect by the use of electroreceptors and taste buds on the barbels. This freshwater phase lasts approximately six months (Magnin and Beaulieu 1963, Kinzelbach 1987,

Holčík *et al.* 1989). The behaviour throughout this stage is not well documented and may differ substantially from what is known in other sturgeon species. This leaves critical questions still unanswered, mainly concerning habitat specifications, feed selection and migratory behaviour in general (knowledge critical to *ex-situ* conservation and recovery programmes). In the first winter, the fry disperse notably while migrating to the estuary.

2.3.3 The juvenile stage

During the first two years of the juvenile stage, the fish of the Gironde stay exclusively in brackish waters of the estuary. In contrast, the fish of the Rioni River are reported to leave the river mouth during October of the year of reproduction (Ninua 1976). With increasing size, they progressively become acclimated to higher salinity (from 5 to 25‰). In the Gironde it has been observed that these life stages are found in high densities in some preferred areas with a sandy bottom, a depth exceeding five metres and temperatures of about 20°C (; Rochard *et al.* 2001, Castelnaud *et al.* 1991).

The second phase of the juvenile stage commences between the ages of 2 to 8 years, when the fish are beginning to migrate to marine waters but regularly return to the estuary during winter.

2.3.4 The late juvenile stage

From the age of eight years onward, the sturgeons undergo sexual differentiation and maturation assuming adult external characteristics. Both, late juveniles and adults live exclusively in the marine environment. All available data indicate that during its stay at sea, *A. sturio* continues to be littoral mainly utilizing sandy or muddy substrates. The late juvenile life cycle stages have never been observed directly (neither through tagging data or modern telemetry) and the only available data on their possible distribution in these habitats originate from catches by fishermen. Two thirds of the catch records for late juveniles were reported from the continental shelf in water depths of 40 to 100 metres (Rochard *et al.* 1997a, b).

2.3.5 The adults

The large adult specimens are predominantly utilizing marine habitats with only short reproductive phases spent in the freshwater regions of the rivers. Adult European sturgeon have been caught in the Adriatic Sea in water depths of up to 200 metres (Holčík *et al.* 1989). The European sturgeon of the Gironde reaches maturity at ages between 10 and 12 years in males and between 13 and 16 in females (Williot *et al.* 1997). In the Gironde, adults migrate to the spawning grounds between March and May. In the Elbe River spawning migrations were most common in May and June, lasting until July (Quantz 1903). Classen (1944) described the main

spawning migration in the Guadalquivir River to occur between February and March. In the Rioni River, migrations into the estuary occurred at level temperatures of river and sea water approximately at 14°C predominantly in April and early May (Zarkua pers. comm.). In Italy the migrations at the mouth of the Po River started at the end of February, early March with the fish reaching the spawning grounds to reproduce from April until June (Paccagnella 1948).

Sturgeon do not reproduce every year and the frequency of their reproductive cycle is not very well known. It is believed that males do participate in reproduction events approximately every second year, whereas females may only take part at 3- or 4-year intervals (Williot *et al.* 2007). These assumptions are well in line with the reproductive behaviour observed in a number of other sturgeon species in the same geographic range (Van Eenennaam and Doroshov 1998; Hildebrand *et al.* 1999; Detlaff *et al.* 1993).

Taking into consideration the extremely limited population size of *A. sturio* and the very restricted year class strength presently available, this may explain why catches of males in the fresh water sections of the Garonne and Dordogne are about 3 to 4 times higher than the catches of females (alternatively selective fishing pressure could also explain the lack of females since similar effects have been observed in populations suffering from overharvest (Gessner and Arndt 2006; Gessner *et al.* 2006).

III. HISTORIC RANGE

The European sturgeon (*A. sturio*) is a anadromous species historically found in the major rivers and coastal waters of Western Europe, in the Black Sea, in the Mediterranean Sea, including the Adriatic and Tyrrhenian Sea along the Atlantic coast, from Portugal (South) to the Scandinavian Peninsula (North), in the North Sea and in the Baltic Sea and their major tributaries. Single specimens have been reported along the coasts of Iceland and of the White Sea, as well as along the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts of Northern Africa (Magnin 1962; Holčík *et al.* 1989). Of all sturgeon species in Europe, *A. sturio* historically had the largest area of distribution (Holčík *et al.* 1989). However, the genetic status of the Baltic sturgeon is quite complicated as Tiedemann *et al.* (2007) have indicated with their hypothesis of a hybrid population between *A. sturio* and *A. oxyrinchus*. The range of the species since the 12th century excluded the Baltic Sea and its tributaries (Ludwig *et al.* 2002). The status of the species in the Black and Mediterranean Seas is uncertain with regard to the species validation (Holčík 2000, Elvira *et al.* 2000).




Figure 3 - Range and main distribution area of *Acipenser sturio* at 1000 (based upon the 1850 distribution and confirmed extirpation events) and 2000 A.D. The arrows indicate rivers that have been claimed to carry *A. sturio* populations (modified after various sources: Holčík *et al.* 1989, Elie 1997 and Ludwig *et al.*, 2002)

Antipa (1909) mentioned the existence of the European sturgeon in the Danube. He considered the species as relatively frequent at the Black Sea coast, near the mouth of the Danube River but the species was caught in the Danube River only very rarely, never occurring upstream of Km 100 – 150. During the 1950s Carausu (1952) noted the capture of a few adult specimens in the Black Sea near Agigea (Romania) and Burgas (Bulgaria). However, it is claimed that the European sturgeon was always rare in the lower Danube (Antipa 1909, Carausu 1952, Banarescu 1965, Manea 1980). The only officially recorded catches in Romania from the years 1947 to 1952 and 1960 to 1965, varied between 9 and 924 kg per year.

The European Atlantic sturgeon was present in Italy, together with *A. naccarii* and *Huso huso*, (Tortonese 1970, Gandolfi and Zerunian 1987 *et al.* 1991) in particular in the Po River and its main tributaries coming from the Alps, the Mincio, Adda and Ticino Rivers, and in the Adige, Brenta, Piave, Livenza and Tagliamento Rivers, out flowing into the Adriatic Sea. Its presence was also confirmed, from Venice to the Slovenian border, (Bini 1971) and in the rivers Tiber, Arno and Volturno out flowing into the Tyrrhenian sea. A reduction of sturgeon populations in Italy was firstly evidenced by D'Ancona (1924a), for the *Acipenser naccarii* and *Huso huso*. *A. sturio* was the dominant species, accounting for 80% of the total catch in Italy in 1934 (Brunelli 1934). In the lower Po River and in the delta, sturgeons and caviar represented an important economic resource until the middle of 20th Century (Zaccaria and Masini 1991).

In the past, sturgeon entered the larger Iberian rivers from the Minho to the Guadalquivir, although their spawning could only be proven in the Douro, Guadiana, and Guadalquivir Rivers. Until recently it was present, in the Guadalquivir (Elvira and Almodóvar 1993), and apparently reproduced in the Douro. Until the river was first dammed, in 1971, the sturgeon ascended it and was considered a common species in the Tua-Barca d'Alva stretch, mainly at Pocinho and Almendra. It is known that when the first dams were built (Carrapatelo in 1971, Régua in 1973, Valeira in 1976, and Pocinho in 1983) some adult specimens remained landlocked, having been observed and fished until 1984 (Almacá 1988). According to reports from fishermen, sturgeon reproduced in the Guadiana up to the early 1980s (Almacá 1988). At the end of the 19th century, it was considered a common species in the Guadiana, mainly at Mértola, nearly 60 km from the mouth, where it probably found suitable spawning grounds. In the recent past, the abundance of sturgeon in the Guadalquivir exceeded that of any other Atlantic Iberian river. The last known record of sturgeon in the area is a female (210 cm) fished near the Guadalquivir River mouth on 14 September 1992.

The Ebro was the only river of the Spanish Mediterranean coast where *A. sturio* was once common. In historical times, the sturgeon ascended the Ebro upstream to Tudela, about 490 km from the river mouth. However, the construction of a weir at Xerta during the 15th century limited the species distribution to about 56 km from the mouth. The last adults were fished in 1965 and 1966; the last juvenile was caught in 1970.

The decline of the species became apparent in the late 19th century. Recognizing the drastic decline in the rivers draining to the Elbe estuary (Germany), local fisheries associations were involved early on in culturing and releasing early life cycle stages from floating incubators placed in sections of the River Stör where previous spawning grounds have been cut off by damming (Elsner 1887). In subsequent years, incubating and releasing many thousands of hatched larvae included other sites also directly in the Elbe River (Elsner 1885; Elsner and Stemmann 1886). The occurrence of this species on the territory of the Czech Republic has been confined to the North Sea drainage system, namely to the Elbe River and also to its tributary Vltava River (Baruš *et al.* 1995). However, its occurrence in the Czech part of the Elbe River has always been rare and its presence in Vltava River upstream to Prague has been exceptional (Frič 1872a, b, c). A review of all catches in Elbe River from 1577 to 1933 is given by Flasar and Flasarová (1976).

In the second half of the 20th century probably two small reproductive populations persisted, one in the Gironde estuary (France) and the other in the Rioni river (Georgia) (Castelnaud *et al.* 1991; Debus 1997). In the 1990's

only one population was still confirmed, revealing a rapid overall decline. All other populations had disappeared within a century (Almaça and Elvira 2000; Fernandez-Pasquier 2000; Van Winden *et al.* 2000). Single catches were recorded in Italy until 1991 (Arlati pers. comm.). The European sturgeon was last recorded in the Danube River in Romania in year 1965 and currently is considered as extremely rare or even extinct (Manea 1980, Bacalbasa-Dobrovici 1991 and 1997). In the Black Sea no reproduction has been recorded in Rioni River since at least 1991 (Zarkua pers. comm.).

The decline was similar in most of the range states. An overview on the status and management of the Eurasian sturgeons has been provided by Williot *et al.* (2002a).




Figure 4: Catches of mature *A. sturio* in the Gironde/Garonne/Dordogne with artificial reproduction (green arrows) and successful rearing of fingerlings (red arrow)

3.1. Reasons for the decline

It has been widely recognized that sturgeon species, including the European sturgeon, share a variety of characteristics that render them very vulnerable also to anthropogenic impact. The size of the fish at maturity restricts the reproduction in freshwaters to specific sites. Due to the late maturation and large size, sturgeons are especially vulnerable to fishing. Overharvest in fact has been identified as one of the key elements that

caused the decline of the populations (Ehrenbaum 1913; 1916; 1923; D'Ancona 1924a; Mohr 1952; Trouvery *et al.* 1984; Rochard *et al.* 1990.; Elvira *et al.* 1991; Fernandez-Pasquier 2000). However, structures altering the flow regime of the rivers utilized for spawning, or providing obstruction to migration, as well as dredging, and especially pollution, have significantly contributed to the lack of reproductive success and subsequently to the decline of the species (Seligo 1907, 1931, Volk 1910, D'Ancona 1924b, Bauch 1958, Gessner 2000, Kirschbaum and Gessner 2000; Williot *et al.* 2002b). In most cases attempts to protect the species have been taken too late or were ineffective (Ehrenbaum 1916, Trouvery *et al.* 1984, Gessner 2000).

3.2. Current situation

The current situation, with the only known remaining population worldwide in France, in the Gironde, Garonne and Dordogne basin, is dramatic. Although the size of the North-East Atlantic population remains unknown it is commonly admitted that this population is probably limited to a few hundreds individuals (Rochard *et al.* 2001) scattered over a very large area from the Bay of Biscay to the North Sea (Rochard *et al.* 1997b). Natural reproductions were last observed in 1988 and 1994 (Williot *et al.* 1997). Research in genetics strongly suggests that the cohort of 1994 derives from only one mating pair (Ludwig *et al.* 2004), which testifies the very low number of active spawners in nature. All indicators concur: the species is in critical danger of extinction. Between 1951 and 1980, catches of sturgeon on the Gironde, Dordogne and Garonne rivers dropped by 94%, from 2500 fish per decade to only 150. Despite the fact that the species was protected in 1982 in France, the decline in the number of fishes continued. This is reflected in the number of captures for scientific purposes as well as the recorded by-catch, which dropped from under 10 per year in the 1980's to few individuals since the late 1990's. The sturgeon population of the Gironde mainly is originating from the 1988, 1994 and 1995 cohorts. These fish in the meantime are old enough to reproduce but are declining at an alarming rate. Only a few individuals have been recorded in the last two years (males in 2005 and 2007). The juveniles of these year classes were observed in the estuary of the Gironde in thousands in the 1990s. If these year classes were to disappear completely, the future of the wild population would rely only on the two cohorts of 1994 (natural reproduction) and those released in 1995 (siblings from controlled reproduction).

Over a 20 year period of research in the Gironde estuary, 41 accidentally captured fish have been used in artificial propagation trials. Some were released quickly, others after a few weeks. Others were finally maintained in captivity at the biological station of CEMAGREF

(Agricultural and Environmental Engineering Research Institute). Among them 10 were females, only two of which were in an optimal state for reproduction allowing induced spawning in 1981, 1985 and 1995 (Figure 4). The largest number of larvae was obtained in 1985 (Williot *et al.* 1997). In 1995 CEMAGREF realized the first induced and controlled reproduction and successful rearing of larvae and juveniles despite the initially poor quality of the broodfish. The resulting offspring were used for stocking the river with feeding larvae as well as fingerlings (Williot *et al.* 2005; 2007). One group was retained for captive rearing in the facilities of CEMAGREF, near Bordeaux (France), and at the IGB (Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries) in Berlin (Germany).

| Year | N_{min} | N_{max} |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1989 | 14375 | 17107 |
| 1990 | 8850 | 11849 |
| 1991 | 5643 | 9701 |
| 1992 | 3598 | 7943 |
| 1993 | 2294 | 6503 |
| 1994 | 1463 | 5324 |
| 1995 | 933 | 4359 |
| 1996 | 7596 | 10570 |
| 1997 | 4812 | 8442 |
| 1998 | 3068 | 6911 |
| 1999 | 1957 | 5659 |
| 2000 | 1248 | 4633 |
| 2001 | 795 | 3793 |
| 2002 | 507 | 3106 |
| 2003 | 323 | 2543 |
| 2004 | 206 | 2082 |
| 2005 | 131 | 1704 |
| 2006 | 84 | 1395 |
| 2007 | 53 | 1142 |

| | |
|-------|--|
| N min | modelling with an instantaneous mortality rate of 0.45 |
| N max | modelling with an instantaneous mortality rate of 0.20 |

Despite the fact that the fish resulting from natural reproduction since 1988 have reached an age to reproduce, only a few individuals have been recorded in the last two years (males in 2005 and 2007). The juveniles of these year classes were observed in the estuary of the Gironde in thousands

in the 1990s. If these year classes were to disappear completely, the future of the wild population would rely only on the two cohorts of 1994 (natural reproduction) and those released in 1995 (siblings from controlled reproduction). The latest release resulted from the reproduction of captive *A. sturio* in 2007 which comprised 3000 fingerlings being released in the rivers Dordogne and Garonne respectively in September 2007.

In France, three groups of animals are currently reared in captivity at CEMAGREF in brackish or salt water. Two groups of wild origin (23 fish born during the time 1984-1994 originating from accidental captures +19 juveniles resulting from the cohort 1994) (see Table 2), plus one group of 43 individuals resulting from the controlled reproduction of 1995. In Germany, 12 fish originating from the 1995 reproduction are reared at the IGB.

| Table 2: Composition of the <i>ex-situ</i> broodstock of <i>A. sturio</i> (Status: 05/2006) | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Origin | Year class | Number | Weight (min – max) |
| Wild | 1984-1989 | 7 (6 ♂, 1 ♀) | 11.8 - 23.5 kg |
| | 1994 (probably siblings) | 25 (9 ♂, 12 ♀, 4 ?) | 3.7 - 18.9 kg |
| | probably 1994 | 10 (5 ♂, 5 ♀) | 13 - 36.0 kg |
| Artificial reproduction | 1995 (France) | 43 (unknown) | 3.7 - 14.4 kg |
| | 1995 (Germany) | 12 (7 ♂, 5 ♀) | 4.2 - 10.9 kg |

The future of the European Sturgeon today mainly relies upon controlled reproduction and stocking to enhance the wild population. The major constraint on controlled propagation and larval rearing remains the need to obtain males and females from the wild which are simultaneously close to maturity. Reproduction of mature wild broodfish caught and maintained under good conditions is possible (Chebanov and Savelyeva 1999, Arlati *et al.* 1988). However, synchronization of maturation with

captive fish seems difficult in case only one sex is caught while the other exists in captive broodstocks. **Therefore, the only reasonable approach to minimize operational risks is to target for *ex-situ* conservation measures.** This approach has recently received much encouragement because of the successful *ex-situ* reproduction at CEMAGREF in June 2007, resulting in very good and promising survival of larvae and early life history stages up to now. Gametes were obtained from fish ready to spawn and some ten thousand of fertilized eggs were obtained from adults that spent most of their life in captivity since 1995 at CEMAGREF, when they arrived as juveniles. Additionally, sperm was obtained from an older specimen that matured again after several years interval. A high survival rate has been obtained during the first 50 days of rearing. These results are highly encouraging, urging for continued and substantial support for the subsequent rearing and accompanying research programmes, which are key elements to further success of the *ex-situ* conservation measures.

IV. PROBLEM ANALYSIS: RISKS AND THREATS AFFECTING THE NATURAL POPULATION

Today the European sturgeon is close to extinction. The last population is at an extremely low level, mainly present in French waters, with some individuals observed from time to time in the United Kingdom, Belgium and the Netherlands. The species is classified as critically endangered (CR-A2d) according to the IUCN criteria, and considered already extinct in the following countries: Albania, Algeria, Croatia, Denmark, Georgia, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Morocco, Norway, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and Ukraine.

The threats to existing populations, or those that can be foreseen for new populations to be re-introduced, are similar for all catchment areas. However their impact varies from one watershed to another. They are known to some extent in the Gironde basin (damming, habitat destruction, navigation, fisheries, are well documented, impacts of pollutants and nutrients are not assessed), but are more difficult to estimate in the basins where the species no longer exists. River habitats are particularly crucial for spawning and early life history stages. The deterioration of these habitats is a major threat to reproduction and subsequent survival. Threats in estuarine and marine phases of the life history add to the initial risks.

There are five primary problem areas impacting on survival and reproductive efficiency (ranked in approximate order of importance):

- accidental catch (bycatch) and illegal fishing (poaching) which is particularly detrimental because of inappropriate fisheries management and lack of enforcement of regulations;

- drastic changes of hydrological and hydrodynamic regimes in rivers and estuaries (sand and gravel extraction, dyking and channelisation, hydro dams and barriers for navigation);
- environmental pollution (agricultural, domestic and industrial wastes in rivers and estuaries);
- low mating probability due to very small size of the remaining population (Allee effect);
- interspecific competition with allochthonous species;

Four other problem areas are particularly critical for the implementation of European sturgeon conservation actions:

- limited knowledge of species-specific habitat requirements, adverse impacts of habitat alterations and potential counter-measures against habitat loss, in particular in the marine environment;
- dispersal of the a very small population over a very large area from the Bay of Biscay to the North Sea;
- dependence on the only one *in-situ* breeding stock in France and the two *ex-situ* stocks in France and Germany;
- potential risk of altered environmental conditions due to climate change.

4.1 Catches

The impacting factors on the decline of different *A. sturio* populations in Europe were case specific (Kirschbaum and Gessner 2000). Directed fisheries, as one of the main impacts, were effectively targeting the migrating broodstock. Fishery effects such as decreasing average sizes, increasing prices, increasing use of smaller mesh sizes as well as the drastic intensification of the entire coastal fishery to compensate for the reduced catches, all contributed to the decline of the populations (Blankenburg 1910, Ehrenbaum 1913 and 1916, Mohr 1952). Today the lack of appropriate fisheries management and enforcement is still a major problem despite the good intentions and objectives set with the New EU fisheries policy. This holds for many areas. For example, the abundance of the sturgeon population in Italy was compromised heavily and early by fishing: of approximately 2000 specimens of *A. naccarii* fished in the Po River and sold at the fish market between 1981 and 1988, more than 80% of the specimens were weighing less than 3.5 kg, being taken before the reproductive phase (Rossi *et al.* 1992). A similar effect is expected to have occurred in the *A. sturio* catches in the area too.

Today, catches are considered to be one of the main causes for the decreasing numbers of the European sturgeon, thus reducing the potential of

the remaining population to recover by natural recruitment. One source of catch related mortality is directed illegal fishing or poaching. There are no accurate statistics related to this type of fishing. However, it has been estimated that a few fishes are still captured, maybe between 10 and 20 every year, in a very irregular way (Lepage pers. comm.).

The second cause of fisheries related mortalities is by-catch, which corresponds to accidental captures of sturgeon during legal fisheries practice. Most of the fishing concerned involves the use of beam trawlers, trammel nets and gillnets (Rochard *et al.* 1997b), targeting bottom roundfish or flatfish. Mortalities either occur as fish are brought on board dead, or by keeping it on board as a trophy, or just by curiosity. In 1998, from Spain to England, the number of catches was estimated to be 450, with a rate of 57% not surviving (Rochard *et al.* 1997b). Estimates of losses from the Gironde population are difficult but were assessed to comprise 100 to 400 individuals annually at the end of the 1990's (Lepage pers. comm.). Currently, the annual captures would comprise a smaller number of individuals, mainly reflecting the extremely low remaining abundance. In this situation, even the loss of one single adult specimen would be significant, compromising the future of the species at the currently critical state of the population.

Fishing intensity in the North Sea is so high that it is highly unlikely that any sturgeon will survive until reproductive age without being caught at least once. Despite the fact that the hauls usually last for about 1 hour, most of the caught fish arrive on deck dead being suffocated in the net. For rays and skates it has been clearly demonstrated that several species have almost disappeared from large parts of the North Sea because of the trawl fishery. It is therefore worth reiterating that survival of re-introduction from reared stocks will only be successful if the by-catch mortality can be largely reduced.

In order to reduce by-catch, the information and awareness campaign launched by the EPIDOR in France in the late 1990s and since 2005 (Mayer and Lepage 2001; Michelet 2006) met with considerable success and has provided a clear and positive example of active participation of the fishery sector to protect the species while recording and releasing by-catch (Mayer and Lepage 2001; Michelet 2006). That such campaigns are urgently needed throughout the historic range to create high awareness among fisher folks has very recently been demonstrated by the accidental catch and sale of a large *A. sturio* accidentally caught in Dutch waters in June 2007. Such events must be prevented in the future and the fish rather be rescued to serve the *ex-situ* conservation measures.

There are indications from other areas how strict enforcement can notably assist in saving sturgeon populations. For example, the temporary recovery of sturgeon stocks in the Caspian Sea during periods of the past century (Williot

1984; Rosenthal and Gessner 1992; Tsvetnenko 1993) was mainly due to the moratorium and the accompanying rearing and release programme. In contrast the lack of enforcement at the end of the 1980s and after demonstrated the overall impact of marine fisheries on sturgeon populations.

Mortalities caused by water abstraction for cooling or irrigation have been observed but few data are available. In the River Elbe in 1996, approximately 40 individuals of juvenile exotic sturgeons were collected from the rakes of the intake system of a nuclear power plant (Brunsbüttel). A major impact of such systems is postulated for fragile species (shads in particular) for the nuclear plant of the Blayais, in the Gironde estuary. According to the reports, only one specimen of European sturgeon was observed in the clogged material from the inlet rakes which was washed ashore in the 1980s.

4.2 Man-made alterations of rivers and estuaries

Sturgeons are as threatened by pollution stressors and man-made habitat alterations as any other migratory fish species for which an extensive documentary literature exists.

In particular, physical alterations such as the removal of gravel beds by dredging or increased sediment transport caused by straightening of the river for navigation, affect the sturgeon population in similar ways to those documented for other species. This deterioration in the case of the Rhine revealed changes in the river morphology and discharge patterns that contributed to the loss of many spawning grounds (Kinzelbach 1987).

Direct effects are caused by gravel extraction on the spawning sites, reducing the reproductive success. Besides the loss of habitat structures for spawning or early life stages, such alterations also result in the reduction of river productivity. Additionally, the loss of surface area due to dyking and dredging as well as the disconnection of side channels and backwaters further reduces the available habitat for juveniles. The extraction of gravel or sand directly disturbs the ecosystems (Castelnaud *et al.* 1981). On the feeding grounds it might cause loss of habitat or if coinciding with the presence of the fish on site, may result in mortalities (Lepage and Rochard 1997). Effects of dredging and deposition of material were intensively studied in the St. Lawrence River, Quebec, Canada. Negative impacts on habitat utilization of Atlantic sturgeon and benthic invertebrate productivity were reported (Hatin *et al.* 2007).

Deepening of the lower reaches of the rivers to facilitate passage of larger ships resulted in increased influx of salt water. In the Elbe River, the increased salt water wedge today reaches 60 km further upstream compared to the condition in 1880 (Kausch 1996). As a result, the spawning sites at Brunsbüttel and Glückstadt have been rendered dysfunctional.

Chemical changes to the environment, provide direct and indirect impacts upon the species in question. This may involve a chemical change such as an increase in osmolarity or the reduction in the oxygen content as a consequence of dumping organic material. River modification can thereby result in the loss of, or changes to, macro-invertebrate fauna.

Water diversion, for instance in the Rioni river, reduced the water discharge in the main river channel, providing insufficient flow on the spawning sites (Mix and Gessner 2001). Damming prevented passage to the spawning sites in various rivers (Inguri, Kizil Irmal, Jesil Irmak, Po, Rhone, Guadalquivir, Garonne, Dordogne, Rhine, Weser, Eider). Despite the fact that the role of dams - blocking migration routes- has been acknowledged as early as the Middle Ages and has led to restrictions in their construction (summarized in Hoffmann 1996; Beneke 1881) the development of dams continued with the increasing importance of the waterways for industrial development in the middle of the 18th century (Kausch 1996).

Detailed studies on the effects of damming have been performed on other sturgeon species in several river basins in the world, for example for *A. transmontanus* on the Fraser River and the Columbia River (Hildebrand *et al.* 1999; Coutant 2004) and for *A. sinensis* in the Yangtze River in China (Kynard *et al.* 1994; Yang *et al.* 2006). This impact on access to spawning sites was also demonstrated in the case of the Volga River (Russian Federation), where the majority of spawning sites for *Huso huso* were lost after the construction of the Volgograd dam (Barannikova 1995). For the Danube River and Black Sea populations of migratory sturgeons, the extensive morphological damage to the Danube and its tributaries, together with high levels of pollution, have severely impacted the sturgeon populations of the whole basin (Stamenkovic 1991; Bacalbasa-Dobrovici 1997). In particular, the completion of the Iron Gate dams in the 1970s resulted in the loss of important spawning sites in the Middle Danube River up to Vienna. As early as 1890-1896, the first river regulation in the Djerdap region already partially prevented sturgeons from reaching the upper part of the Danube River (Lenhardt *et al.* 2005).

Regulated river flow contributed to the physical alterations of habitat by limiting or altering spring peaks of discharge, reducing translocation and cleaning of the gravel beds (Coutant 2004), thereby reducing the availability of spawning sites. Additionally, the decrease in discharge also reduces migration fidelity, limiting the distance of upstream migration as well as the number of fish to enter a given river (Kinzelbach 1987; Holčik 1989; Kynard 1997).

On the Garonne and Dordogne basins, there have been no further obstacles to migration since the building of the Bergerac dam on the Dordogne in 1851

and the Golfech dam on the Garonne in 1971. Before that, the European sturgeon went further upstream than nowadays (up to Toulouse on the Garonne, for instance), with spawning sites located beyond the present obstacles. Jego *et al.* (2002) mapped the remaining spawning sites downstream and estimated that they would allow the reproduction of about 200 females every year. However, the effects of these dams on flooding events, migration fidelity and temperature development throughout the spring have not been evaluated. A Spanish study (Fernandez-Pasquier 1999) suggests that the decreasing flow of Guadalquivir could be partly responsible for the disappearance of the European sturgeon in this river.

A thorough analysis of the influence of flow variations on White sturgeon reproduction in the Columbia River shows that ideally reproduction takes place in a period of increased flows providing additional riparian habitat uncompromised by bio films (Coutant 2004).

Direct impact caused by shipping accounted for numerous losses of juveniles of the year (Seligo 1931). Sheer forces that are caused by the passing ship create current velocities that exceed the swimming capacity of juvenile fish, thereby dislocating them (Engelhardt *et al.* 2004). Inland shipping additionally imposes threats on the fish by direct mechanical impact. According to several US agencies (Status Assessment of *A. oxyrinchus* 2007) ship strikes are a major concern in waters that are used for navigation purposes intensively. This could become a major concern especially for the traffic towards riverine cities and for inland navigations.

4.3 Environmental pollution of rivers and estuaries

Historically, three different effects resulting from pollution have been identified to affect sturgeon populations: a) acute toxicity resulting from direct exposure to soluble pollutants at high concentrations, b) chronic effects resulting from bioaccumulation of lipid soluble substances such as insecticides and pesticides mainly affecting reproductive success, and c) eutrophication effects resulting from excessive nutrient release in freshwater habitats.

Acute toxicity was an important factor in the late 19th century when wastewater purification was virtually absent (Schiemenz 1905). Sturgeon rivers and habitats were not exempt from these stressors as indicated in earlier literature (Bonne 1905). In many areas, the situation has improved due to regulatory efforts to abate pollution but it is still important today in a number of regions of the world.

Insecticides and pesticides such as DDT and its degradation products as well as PCBs and HCBs bioaccumulate over time and affect reproductive success and thus impair recruitment in many species. They primarily influence cell membrane functions and can cause the loss of functional

integrity of tissue and/or organs at different developmental stages with subsequent morphological malformations (Akimova and Ruban 1995; 1996, Bickham *et al.* 1998). Sub-lethal effects have been described to comprise behaviour alterations damage to liver and gill tissues, altered enzyme activity, diminished condition (health) index, hermaphroditism, degeneration and absorption of gametes, as well as amitoses in oocytes, leading to the reduction of reproductive potential of populations. Similar effects have been described for contamination with hydrocarbons and heavy metals (Lukyanenko *et al.* 1999). In the Gironde estuary, the concentration of cadmium in the water is 10 to 20 times higher than in the other Atlantic estuaries (Maurice 1994, Durrieu *et al.* 2005). Williot *et al.*, (1997) hypothesized a resultant effect on reproduction. However, recent results from Maury-Brachet *et al.* (in press), concluded a low probability of this event due to short exposure times during the final maturation phase. This hypothesis is valid only provided that bioaccumulation is negligible during the juvenile stage. Here a number of open scientific questions require urgent attention.

The third impact is mainly caused by communal and agricultural wastes and the resulting nutrient input into a system. Nutrient rich water has a high productivity, triggering bacterial, fungal and algal growth. The first two groups do generally perform best at elevated temperatures which are typical as spawning temperatures for *A. sturio*. As a result fungal and bacterial pressures in waters with high organic load have the potency to destroy the eggs and kill the embryos. This is considered one of the main reasons for population decline of the European and the Baltic sturgeon in the rivers Elbe, Rhine and Oder during the industrial revolution. These impacts were significant locally, for instance for wastewater discharge on or above spawning sites (such as the Köhlbrand, Elbe River) but the overall impact increased with rising utilization of rivers for discharge at different historical periods.

4.4 Risks associated with low population densities

A small population is more at risk of extinction than a large one. Continuous environmental change (environmental stochasticity), requires a minimal potential for adaptation. A larger population, representing a larger genetic diversity, provides the necessary adaptive capacity to deal potentially with environmental alterations. The longer a critical situation (genetic bottleneck) lasts, the higher the risk of extinction.

The Allee effect (Myers *et al.* 1995) predicts a negative growth rate at extremely low population sizes even if all environment factors are favourable. Low numbers limit the chances for spawning encounters. This effect is intensified in sturgeons since adults only reproduce at long

intervals. It is estimated that females mate only two or three times per decade thus additionally limiting the encounters of mature fish at low population size.

4.5 Introduction of allochthonous species

The release of allochthonous species must also be considered a potential threat, since the introduction of exotic species may have negative effects on the native species, populations and the ecosystem as has been shown by Leppäkoski *et al.* (2002) In this treatise the authors clearly demonstrate the overall long-term impact exotic species can have on trophic interactions, particularly expanding during warmer summers (i.e. climate change). Further, diseases may find new hosts and may also proliferate because of lack of pre-exposure with subsequent resistance as has evolved with native disease agents. Besides these overriding implications, exotic sturgeons may also exhibit the potential for hybridisation and potentially this can occur between all sturgeon species. As a result, different risks at species level are potentially encountered such as hybridisation in species with similar ploidy, or sterile offspring due to different levels of ploidy in mating specimens (Antipa 1909; Kozhin 1964).

There are four potential sources of introduction of exotic sturgeon in Europe: (a) Fish are released by aquarium and garden pond hobbyists who want to get rid of overly large specimens, (b) sport-fishing clubs deliberately wish to add sturgeons to their trophies and release fish deliberately, (c) escapes from fishponds and farms happen accidentally, and (d) illegal stocking by others has also been observed (Britton and Davis 2006). Some sites are not fully secured and escapes often occur, especially during floods. Also, many releases originate from hobby aquaria when fish are becoming too big. The number of records of non-indigenous sturgeon species in German coastal and estuarine waters has been reported to increase (Spratte and Rosenthal 1996; Arndt *et al.* 2000, Arndt *et al.* 2002).

In December 1999, an accident occurred in a fish-breeding farm on the Gironde. Some thousands of *Acipenser baerii*, Siberian sturgeon, escaped. If there is a risk of competition between *A. baerii* and *A. sturio*, the risk of a genetic pollution is unknown. Their real impact has not been assessed yet, but as yet there is no evidence of reproduction or hybridization. The long term impact in this case will have to be evaluated. These escapes provided a serious difficulty for inland fishermen or anglers, who now have to be able to distinguish between two species of sturgeon, one protected and the other requiring removal.

4.6 Climate change

Other impacts such as climate change are postulated to have played a significant role in the decline of *A. sturio* in the Baltic during the Little Ice Age (Ludwig *et al.* 2002). Today, the decreasing precipitation and increasing temperatures might contribute to the alteration of the environmental conditions for migration and reproduction of this species.

Temperature appears to be an important constraint on the distribution of anadromous fish in Western Europe. However, a recently published study by Beguer *et al.* (2005) does not indicate a significant influence of temperature on the European sturgeon, which is coherent with historical observations of this species in the wild (3 to 30 °C; Williot *et al.* 1997). Nevertheless, changes in annual temperature trends in combination with altered river discharge patterns and subsequently changed seasonal water flow rates may well have an effect on spawning migration and riverine residence time of juveniles.

V. LEGAL ASPECTS

The European sturgeon is currently protected by a number of legal instruments including:

- International Conventions
- Regional regulations, including EU legislation
- National legislation

5.1. Global instruments

The states responsible for the status of the European sturgeon are Contracting Parties to a number of global biodiversity-related conventions and are therefore bound to apply their provisions to the conservation of the European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*).

5.1.1. The Convention on Migratory Species (CMS, Bonn Convention, 1979)

The European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) was included in Appendix II of the CMS in 1999, by the 6th meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP-6) to the CMS. Appendix II lists migratory species that need or would significantly benefit from international co-operation. The convention encourages range States to conclude global or regional Agreements for species listed in Appendix II, giving priority to those species in an unfavourable conservation status. The main implication of including the European sturgeon in Appendix II is therefore that range States must endeavour to conclude Agreements among them to conserve the species,. In

2005, COP-8 decided to add the *Acipenser sturio* also to Appendix I of the CMS, which lists migratory species in danger of extinction (the convention allows the listing of a migratory species in both Appendix I and Appendix II, as the obligations of Parties with respect to species listed on the two appendices are different and complementary).

According to the Convention, Parties are to:

- Promote, co-operate in and support research relating to migratory species;
- Endeavour to provide immediate protection for Appendix I species, including the prohibition of taking of animals of that species (exceptions for research purposes are possible).

In addition, range states of Appendix I species must endeavour to:

- Conserve and where feasible, restore the habitats of the species, which are important to prevent their extinction;
- Prevent, remove, compensate or minimise, when appropriate, the adverse effects of activities or obstacles that seriously impede or prevent the migration of the species;
- Prevent, reduce or control factors that endanger or are likely to endanger the species, including strictly controlling the introduction of or controlling or eliminating, already introduced exotic species.

Furthermore, Resolution 7.7 on the implementation of existing agreements and the development of future agreements, adopted in 2002, called upon the Range States of sturgeons listed in the appendices of the CMS, to take the lead to develop an appropriate CMS instrument on sturgeons. This Resolution has remained largely inoperative until now.

In 2005, Resolution 8.5 was adopted, on the same issue of existing and future agreements under the CMS. Concerning sturgeons, this Resolution urges the resumption of co-operative activities with CITES (see section below) and invited consideration of possible CMS action regarding an appropriate instrument for sturgeons.

5.1.2. The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES, Washington Convention, 1973)

The European sturgeon (*A. sturio*) is listed in Appendix I of the CITES convention since its entry into force in 1975. This is the category of species threatened with extinction and it implies that international trade of the species, in any form, is subject to particularly stringent regulations, in order not to further endanger its survival. Trade can only be authorised in

exceptional circumstances, such as for scientific research or if the fish originates from captive breeding.

Resolution Conf. 12.7 (Rev. CoP14) on “Conservation and trade in sturgeons and paddlefish” (adopted in 2002 and amended in 2003 and 2007), urged range States to:

- Encourage scientific research and adequate monitoring of the status of stocks,
- Curtail the illegal fishing of and trade in sturgeon and paddlefish specimens;
- Explore ways of enhancing the participation of the representatives of all agencies responsible for sturgeon and paddlefish fisheries conservation and sustainable use programmes of these species;
- Promote regional agreements between range States of sturgeon and paddlefish species aiming at proper management and sustainable use of these species;
- For range states of sturgeons in the Eurasian region, take into account the recommendations in document CoP12 Doc 42.1 when developing regional conservation strategies and action plans.

Discussions on trade and conservation of sturgeon held at CITES COP-14, in June 2007, focused on Caspian Sea range States, export quotas for 2008, and the recent caviar-trade database, aspects which are not of critical relevance for this Action Plan. However, it should be noted that CITES regulates imports and exports of live sturgeon (fingerlings, juveniles and adults) as well as of fertilised eggs, which may be relevant in the context of measures aimed at the conservation and restoration of the European sturgeon (*A. sturio*).

The European Community enforces CITES within the EU and provides additional measures for the conservation of species in trade by the European Union Wildlife Trade Regulation, Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 which came into effect on 1 June 1997. The aim of the Regulation is to protect wild animals and plants currently or likely to become threatened by international trade, by regulating the trade in these species. *A. sturio* is listed in Annex A of Council Regulation (EC) 338/97. Article 8 of this regulation prohibits trade in *A. sturio*.

5.1.3. The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar convention, 1971)

COP-6 of the Ramsar Convention, held in 1996, adopted Resolution VI-2 adding two specific criteria, based on fish, for identifying wetlands of international importance” (criteria 7 and 8). In addition, the Convention requires Parties to consult with each other about the implementation of their obligations, in particular when a wetland or water system is shared between them. In this case, they must endeavour to co-ordinate and support present and future policies and regulations on the conservation of wetlands, and their flora and fauna (Article 5).

Paragraphs 30 and 34 of Resolution IX-4 on “the Ramsar Convention and conservation, production and sustainable use of fisheries resources”, adopted in November 2005, urged Parties to take the necessary measures, within their frameworks for integrated river basin and coastal zone management, to:

- Maintain or reinstate aquatic biota migration pathways;
- Reduce the impacts of point source and diffuse pollution in all its forms; and
- Protect critical spawning and nursery grounds.

In addition, this Resolution strongly urged Parties to:

- Review their policies, laws and programmes for regulating the introduction of aquatic biota for aquaculture and the aquarium industry,
- Control the accidental movement of species; and
- Avoid introduction of invasive and/or alien species.

It should be noted that many of the habitats protected under the Ramsar Convention include also coastal habitats of the littoral zone, an area where most of the juveniles and adults of sturgeons thrive. In this context the Ramsar Declaration on Global Sturgeon Conservation (May 2005) is mentioned here as it builds on elements relevant to the Ramsar Convention (Rosenthal *et al* 2006)

5.1.4. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 1992)

The 190 Parties to the CBD Parties have the obligations to conserve biological diversity, use its components sustainably, and regulate access to genetic resources. Parties need to adapt or develop national strategies, plans or programmes for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, which can include sturgeon measures. For instance, in the framework of implementing the CBD, the French government has included the European sturgeon in its National Biodiversity Strategy, as a priority species. As such,

a national restoration plan needs to be developed and implemented, in line with obligations under other international conventions.

CBD Parties must also integrate the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity into relevant sectoral or cross-sectoral plans and policies. This provision of Article 6 is of direct relevance to this Action Plan, as it implies that biodiversity concerns, such as the conservation of European sturgeon, need to be integrated into the fisheries policies of range States.

Article 8 of the CBD includes provisions for *in-situ* conservation of biological diversity. Relevant obligations for Parties, as set out in this Article, are:

- to rehabilitate and restore degraded ecosystems and promote the recovery of threatened species, including through the development and implementation of plans or other management strategies (Article 8(f));
- to prevent the introduction of, control or eradicate those alien species which threaten ecosystems, habitats or species (Article 8(h));
- to develop or maintain necessary legislation and/or other regulatory provisions for the protection of threatened species and populations (Article 8(k)).

Each Contracting Party must, as far as possible and as appropriate, and predominantly for the purpose of complementing *in-situ* measures:

Article 9 of the CBD is also relevant for this Action Plan, as it includes the following obligations for Parties, in the field of *ex-situ* conservation and “predominantly for the purpose of complementing *in-situ* measures”:

- adopt measures for the *ex-situ* conservation of components of biological diversity, preferably in the country of origin of such components;
- establish and maintain facilities for *ex-situ* conservation of and research on plants, animals and micro-organisms, preferably in the country of origin;
- adopt measures for the recovery and rehabilitation of threatened species and for their reintroduction into their natural habitats under appropriate conditions.

5.1.5. United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS, 1982)

The Convention entered into force in 1994, and it lays down the fundamental obligation of all States to protect and preserve the marine environment, including the need to prevent, reduce and control pollution of the marine environment from land-based sources.

It is important to note that UNCLOS extended the sovereignty of coastal States to an adjacent belt of sea, described as the territorial sea, to a limit not exceeding 12 nautical miles. The Convention also granted coastal States sovereign rights for the purpose of exploring and exploiting, conserving and managing the natural resources of their Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), as well as jurisdiction for the protection and preservation of the marine environment of their EEZ.

UNCLOS urged all States to co-operate at the global and regional level to formulate rules and standards for marine protection, which has been taken up by a number of organisation, including IMO, UNEP and the FAO (see below).

Obligations under UNCLOS which are relevant in the context of this Action Plan include the need to take all measures necessary to prevent, reduce and control pollution of the marine environment, including from the intentional or accidental introduction of alien or new species (Article 196). Furthermore, this Convention states that specific obligations assumed by Parties under other specialised conventions regarding the protection and preservation of the marine environment, need to be carried out in a manner consistent with the general principles and objectives of UNCLOS (Article 237).

Further developing UNCLOS, the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of UNCLOS relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks was adopted, although it only entered into force in December 2001. This Agreement introduces a number of innovative measures, particularly in the area of environmental and resource protection, as it obliges Parties to adopt a precautionary approach to fisheries exploitation and gives expanded powers to port States to enforce the adequate management of fisheries resources.

5.1.6. The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO)

The necessity to combat the degradation and depletion of fish stocks, both in the zones under national jurisdiction and in the high seas, as well as its causes, such as overfishing and excess fishing capacity, by-catch and discards, has been tackled by the FAO through the 1995 Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. The Code provides a framework for national and international efforts to ensure sustainable exploitation of aquatic living resources in harmony with the environment. The FAO Committee on Fisheries (COFI) is charged with monitoring and updating the Code. A set of technical guidelines have been produced by FAO in support of the implementation of the Code.

In the context of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries and its overall objective of sustainable fisheries, the issue of illegal, unreported and

unregulated (IUU) fishing is of serious and increasing concern, as it undermines efforts to conserve and manage fish stocks. The International Plan of Action to Prevent, Deter and Eliminate Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing was adopted in 2001 and, as the Code of Conduct, has a non-legally binding nature.

Another tool developed within the framework of the Code of Conduct is the 2003 Strategy for Improving Information on Status and Trends of Capture Fisheries. The Strategy is a voluntary instrument whose overall objective is to provide a framework and plan for the improvement of knowledge and understanding of fishery status and trends as a basis for policy-making and management for the conservation and sustainable use of fishery resources within ecosystems.

In 1999 the FAO published its Strategic Framework for 2000-2015, including objectives supporting the conservation, improvement and sustainable use of natural resources for food and agriculture such as fisheries.

There are a number of legal and policy instruments that may have future implications on the populations of *A. sturio*, including the Codex Alimentarius, the EU Regulation No 338/97, of 9 December 1996, implementing CITES issues in EU law, as well as many others. As an endangered species covered by CITES, the European sturgeon is listed in Annex A of this regulation.

5.2.Regional instruments

5.2.1. The Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention, 1979)

The European sturgeon is listed as a strictly protected species (Annex II). Each Contracting Party shall take appropriate and necessary legal and administrative measure to ensure its conservation and in particular prohibit (Article 6):

- its deliberate capture, keeping and killing;
- deliberate damage to or destruction of breeding or nesting sites;
- the deliberate disturbance of wild fauna, particularly during the period of breeding, rearing and hibernation, insofar as disturbance would be significant in relation to the objectives of this Convention;
- the deliberate destruction or taking of eggs from the wild or keeping these eggs even if empty;
- the possession of and internal trade in these animals, alive or dead, including stuffed animals and any readily recognisable part or derivative

thereof, where this would contribute to the effectiveness of the provisions of this article.

Parties have to coordinate their efforts to ensure the species conservation throughout its range (Article 10). They commit themselves to:

- Co-operate whenever appropriate and in particular where this would enhance the effectiveness of measures taken under other articles of this Convention, and to encourage and co-ordinate research related to the purposes of this Convention.
- Encourage the reintroduction of native species of wild flora and fauna when this would contribute to the conservation of an endangered species, provided that a study is first made in the light of the experiences of other Contracting Parties to establish that such reintroduction would be effective and acceptable (Article 11).

The Convention's Standing Committee has approved the initiative of developing an action plan for the Western European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) and Recommendation No. 59 (1997) provides guidelines on this issue.

In addition, the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention has adopted Recommendation 116 (2005) on the conservation of sturgeon (*Acipenseridae*) in the Danube River Basin, asking Parties to consider drafting and implementing national action plans for the sturgeon species listed in the Appendix to the Recommendation, and to take note, in that context, of the Action Plan for the Conservation of Sturgeons (*Acipenseridae*) in the Danube River Basin.

5.2.2. The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention)

The OSPAR Biological Diversity and Ecosystems Conservation Strategy comprises four elements: ecological quality objectives, protection of species and their habitats; the creation of an ecologically coherent network of well-managed marine protected areas, and programmes to reduce the potential detrimental effects of human activities in the maritime area covered by the Convention.

Acipenser sturio is listed in the OSPAR List of Threatened and/or declining Species and Habitats as a threatened species, specifically for the OSPAR regions "North Sea and Bay of Biscay."

The working group on Marine Protected Areas, Species and Habitats (MASH) is responsible for overseeing the list of threatened and/or declining species and habitats. Germany and France have been leading the development of measures for the protection of *A. sturio*, and will be putting

forward a (draft) monitoring and assessment strategy to MASH in November 2007. To date, France and Germany have supported the following measures:

- *ex-situ* conservation measures to protect the genetic resources/genetic diversity of the last natural population;
- controlled reproduction programmes that take into account genetic aspects;
- release of young specimens into two appropriate hydrologic schemes in order to at least reduce the risks of species extinction;
- effective protection and restoration of recognised or potentially threatened habitats of the species;
- prohibition of the release of non-native sturgeon species;
- improvement of the coordination and cooperation of national sturgeon restoration programmes, integration of sturgeon restoration into other protection and restoration activities (ex : salmon, eel, habitats, protected areas, etc.);
- monitoring programmes to detect the status of the species and alterations to its habitats;
- education, outreach, and training programmes for fishermen.

5.2.3. The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean (Barcelona Convention, 1976)

Part of UNEP's Regional Seas Programme, the Barcelona Convention is relevant for all Mediterranean countries. For the purposes of this Action Plan, the Convention is relevant for Spain, France, Greece and Italy and other adjacent countries. One of the main objectives of the Convention is to set up a list of Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI), including sites showing a "particular importance for the conservation of the constituent elements of the biological diversity of the Mediterranean Sea, comprising ecosystems that are specific to the Mediterranean region or endangered species habitats or representing a particular scientific, aesthetic, cultural or educational interest". The programme of activities of the Convention for the 1996–2005 period included two priority fields of action concerning the sturgeon: the management and protection of genetic resources, and of marine biological resources.

5.2.4 European Community laws and regulations

All range States for the European sturgeon along the Atlantic coast are members of the European Union, as it is also the case of relevant Mediterranean countries, such as Spain, France, Italy, Greece, Malta, Cyprus and Slovenia.

The European sturgeon is a species for which the European Community has a particular responsibility since the whole range of the species lies to a very large extent within the limits of the European Community. This is especially true for France as it hosts the only known reproductive population of *A. sturio*. The relevant Community law in this matter is the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992).

5.2.4.1 Community legislation for species and habitats

The European sturgeon is listed in the Habitats Directive among the priority animal species of Community interest (Annex II) and its conservation requires the designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC). As regards European sturgeon, eleven areas have been designated up to now and six others are in the process of being approved (see Table 2, which includes some of the NATURA 2000 sites). The species is also included in the list of animal species of Community interest in need of strict protection (Annex IV).

Article 6 is the main provision of the Habitats Directive targeting species conservation measures. It provides that Member States must take appropriate steps to avoid the deterioration of natural habitats and of the habitat of species for which the habitat has been designated, as well as disturbance of those species if such disturbance could be significant in relation to the objectives of the Directive.

The transposition of this Article into the national legislation of the Member States constitutes a strict obligation. Nevertheless, lack of transposition does not free those States from their obligations derived from this legal instrument.

The Habitats Directive has traditionally been better implemented on terrestrial and aquatic habitats. However, the peculiarities of natural marine habitats and marine species or, as in the case of sturgeon, anadromous species, have to be considered. Discussions were conducted within the European Commission to improve the implementation of the NATURA 2000 network in the marine environment, which led to the publication of “Commission guidelines” in May 2007, including:

- A better interpretation of the definition of some marine habitats;
- Establishment of selection guidelines for marine SACs/SPAs;

- Guidance on issues related to the management of such areas.

5.2.4.2 Community regulation concerning Common Fisheries Policy (CEP)

The Common Fisheries Policy (CFP, Council Regulation (EC) No 2371/2002 of 20 December 2002) allows the exploitation of living aquatic resources that ensures the sustainability of economic, environmental and social conditions, taking into account the impact of fishing activities on the environment. To achieve sustainability objectives, the EU Council must establish Community specific measures to reduce the impact of fishing activities on marine ecosystems and non-target species (chapter II, article 4, item (g), indent (iv) of the 2002 Regulation). Therefore, sturgeons should not be ignored in these considerations. For further information, consult the website:

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2002/l_358/l_35820021231en00590080.pdf

The fact that the European sturgeon remains highly threatened despite its status under these international instruments reinforces the urgent need for significantly enhanced Europe-wide cooperation and action, as laid out in this Action Plan. Furthermore, the instruments themselves provide important tools and mechanisms for the delivery of such actions on the ground.

The Common Fisheries Policy of the EU allows the exploitation of living aquatic resources, including anadromous and catadromous species during their marine life, providing that the precautionary approach to fisheries management is followed strictly, taking sound management measures to conserve target species, associated or dependent species, as well as non-target species. Sturgeons should not be neglected in these considerations and management measures.

5.2.4.3 Council Resolution concerning alien and locally absent species

The Council of the European Union adopted Regulation (EC) No 708 in June 2007, concerning the use of alien and locally absent species in aquaculture. This regulation aims to better control the introduction of non-native species in aquaculture in order to prevent negative impacts on native species and ecosystems. This regulation builds on the voluntary Codes of Practice developed over the past decades by intergovernmental organisations such as ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea), EIFAC (European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission of FAO) and IOE (International Office of Epizooties). For further information, consult the website:

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2007/l_168/l_16820070628en00010017.pdf

5.2.4.4 European Commission Communication on Biodiversity 2010 and beyond

According to the Communication of the European Commission entitled “Halting the loss of biodiversity by 2010 and beyond”, adopted in May 2006, the reformed Common Fisheries Policy, when fully implemented, will reduce fishing pressure, improve the status of harvested stocks and better protect non-target species and habitats. The European Community also plans to develop a strategy to address alien species. For further information, consult:

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/com/2006/com20060216en01.pdf>

5.2.4.5 Community regulation concerning the ecological quality of water bodies

The EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) (Directive No. 2000/60/EC of 23 October 2000) requires that all underground and surface waters, including coastal waters, should be of “good ecological status” by 2015. It requires in particular the adoption and implementation of management plans and measures adapted to each watershed.

Annex V of the WFD lists “composition, abundance and partially age structure” of a water body’s fish fauna among the key elements for classifying the ecological status of surface and transitional waters. In this context, monitoring of the status of the European sturgeon population maybe a valid component when assessing the overall status of migratory fish in the various river basins of its historical distribution area in the EU once the restoration has been started.

The normative definitions included in Annex V define good ecological status (GES) as meaning “only slight changes in species composition, abundance and age structure from type-specific reference condition communities”. WFD and GES can only be employed as drivers for restoration measures where it can be proven where the sturgeon did form part of the pristine, historical reference condition. This is undoubtedly the case for the Gironde, the German Rivers Elbe and Rhine and their tributaries and possibly for several others such as the Portuguese, Spanish and some Italian rivers. It is therefore vitally important that range States within the EU do develop robust historic distribution databases. With time, this may well add other situations to those listed above. The WFD also states that its implementation must achieve compliance with the environmental objectives

laid down in other EU legislation for protected areas, notably under the Habitats Directive. As the European sturgeon is a priority species listed in the Annexes of the Habitats Directive, the achievement of a favourable conservation status for this species would be an important indicator for successful implementation of the WFD.

5.3.3 National Regulations

The legal status of the European sturgeon at the national and sub-national level differs among countries. Here is an indicative list, with varying degrees of detail, providing information on the legal protection of the *A. sturio* in several relevant range States.

➤ **Belgium**

In the early 19th century, the European sturgeon was abundant in the Meuse river until Liege. Its disappearance from the Meuse basin apparently pre-dates the years 1840-1850, which makes of *A. sturio* the first extinct species in Wallonia (Phillipart 1982).

In the Wallonian region, the species is entirely protected by Law of 12 July 1973 on the conservation of nature, as amended by Decree of 6 December 2001. This legal protection implies the prohibition of intentionally capturing and killing wild individuals, as well as disturbing them, destroying or collecting their eggs, damaging or destroying their reproduction sites, stuffing, keeping or selling wounded, sick or dead individuals. The same law also bans keeping, transporting, exchanging, selling, buying or donating specimens of this species taken from the wild, and display them in public places.

Concerning the releases of exotic species, this law provides for the prohibition of introducing into the wild of non-native species, and of non-native stocks of native species. The law also bans the reintroduction of native species. Exceptions to these rules are possible and permits can be issued in accordance with the Decree of 6 December 2001. The release of non-native sturgeons is clearly prohibited in the territory of the Wallonian region but nevertheless the legislation is not always respected and accidental or intentional releases occur frequently – although it is impossible to quantify these events.

In the Flemish region, the European sturgeon is protected by law, as there is a full prohibition of any capture, according to the Decision of the Regional Government of 20 May 1992, which transposed the Law on River Fishing of 1 July 1954. The Decision of the Regional Government of 21 April 1993, related to the introduction of non-native species, prohibited the introduction into the wild of any species which had not been present in Belgian territory for at least 50 years, but this Decision has been abolished.

The 1954 Law on River Fishing also bans the introduction of fish in waters of public use. If other types of sturgeon are present in Flemish public waters, it will be as a result of an accidental release coming either from a fish breeding plant or a garden lake. It would be unusual and exceptional for a non-native sturgeon to be captured in Flemish waters.

➤ ***The Czech Republic***

The species is considered extinct or missing in the territory of the Czech Republic in 1933 the last specimen observed in Elbe River at Střekov, TL over 200 cm, identified according to fins by fishermen (Flasar and Flasarová, 1976), while its previous occurrence was regular and extensive. *A. sturio* and it is strongly protected according to EU regulations, and trading in the species is subject to CITES, Appendix I.

➤ ***France***

Fishing and sale of *Acipenser sturio* are prohibited by cross-ministerial decision since January 1982. This protection was extended by cross-ministerial decision from December 2004 to that of the particular habitats of the species by prohibiting their destruction, their deterioration and their degradation. Any accidentally captured sturgeon must be returned to the water. The proper administrative authority can deliver exceptional exemptions from prohibitions and obligations, for particular reasons. When there is a research programme for the restoration of the population, an accidentally captured sturgeon can be used for this restoration objective, with appropriate authorisation.

➤ ***Germany***

A. sturio has been abundant in the tributaries to the North Sea in Germany. It was a major commercial species in the Rhine, Ems, Weser, Elbe and Eider Rivers as well as their main tributaries until the onset of the 20th century. Its decline started in the 1870s in the Weser River and subsequently in the Stör River a tributary to the River Elbe. The last reported reproduction dates back to 1957 in the River Oste. The last catches in rivers were reported in 1969 in the Eider River and 1972 in the River Ems. In coastal waters the bycatch of *A. sturio* continued until 1994 when the last fish was caught off the island of Helgoland.

Acipenser sturio is listed in the Red List of endangered species since 1976 (Blab and Nowak 1976). It is strictly protected by the Federal Nature Conservation Act as well as the Nature Conservation Acts of the Federal States. Fishing of *A. sturio* is prohibited by Fishing Laws of the Federal States. Sales and transfers of *A. sturio* are legally prohibited by ministerial decision since June 1976.

A national program for the protection and remediation has been initiated in 1996. River habitats for reproduction and early life stages have been identified in the Rivers Elbe, Oste, Stör and Rhine up to date. The verification and characterization of the habitats for juveniles is planned for the first releases with fish tagged telemetry transmitters. In accordance with the legal framework for Natura 2000, no sites have been specifically designated under the Habitats Directive for Germany, because of its absence from the rivers and its extreme scarcity in German marine waters. Until today *A. sturio* has not been proposed as an indicator species under the WFD because of its extreme scarcity and unknown status.

➤ **Greece**

Fishery of *A. sturio* has been reported to be present until 1975. Officially, the species is protected.

➤ **Italy**

The catch, hold, transport and trade of *A. sturio* have been banned since 1980 (Ministerial Decree Mercantile Marine on Cetaceans, Turtles and Sturgeon catch regulation, 21 March 1980), reinforced by the Ministerial Decree DM of May 3, 1989.

Italy transposed the Habitats Directive through DPR no. 537, of 8 September 1997, subsequently modified and integrated by the Ministerial Decree DM January 20, 1999 and by the DPR no. 120 of 12 March 2003.

At the regional level, the *A. sturio* and all other autochthonous sturgeon species have particular legal protection in Lombardi, Veneto and Emilia Romagna, where catching is prohibited all year round for all sizes under the regional laws and regulations. A number of historical sites important for *A. sturio* either spawning or nursery grounds are identified in Table 4, although at the present time the species is considered as “missing” in the area. The last specimen of *A. sturio* was caught in Italy in November 1991 in the Livenza, locality Quinto di Treviso, which is preserved at the Museo di Storia Naturale di Venezia (MSNV) N° 14038.

➤ **The Netherlands**

Acipenser sturio seems to be considered as extinct in Dutch waters, especially in Dutch rivers. Nevertheless, the fish is strictly protected under the Fauna and Flora Act. Anybody planning an activity which may be detrimental to sturgeons must request a licence, which in almost all cases will be refused. However, at present there is no knowledge available on whether sturgeon still exist in Dutch waters and therefore it can presently not be demonstrated that a planned activity will interfere with sturgeons.

➤ **Portugal**

The conservation status of the species is: extinct. Its legal protection is as follows:

- Decree-Law n° 140/99, of 24 April 1999, Appendices B-II and B-IV, transposing Habitats Directive (92/43/CEE) of 21 May 1992;
- Decree-Law n° 316/89, of 22 September 1989, transposing into the national legislation the Bern Convention, Appendix III;
- Decree n° 103/80, of 11 October 1980, transposing the Bonn Convention, Appendix II;
- Decree-Law n° 114/90, of 5 April 1990, transposing CITES, Council Regulation (EC) n° 1332/2005 of 9 August 2005 (modification of Council Regulation (EC) n° 338/97 of 9 December 2005), Appendix I-A.

➤ **Romania**

The species is currently strictly protected in Romania (Law No. 13 / 1993 for the ratification of the Bern Convention of 19 July 1979. Monitorul Oficial al Romaniei nr. 62 / 25.03.1993, Bucuresti).

➤ **Spain**

Acipenser sturio is included in Spain's National Catalogue of Threatened Species (*Catálogo Nacional de Especies Amenazadas*) since 2000, in the category of "endangered species") by Decision of the Ministry of Environment of 21 October 2002 (Order MAM/2734/2002, published in Official Bulletin n° 2065, of 5 December 2002). This decision modified the list or the category of threatened species included in the previous version of the Catalogue implemented by the Law n° 4/1989 of 28 March 1989 for the conservation of natural sites and wild flora and fauna, and its Royal Decree n° 439/1990, of 30 March 1990. The inclusion of *A. sturio* in the National Catalogue implies that regional governments ("Autonomous Communities") have to adopt Restoration Plans for this species, which have not been developed yet.

➤ **United Kingdom**

The species has complete protection under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act, 1981. This Act consolidates and amends existing national legislation to implement the Bern Convention and the Birds Directive in Great Britain. The Act makes it an offence (subject to exceptions) to intentionally kill, injure, or take, possess or trade in any wild animal listed in Schedule 5, and prohibits interference with places used for shelter or protection, or intentionally disturbing animals occupying such places. *Acipenser sturio* was listed under Schedule 5 in 1992.

No Special Areas of Conservation have been proposed under the Habitats Directive for the UK, because of the sporadic occurrence, and the degree of uncertainty as to whether *Acipenser sturio* is a vagrant or migrant in UK waters.

The UK Biodiversity Partnership is responsible for implementing the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP), in pursuance of the CBD. The Partnership conducted a Species and Habitat Review, reporting in June 2007. The current list of UK BAP priority species, identified nearly 10 years ago, includes 577 species through a combination of species action plans, grouped species plans and species statements. The new proposed list of 1149 species put forward for Ministerial consideration, includes *Acipenser sturio*. The criterion for selection was “international threat”. Proposed elements of an action plan include “international level agreements and plans”, “survey for new sites” and “research into conservation action”. If the new list is fully ratified, the action plan elements listed above would tend to fully support this Action Plan under the Bern Convention. It should be noted that of the freshwater fish species that migrate through estuaries, the existing list includes only *Alosa alosa* and *Alosa fallax*. Other than *Acipenser sturio*, the new list also includes all of the remaining species in the UK, including *Anguilla anguilla*, *Lampetra fluviatilis*, *Osmerus eperlanus*, *Petromyzon marinus*, *Salmo salar* and *Salmo trutta*. Ratification as listed would provide a new stimulus in the UK to protect freshwater and estuarine habitats and their connectivity, given the range of BAP species present.

Edward II granted the sturgeon “royal” status. Since the 14th century, the species has been regarded as a Royal fish. Tradition dictates that any captured fish be offered to the monarch in the first instance. This also applies to the Prince of Wales as the Duke of Cornwall, if the capture is made in that county. The last fish known to be accepted by the Crown was in 1969.

VI. OBJECTIVES AND MEASURES

6.1 GOALS AND OVERALL OBJECTIVES

The Action Plan aims at preventing *A. sturio* from becoming extinct in Europe while building on a step-by-step reconstitution of viable populations of the European sturgeon in its historic range through close national and international co-operation of range States, at all organizational levels. **The sequence of actions listed in this chapter does not present BY ANY MEANS a priority listing. Many of the issues require simultaneous implementation of several actions while also some range states would need to place priorities in a different sequence than others.** (Priorities might be selected by the planning and coordinating committee to implement

the AP as outlined under 6.2.4 Component 4 and Table in Annex 1, Action 7).

The Action Plan specifically targets on the implementation of effective conservation measures for *A. sturio* while simultaneously contributing to global biodiversity conservation and the sustainable management of aquatic resources, including the fulfilment of the 2010 target to halt the loss of biodiversity agreed upon at the fifth Ministerial Conference “Environment for Europe”, held in May 2003, in Kiev, Ukraine where Environment Ministers and Heads of delegation from 51 countries in the UNECE region adopted the Kiev Resolution on Biodiversity including their commitment to “halt the loss of biodiversity by 2010”.

At the EU level, the Heads of States of EU countries also committed themselves to the 2010 target as an objective in the EU’s Strategy for Sustainable Development. Further, a proactive implementation of the required measures may well lead to the improvement of the general ecological conditions of marine, riverine and estuarine ecosystems, thereby fostering the conditions also needed for recovering sturgeon habitats.

This Action Plan, together with the Council of Europe’s Action Plan for the conservation of sturgeons (*Acipenseridae*) in Danube River Basin, and any future plans, is therefore expected to contribute to the improvement of protection and restoration of sturgeon species and other anadromous fish in Europe and beyond.

6.2 Actions and expected results

A range of measures has already been taken for the conservation of the European sturgeon. However, these have so far proved to be only partially successful, so more efforts are needed to secure the survival of the species.

The mortality from poaching and by-catch remains a continuous threat to the remaining individuals, and has to be largely reduced.

Appropriate *ex-situ* measures are an essential prerequisite for the conservation of the species.

Remediation of the population will require supportive stocking activities including the initiation of restocking in other watersheds than the Gironde. Migration routes and habitats for all life-cycle stages should be protected and restored, since habitat loss prevents the natural recovery of the populations.

The plan has seven main conservation objectives which may be grouped under four general components. Details on the required measures for each of the actions are listed in Annex 1 of the Annex under each of the objectives along with the respective agencies, institutions and organisations to which

actions are mainly addressed while also the indicators of success are also listed with the specific milestones to be reached. These components are:

- ✓ **Component 1** : *In-situ* conservation of *A. sturio*
- ✓ **Component 2** : Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats
- ✓ **Component 3** : *Ex-situ* conservation and re-introduction of *A. sturio*
- ✓ **Component 4**: International cooperation

The specific objectives and related actions for each of these components can be summarized as follows:

6.2.1 Component 1: *In-situ* conservation of *A. sturio*

Objective 1: Significant reduction of fishing mortality

The support of fishermen to a programme of reduction of accidental captures and of *in-situ* monitoring of the species is critical to success of the Action Plan. The experience in France demonstrates clearly that this is both practicable and possible.

Actions

- Intensively involve professional and recreational fisheries to significantly reduce mortality due to accidental catches and through poaching. Engage with and educate recreational and other fishermen to significantly reduce mortality due to accidental catches
- Raise public awareness about the status of *Acipenser sturio* as “protected species” and the state of international and community law. Raise public awareness and the willingness to co-operate among fishermen and fisheries’ inspections about the status of *Acipenser sturio* as “protected species” and the state of international and community law
- Enhance co-operation of fishermen and fisheries inspections to increase awareness on the protection status of the species
- Develop and introduce selective fisheries techniques
- Develop incentives to promote release and accurate reporting
- Monitor *A. sturio* by-catch and by-catch mortality
- Develop and introduce selective fisheries techniques
- Exclude non-selective clearly adverse fishing techniques in critical habitats of *A. sturio* (spawning sites, juvenile aggregations, nursery grounds)

- Consider incentive schemes to promote release and accurate reporting

Objective 2: Effective control of allochthonous species

The introduction and transfer of non-indigenous species poses a critical threat to changes of biodiversity, a fact of equal importance to the loss of native species. The present rate of increasing reports of exotic sturgeon species (including hybrids) within the native range of *A. sturio* is alarming, as these non-native species may negatively affect the integrity of ecosystems in which the native sturgeon thrives, mainly competing for habitat and food resources.

Actions

- Prevent escapes from fish farms and ponds as well as from live transport systems (especially sturgeon)
- Prevent illegal and accidental introductions of alien species (including sturgeons) into the wild
- Aim towards greater consistency of European regulations on pet fish trade
- Inform the general public about the risk of allochthonous sturgeon introductions
- Encourage removal (eventual eradication) of exotic species, in accordance with national laws and promote to improve national laws in line with existing inter-governmental and international codes and guidelines

6.2.2 Component 2: Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats

Objective 3: Protecting and improving the quality and continuity of essential riverine and estuarine sturgeon habitats

Habitats previously used by *A. sturio* as spawning and nursery grounds in riverine and coastal waters have deteriorated and information on their status and option for rehabilitation is scanty to non-existing. There is an urgent need to improve the knowledge base on the subject while also seriously addressing issues on habitat fragmentation and needs for interconnections (“ecological footholds”).

Actions

- Identify, map and analyse the functionality of present and potential essential *A. sturio* habitats
- Protect or restore critical habitat functions

- Identify and map barriers to sturgeon migration in rivers of the historic distribution area where reintroductions are foreseen
- Re-open and reconstruct sturgeon migration routes, including construction of suitable fish passes (in existing and future constructions): (a) Conduct feasibility studies for fish passage to potential upstream spawning sites; (b) Remove or open dams if feasible; (c) Monitor the efficiency of fish passes
- Monitor habitat status and utilisation
- Designate and manage protected areas to integrate essential sturgeon habitats into relevant networks including marine habitats of *A. sturio*.

Objective 4: Improvement of water quality

Water quality objectives certainly play an important role in habitat restoration and species recovery programmes. Our knowledge on requirements of various life cycle stages of sturgeons in terms of chemical and biological water quality criteria is fragmentary and needs urgent attention.

Actions

- identify water quality requirements for spawning and nursery habitats to the extent possible
- Identify and register sources of pollution which can directly have an impact on water quality of critical sturgeon habitats
- Integrate the identified needs in the setting-up of river basin management plans, as appropriate, while implementing locally the EU Water Framework Directive

6.2.3 Component 3: *Ex-situ* conservation and re-introduction of the *A. sturio*

Objective 5: Ex-situ conservation of A. sturio

As outlined above, there seems to be presently no alternative but pursuing *ex-situ* conservation to save the common European sturgeon from becoming extinct while building up a broodstock sufficiently large for mass reproduction and pursue rearing programmes for release of produced juveniles into restored habitats. A sequence of actions is required to achieve the objective.

Actions:

- Develop a contingency plan and establish receiving facilities for accidentally caught *A. sturio*

- Establish and expand existing broodstock as a founder population
- Ensure characterisation of all individuals of the broodstock (including gene bank)
- Establish a breeding plan to maximise effective population size and genetic diversity
- Guarantee continuous and urgent improvement of cultivation methodology
- Optimise diet composition and feeding regimes according to the requirements of life cycle stages
- Implement appropriate risk management systems to safeguard cultivation
- Attempts towards large-scale rearing of juveniles in sufficient quantities for release
- Establishment of cryopreservation of gametes and/or embryos
- Develop methods for gamete quality assessment to allow optimal control of the maturation processes

Objective 6: Release of A. sturio for re-establishment or enhancement

The ultimate goal of the objective is to re-establish self-sustaining populations in as many areas of its natural range as possible. These releases have to be (a) substantial in number because of the high natural mortality, (b) long-lasting (for decades) because of the slow growth of the species and its extreme longevity, (c) at the right “time-size-release window” and (d) at habitats of strategic importance to nursing and imprinting (homing).

Actions:

- Develop a management plan for the enhancement of the *A. sturio* population in the Gironde catchment area as well as in other areas with suitable *A. sturio* habitats in several range states
- Set up a distribution plan for restocking material to selected river basins in Europe (within historic range)
- Prepare management plans (including responsible authority, monitoring, surveillance of success), for the re-establishment of populations in those rivers
- Guarantee gradual adaptation of reared stocking material for fitness of survival under natural conditions: (a) Establish appropriate rearing facilities and procedures for rearing of stocking material; (b) Establish

the optimum time-size-release-window for juveniles allowing best survival

- Develop and apply marking techniques to monitor the success of the release programmes

6.2.4 Component 4: International co-operation

Objective 7: Facilitation of international co-operation

The six conservation objectives above have to be complemented by the establishment of an appropriate co-operation mechanism between all stakeholders, including governmental agencies, research institutions and NGOs in range states actively involved in sturgeon conservation projects and long-term programmes. Therefore, it is proposed to set up a European Group possibly comprising such active members from range State, to specifically discuss and co-ordinate the implementation of the Action Plan and relevant national actions. The composition of the group may vary, depending on the number of ongoing projects and programmes in participating range states

It is further proposed that such a Group should be established with close linkages to the Bern Convention and operate in accordance with its rules of procedure. The task of the Group shall be to facilitate through communication and co-ordination of national activities the implementation of the six conservation objectives by as many of the range States as possible. The WSCS (World Sturgeon Conservation Society) is proposed to be used as the technical meeting platform for the steering group because of (a) the continuity of available infrastructure at the home office while composition and numbers of group members will change with short-term projects, (b) the global sturgeon expertise of WSCS which can easily be tapped whenever guidance and scientific knowledge is needed to foster the group decisions.

The Group should hold its first meeting in early 2008 and decide on the further frequency and procedure of future meetings, which can be called in at the volunteering invitation of individual host countries. This is considered to be a matter of utmost urgency in the light of the status of the species *A. sturio*.

VII. OVERCOMING GAPS IN SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE NEEDED FOR A SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTION PLAN

As already outlined in several of the sections above, certain gaps in science and technology need to be filled to properly implement the Action Plan for sturgeon conservation and some of the required knowledge base has an over-arching significance for several of the Actions and their required

measures. While they are mostly included or implied in the proposed measures presented in Annex 1, these knowledge gaps can be addressed flexibly and directly within the proposed measures and/or independently carried out by national, international and EU-supported research projects, greatly assisting to reach certain milestones. Because certain research issues can serve several Actions they are not specifically listed in the table but flagged out here separately with the respective Action, measure and milestone indicated for ease of orientation.

Although there are many research priority needs, only 8 subject areas are highlighted. Others might gain higher importance in the future as progress in knowledge and insights for long-term needs has been made. Therefore, the listing below does not exclude other issues but focuses on subjects pertinent to key Actions and their respective objectives and measures.

In-situ protection

- ✓ Improving the protection of the species in marine waters, more detailed knowledge on the habitat utilization and the underlying attractants are required. This knowledge also improves the options for protection measures for essential habitats and the network interconnecting them.
- ✓ No experimental trials concerning the reproductive potential of sturgeon hybrids (F1 of fish with different ploidy levels) have ever been reported. The difference in ploidies (functional 4n versus functional 2n respectively; Williot 2006) does suggest that a hybrid would become infertile being triploid. According to Birstein *et al.* (1998), the genotypes are rather 8n and 4n, in consequence resulting in fertile 6n offspring. There is a need for specific research in this area to close this critical knowledge gap.

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 2 objective but technically to Component 3, Objective 5, (particularly Action 5.3.1, as well as 5.4 while also serving several milestones and indicators).

Habitat protection and restoration

- ✓ Effects of climate change may become important in relation to subsequent changes in environmental conditions in different habitats essential for various life-cycle stages of *A. sturio* and Action Plan measures should take these into consideration. Some critical issues include:
 - a. altered dynamics and timing of spring floods with the subsequent effect of changes in trigger for upstream spawning migration
 - b. changes in the temperature regime of the river and the effects upon

- i. migration time upstream to spawning habitats, residence time in rivers and river sections for juveniles, and seaward downstream migration
- ii. changes in food availability in space and time, mainly in nursery areas and habitats for juveniles, potentially affecting riverine (and/or estuarine) residence time and size at out migration to sea, thereby seriously affecting survival potential and population structure

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 2, Objectives 3 and 4 (various Actions).

- ✓ Effects of the Golfech dam upon the conditions for migration and reproduction in the Garonne (the drastic decline of the population size due to reduced reproductive efficiency became apparent about 20 years after the installation of dams in most river systems with spawning runs such as the Elbe, Eider, Stör and Guadalquivir rivers).

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 2, Objective 3, in particular Actions 3.2, 3.4 and 3.5, serving the respective milestones indicated there under.

- ✓ Sound data on the requirements and key factors determining essential habitat requirement are missing.
- ✓ Channelisation, by cutting off meanders, straightening bends, eliminating sand and gravel bars is reducing habitat heterogeneity as well as the presence of suitable spawning sites.
- ✓ This constraint is severe in many of the large rivers in Europe. One example is described for the lower stretches of the Po delta. The last modifications of natural course of the Po River were started in the 1960's and could have had a serious effect on juveniles (Castaldelli, G., personal communication).

Specific research projects in this area should be linked to Component 2, Objective 3, serving specifically Actions 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 in order to achieve the respective indicators.

Ex-situ conservation

- ✓ Although the basic physiological functions are known, a serious knowledge gap exist for captive stocks with regard to the optimum environmental conditions to induce gonad maturation (i.e. combinations of light-temperature and feeding regimes) while producing high quality gametes with good survival potential for the subsequent generation. Historically, some attempts to raise *A. sturio* successfully in hatcheries

were undertaken in the lower Elbe River area (Blankenburg 1913) and in Schleswig-Holstein (Germany), however, the reports are very descriptive providing little quantifying information useful for developing a hatchery guideline. More experience will have to be acquired during the controlled reproductions of the *ex-situ* stock to develop hatchery techniques optimizing the quality of the offspring.

Specific research projects in this area should be linked to Component 3, Objective 5 serving all identified Actions (5.1 to 5.10).

- ✓ The optimum feed compositions matching the requirements of various life cycle stages are largely unknown. This knowledge is urgently needed to improve the quality of parent fish with special reference to overall health status and quality of gametes. Because of the longevity and late maturation with time frames 2-4 times longer than known for any of the established aquaculture species, such knowledge is critical for restocking programmes that built on small numbers in the founder population. It should be noted that specific information on the subject exists for other sturgeon species (i.e. *A. naccarii* and *A. transmontanus*).

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 3, Objective 5, serving Action 5.6 and Objective 6, serving particularly Action 6.4.

Release of Juveniles

- ✓ For the purpose of this Action Plan the populations within the historic range have to be considered as *A. sturio*, but additional efforts should be made to verify the species status of the populations under question in the near future.

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 3, Objective 6, serving Actions 6.1 to 6.3.

- ✓ There is a need to identify the proper size of fish for stocking to achieve the optimal conditions between imprinting juveniles to memorize their native river, fitness for competitive size against predation and the rearing costs (fitness for best survival after release). Much can be learned from the experiences made with Salmon ranching programmes, particularly in Canada, indicating that there is an optimum “time size release window” at which the highest return per unit investment can be obtained. Certainly, because of the longevity of the fish and the costs involved, such information is crucial for sturgeon re-establishment projects and extensive efforts should be made to study the optimum release conditions for sturgeons.

Specific research projects in this area should obviously be linked to Component 3, Objective 6, serving Action 6.4

- ✓ Besides these pressing issues there are numerous open questions that also need accompanying research to provide the necessary know how for optimizing the effectiveness of the overall Action Plan for *Acipenser sturio*. Certainly, as clearly stated under Component 4, a concerted and well coordinated effort is required to effectively implement the AP, recognizing the circumstance that there are limited resources available (not only in terms of funds but also in terms of human resources with the necessary expertise on sturgeons). It is believed that following the proposal as outlined under the four principle components of the Plan will allow achievement of the ultimate goal, to re-establish the sturgeon as a viable component in major areas of the historic distributional range. Such development will also allow the sturgeon to serve as a valuable environmental indicator species which responds over longer time periods (because of its longevity) to the effects of environmental stressors and the respective counter measures.

VIII REFERENCES CITED

- Akimova N.V., Ruban G.I., 1995. Reaction of reproductive system of sturgeons on anthropogenic impacts as a factor of population dynamics. Ecology of populations: structure and dynamics. Moskow. Part II. pp. 491-500 (in Russian).
- Akimova N.V., Ruban G.I. 1996. A classification of reproductive disturbances in sturgeons (Acipenseridae) caused by an anthropogenic impact. J. Ichthyol. 3(1): 61-76pp.
- Almaça C. 1988. On the sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio*, in the Portuguese rivers and seas. *Folia Zoologica* 37: 183-191.
- Almaça C., Elvira B., 2000. Past and present distribution of *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758 on the Iberian Peninsula. *Boletín - Instituto Español de Oceanografía* 16 (1-4): 11-16.
- Antipa G. 1909. Fauna Ichtiologica a Romaniei. *Inst. De Arte Grafice "Carol Göbl"* Bucuresti : 264 – 270.
- Arlati, G., Bronzi, P., Colombo, G., Giovannini, G. 1988. Induzione della riproduzione nello storione italiano (*Acipenser naccarii*) allevato in cattività [Reproduction induced in Italian sturgeon (*A. naccarii*) reared in captivity]. *Riv. Ital. Acquacol.* 23: 94-96. (in Italian)
- Arndt G.-M., Gessner J., Anders E., Spratte S., Filipiak J., Debus L., Skora K. 2000. Predominance of exotic and introduced species sturgeons among sturgeons captured from the Baltic and North Seas and their watersheds, 1981-1999. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía.* 16 (1-4): 29-36.
- Arndt G.-M., Gessner J., Raymakers C. 2002. Trends in farming, trade and occurrence of native and exotic sturgeons in natural habitats in Central and Western Europe. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 18 (4-6): 444-448.
- Arthukhin E., Vecsei P., 1999. On the status of Atlantic sturgeon - conspecificity of European *Acipenser sturio* and North American *Acipenser oxyrinchus*. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 15(4-5): 35-37
- Bacalbasa-Dobrovici N., 1991. Statut des differentes especes d'esturgeons dans le Danube Roumain: Problemes lies a leur maintenance [Status of different sturgeon species in the Romanian Danube: Problems associated with their protection]. In: Williot P. (Ed.), *Acipenser*, Actes du premier colloque international sur l'esturgeon. CEMAGREF Publ., Bordeaux. pp. 185-192.[in French]
- Bacalbasa-Dobrovici N., 1997. Endangered migratory sturgeons of the lower Danube River and its delta. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 48 (1-4): 201-207.

- Banarescu P., 1964. Pisces-Osteichthyes. Fauna Republicii Populare Romine. 13 *Editura Academiei* Rep. Pop. Romina, Bucuresti.
- Barannikova I.A., 1995. Measures to maintain sturgeon fisheries under conditions of ecosystem changes. In: Gershanovich A.D., Smith T.I.J. (Eds.). Proceedings, Second International Symposium on Sturgeons, 1993. *VNIRO Publ.*, Moscow. pp. 131-136.
- Baruš V., Černý K., Gajdůšek J., Hensel K., Holčík J., Kálal L., Krupauer V., Kux, Z., Libosvářský J., Lom J., Lusk S., Moravec F., Oliva O., Peňáz M., Pivnička K., Prokeš M., Ráb P., Špinar Z, Švátora M., Vostradovský J., 1995. *Fauna ČR a SR* (1, 2), Mihulovci a ryby [Lampreys and fishes], Academia, Praha. pp. 397-400 (in Czech).
- Bauch G., 1958. Untersuchung über die Gründe für den Ertragsrückgang der Elbfischerei zwischen Elbsandsteingebirge und Boizenburg. (Investigations on the reasons for the decline of the yield of the Elbe River fishery between the Elbsandsteingebirge and Boizenburg). *Z. Fisch. N.F.* 7: 161-437. [in German].
- Bauchot M.-L., 1987. Poissons osseux. [Bony fishes] p. 891-1421. In W. Fischer, M.L. Bauchot and M. Schneider (eds.) *Fiches FAO d'identification pour les besoins de la pêche*. (rev. 1). Méditerranée et mer Noire. Zone de pêche 37. Vol. II. Commission des Communautés Européennes and FAO, Rome [in French]
- Beamersderfer R.C.P. & Farr R.A. 1997. Alternatives for the protection and restoration of sturgeons and their habitat. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 48(1-4): 407-417.
- Beguer M. (2005). Analyse de l'importance relative du régime thermique et des caractéristiques des bassins versants dans la distribution des assemblages de poissons migrateurs amphihalins de l'Europe de l'Ouest. Mémoire de Master 2. Université de Bordeaux 1, Bordeaux, 33 pp.
- Bennecke B. 1881. Fische, Fischerei und Fischzucht in Ost- und Westpreußen. [Fishes, fisheries and fish rearing in Eastern and Western Prussia.] *Hartungsche Verlagsdruckerei*, Königsberg, 331 pp. (in German)
- Bickham J.W., Rowe G.T., Palatnikov G., Mekhtiev A., Mekhtiev M., Kasimov R.Y., Hauthsultz D.W., Wicklife J.K., Rogers W.J., 1998. Acute and genotoxic effects of Baku Harbor sediment on Russian sturgeon, *Acipenser güldenstädtii*. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 61: 512-518.

- Birstein V.J., Hanner R., DeSalle R., 1997a. Phylogeny of the Acipenseriformes: cytogenetic and molecular approaches. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 48(1-4): 127-155.
- Birstein V.J.; Bemis W.E.; Waldman J.R., 1997b. The threatened status of acipenseriform species: a summary. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 48(1-4): 427-435.
- Birstein V.J., Betts J., de Salle R., 1998. Molecular identification of *Acipenser sturio* specimens: a warning note for recovery plans. *Biological Conserv.* 84: 97-101.
- Blab J., Nowak E., 1976. Rote Liste der in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland gefährdeten Tierarten Teil I – Wirbeltiere ausgenommen Vögel (1. Fassung) [Red list of the endangered species of the Federal Republic of Germany Vol.I – Vertebrates except birds (1. Version)]. – *Natur und Landschaft* 51(2): 34-38. [in German].
- Blankenburg A., 1910. Von der Störfischerei in der Elbe. (On the sturgeon fishery in the Elbe River.). *Der Fischerbote* 2(1): 7-12. [in German].
- Bloesch B., Jones T., Reinartz R., Striebel B., (Eds). 2006. Action Plan for the Conservation of the Sturgeons (Acipenseridae) in the Danube River Basin. *Council of Europe publishing*, Nature and Environment No 144: 121 pp.
- Bonne G., 1905. Die Vernichtung der deutschen Flussfischerei durch die Verunreinigung unserer Gewässer mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Verhältnisse auf der Unterelbe [The deterioration of the German river fishery through the pollution of our waters with special emphasis on the Lower River Elbe]. *Z. Fisch. Berlin.* 12: 1-28. [In German]
- Britton J.R., Davies G.D., 2006. Ornamental species of the genus *Acipenser*: new additions to the ichthyofauna of the UK *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 2006 (13): 207–210.
- Brosse L., Rochard E., Dumont P., Lepage M., 2000. First results on the diet of the European sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio*, in the Gironde estuary. Comparison with the benthic fauna. First-meeting-on-Ichthyology-in-France, RIF 2000. Gayet, M., Sire, J.Y. (ed.), Paris, France, *Société-française-d’-Ichtyologie* 24:(3) 49-61.
- Carausu S., 1952. *Tratat de Ichtiologie*. Edit Acad. RPR: 355 – 357 [Rumenian]
- Castelnaud G., Gadrat S., Trouvery M., 1981. La protection des migrateurs contre les extractions de granulats : réflexions, méthodes et résultats pour la Dordogne en aval de Bergerac [The protection of the migratory species from the removal of gravel: reflexions, methods and results for

- the Dordogne upstream of Bergerac]. Cemagref, Bordeaux, 18 p. [In French].
- Castelnaud G., Rochard E., Jatteau P., Lepage M., 1991. Données actuelles sur la biologie d'*Acipenser sturio* dans l'estuaire de la Gironde [Recent findings on the biology of *Acipenser sturio* in the Gironde estuary]. pp. 251-275. In: *Acipenser* (P. Williot Ed), Cemagref Publ., Antony, France, [In French].
- Chalikov B.G. 1949. Atlanticheskii osetr – *Acipenser sturio* Linné [Atlantic sturgeon - *Acipenser sturio* Linné]. Pp 67-71. In: L.S. Berg, A.S. Bogdanov, N.I. Kozhin, T.S. Rass (Editors). *Promyslovye ryby SSR. Opisaneya ryb; Pishchepromizdat, Moskva.* [In Russian].
- Chebanov M.S., Savelyeva E.A., 1999. New strategies for brood stock management of sturgeon in the Sea of Azov basin in response to changes in patterns of spawning migration. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 15(4-5): 183-190.
- Classen T.E.A, 1944. Estudio bio-estadístico del sturion o solo del Guadalquivir (*Acipenser sturio* L.) [Biostatistical study on the sturgeon of the Guadalquivir]. Ministerio de Marina, Instituto Espanol de Oceanografía, Trabajos 19, Madrid, Spain. [In Spanish].
- Collins M.; Smith T. I. J.; Post W. C.; Pashuk O., 2000. Habitat utilization and biological characteristics of adult Atlantic sturgeon in two South Carolina rivers. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 129: 982-988.
- Coutant C.C., 2004. A riparian habitat hypothesis for successful reproduction of white sturgeon. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 12: 23-73
- D'Ancona U., 1924a. Dati per la biologia degli storioni nelle acque italiane. (Data for the biology of sturgeons in Italian waters) *Monitore Zoologico Italiano* 35(6-7): 126-133. (In Italian)
- D'Ancona U., 1924b. Contributo alla biologia degli storioni nelle acque italiane. (Contribution to the biology of sturgeons in Italian waters) Libreria dello Stato, Ministero Economia Nazionale, Roma. 12-49. [In Italian].
- Debus L., 1997. Sturgeons in Europe and causes of their decline. Birstein, V.J., A. Bauer and A. Kaiser-Pohlmann (eds.). 1997. *Sturgeon Stocks and Caviar Trade Workshop.* IUCN: Occasional Paper of the SSC No. 17. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, U.K.
- Dettlaff T.A., Ginsburg A.S., Schmalhausen O.I., 1993. *Sturgeon Fishes, Developmental Biology and Aquaculture.* Springer Verlag, Berlin. 300 p.

- Durrieu G., Maury-Brachet R., Girardin M., Rochard E., Boudou A. 2005. Contamination by heavy metals (Cd, Zn, Cu, and Hg) of eight species in the Gironde estuary (France). *Estuaries* 28(4): 581-591.
- Ehrenbaum E., 1913. Über den Stör [On the sturgeon]. *Fischerbote* 5: 142-149. [In German].
- Ehrenbaum E. 1916. Zur Antrage auf Erhöhung des gesetzlichen Maßes für den Stör (On the request to increase the legal size of landed sturgeons). *Fischerbote* 8: 31-32. [In German].
- Ehrenbaum E. 1923. Die Eider als Störfluß und die Schonung des Störs [The Eider River as a sturgeon river and the conservation of sturgeons]. *Fischerbote norddeutscher Fisch.* 5: 77-83. [In German].
- Elie P. (Ed.), 1997. Restauration de l'esturgeon Europeen *Acipenser sturio*. Rapport final du programme execution Operations: I-III, 1994-1997 [Restoration of the European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio*. Final report for Operations I-III]. *Etude Cemagref* 24: 381 pp. [In French].
- Elsner B. 1885. Bericht über die Arbeiten in 1885. [Report of operations during 1885]. 9. Jahresbericht des zentralen Fischereiverbandes Schleswig-Holstein, Rendsburg 1885. (released early 1886).
- Elsner B. 1887. Die künstliche Vermehrung des Störs in Schleswig-Holstein: Experimente im Fluß die Stör im Jahre 1877 [The artificial propagation of sturgeon in Schleswig-Holstein, Germany : experiments on the River Stör in 1877]. *Itzehoher Nachrichten* 87, *Itzehoe*, 31. Juli 1887.
- Elsner B., Stemann V., 1886. Vorläufiger genereller Bericht über die Störerbrütung im Sommer 1886 [Preliminary Report on sturgeon breeding during summer 1886]. *Circulare des Deutschen Fischerei-Vereins*, No. 4: 212. [In German].
- Elvira B., Almodovar A., Lobon-Cervia J., 1991. Recorded distribution of sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio* L., 1758) on the Iberian Peninsula, actual status in Spanish waters. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 121 (2): 253-258.
- Elvira B., Almodovar A., Birstein V.J., Gessner J., Holčík J., Lepage M., Rochard E. (Eds.), 2000. Symposium on Conservation of the Atlantic Sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758 in Europe. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía.* 16(1-4): 1-254.
- EMC2I, 2005. Vers un plan international de restauration de l'esturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*). Document préliminaire d'orientation (prepared by Hervé Lethier). Paris, WWF France : 58 p.

- Engelhardt C., Sukhodolov A., Wolter, C., 2004. Estimating the effect of navigation on fish habitats in inland waterways. In: Greco, Caravetta and Del Morte (eds) River Flow 2004, Balkema, Leiden 1365-1371,
- Fernández-Pasquier V., 1999. *Acipenser sturio* L. in the Guadalquivir River, Spain. Water regulation and fishery as factors in stock decline from 1932 to 1967. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 15(4-5): 133-135
- Fernández-Pasquier V., 2000. Atlantic sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758 in the Guadalquivir River, Spain: A further contribution to its recent population dynamics and present decline. *Boletín - Instituto Español de Oceanografía* 16 (1-4): 109-116.
- Flasar I., Flasarová M., 1976. Úlovky jesetera velkého (*Acipenser sturio*) v severních Čechách [Catches of great sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) in North Bohemia]. *Živa* 24: 225 (in Czech).
- Fontana F., 1976. Nuclear DNA content and cytometric of erythrocytes of *Huso huso* L., *Acipenser sturio* L. and *Acipenser naccarii* Bonaparte. *Caryologia* 29: 127-138.
- Fontana F., Colombo G., 1974. The chromosomes of Italian sturgeons. *Experientia* 30: 739-742.
- Frič A., 1872a. Obratlovci země české [Vertebrates of Czech country]. *Práce zool. odd., přírod. proskoumání Čech, arch. přírodov., II díl, IV. odd., 148 pp. ryby pp. 107-129 (in Czech)*
- Frič A., 1872b. O rybářství v řekách českých a o jeho poměru k umělému pěstování ryb a k průmyslu [On fisheries in Czech rivers and on its relationships to artificial fish culture and industry]. *Arch. přírod. proskoumání Čech, II. díl, IV odd., pp. 151-189 (in Czech)*
- Frič A., 1872c. Die Wirbeltiere Böhmens. Ein Verzeichnis aller bisher in Böhmen beobachteten Säugertiere, Vögel, Amphibien und Fische [The vertebrates of Bohemia. An inventory of the mammals, birds, amphibians and fishes observed in Bohemia]. *Arch. f. Naturwissenschaften u. Landesforschung von Böhmen* 2(2): 1 - 152.
- Gershanovich A.D., Smith T.I.J. 1995. Proceedings of the International Symposium on sturgeons, September 6-11, 1993, Moscow-Kostroma-Moscow, Russia. VNIRO Publishing, Moscow, 350 pp.
- Gessner J., 2000. Restoration programmes for *Acipenser sturio* in Europe. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía. 16(1-4):127-139.*
- Gessner J., Arndt G.M. 2006. Modifications of gill nets to minimize by-catch of sturgeons. *J. Appl. Ichthyol* 22(Suppl. 1): 166-171.

- Gessner J., Arndt G-M., Kirschbaum F., Anders E., Ritterhoff J. von Nordheim H. 2006. Wiedereinbürgerung der Störe (*Acipenser sturio* L., und *A. oxyrinchus* Mitchill) in Deutschland. Aktueller Status und Perspektiven [Remediation of sturgeons (*Acipenser sturio* L., und *A. oxyrinchus* Mitchill) in Germany. Current Status and Perspectives]. *BfN- Skripten 140: 1-150*. [In German].
- Gessner J., Van Eenennaam J. P. Doroshov S. J., 2007. North American green and European Atlantic sturgeon: comparisons of life histories and human impacts. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 79: 397–411.
- Gröger J., Debus L., 2000. Morphometric comparison of *Acipenser sturio* populations by means of a mixed estimation procedure. *Archive of Fishery and Marine Research* 48: 175-193.
- Hatin D., Lachance S., Fournier D. 2007. Effect of Dredged Sediment Deposition on Use by Atlantic Sturgeon and Lake Sturgeon at an Open-Water Disposal Site in the St. Lawrence Estuarine Transition Zone. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 56:235–255.
- Hensel E., Kirschbaum F., Gessner J., Williot P., Wirth M., 2002. Restoration of *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758 in Germany: Effect of different food items on specific growth rates of large juvenile fish. *Internat. Rev. Hydrobiol.* 87(5-6): 539-551.
- Hildebrand L., McLeod C., McKenzie S., 1999. Status and management of White sturgeon in the Columbia river in British Columbia, Canada: an overview. In: Rosenthal H. *et al.*, (Eds.), 1999: Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Sturgeon. Piacenza, Italy 1997. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 15 (4-5): 164-172.
- Hoffmann, R.C., 1996. Economic Development, Aquatic Ecosystems in Medieval Europe. *Am. Hist. Rev.* 101: 631-669.
- Holčík J. (Ed.), 1989. The freshwater fishes of Europe. Vol. 1, Pt.2: Acipenseriformes. *Aula Verlag, Wiesbaden*. 469 pp.
- Holčík J., 2000. Major problems concerning the conservation and recovery of the Atlantic sturgeon *Acipenser sturio*. *Bol.Inst.Esp.Oceanograph.* 16(1-4): 139-148.
- Holčík J., Kinzelbach R., Sokolov L.I., Vasil'ev V., 1989. *Acipenser sturio* Linnaeus, 1758. Pp. 367-394. In: Holčík, J. (ed). The Freshwater Fishes of Europe. Vol. 1/II: General Introduction of Fishes. Acipenseriformes. Wiesbaden, AULA-Verlag.
- Jego S., Gazeau C., Jatteau P., Elie P., Rochard E. 2002. Les frayeres potentielles de l'esturgeon europeen *Acipenser sturio* L. 1758 dans le bassin Garonne-Dordogne. Methodes d'investigation , etat actuel et

perspectives. [Spawning grounds available for the European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L. 1758 in the Garonne-Dordogne basin. Methods used, present status and prospects]. Bulletin français de la pêche et de la pisciculture *Bull. Fr. Peche Piscic.* 365-366 : 487-505

- Kausch H., 1996. Fahrwasservertiefungen ohne Grenzen? (Deepening of shipping lanes without limits?). pp. 162-176. In: Lozan, J.L., Kausch, H. (Eds.) Warnsignale aus Flüssen und Ästuaren. (Deepening shipping lanes infinitely?). *Verl. Paul Parey, Berlin* [in German].
- Kinzelbach R., 1987. Das ehemalige Vorkommen des Störs, *Acipenser sturio* (Linnaeus, 1758), im Einzugsgebiet des Rheins (Chondrostei: Acipenseridae). (The previous occurrence of sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the River Rhine tributaries (Chondrostei: Acipenseridae).). *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Zoologie* 74: 167-200. [In German].
- Kirschbaum F., Gessner J., 2000. Re-establishment programme for *Acipenser sturio*: the German approach. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía*. 16(1-4):149-156.
- Kozhin N.I., 1964. Osetrovye SSSR I ikh vosproizvodstvo [sturgeon Fishes of the USSR]. *Trudy VNIRO* 52 (sbornik 1): 21-58.
- Kynard B., 1997. Life history, latitudinal patterns, and status of shortnose sturgeon, *Acipenser brevirostrum*. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 48(1-4): 319-334.
- Kynard B., Wei Q., Ke. F., 1994. Using ultrasonic biotelemetry to locate the spawning area of Chinese sturgeon (*Acipenser sinensis*). *Chinese Science Bulletin* 40(2): 172-174.
- Lenhardt M., Jaric I., Kalauzi A., Cvijanovic G., 2005. Assessment of Extinction Risk and Reasons for Decline in Sturgeon. *Biodiversity and Conservation, ISSUE: 1967-1976*
- Lepage M., Taverny C., Piefort S., Dumont P., Rochard E., Brosse L., 2005 Juvenile sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) habitat utilization in the Gironde estuary as determined by acoustic telemetry. Pp. 169-177. In: (Spedicato, M.T. *et al.*, eds). *Aquatic telemetry: advances and applications*, FAO – COISPA, Rome.
- Lepage M., Rochard E., 1997. Estimation des captures accidentelles d'*Acipenser sturio* réalisées en mer [Assessment of accidental marine captures of *A. sturio*]. *Restauration de l'esturgeon européen Acipenser sturio. Contrat Life rapport final du programme d'exécution*. (ed. by P. Elie), pp 377-381. Cemagref étude n°24, Bordeaux.

- Leppäkoski E.; Gollasch S., Olenin S. (Eds), 2002 Invasive aquatic species of Europe. Distribution, impact and management. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Dordrecht, Boston, London: IX, 583 pp.
- Ludwig A., Kirschbaum F., 1998. Comparison of mitochondrial DNA sequences between the European sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio*, and the Adriatic sturgeon, *Acipenser naccarii*. *J. Fish Biology* 52: 1289-1291.
- Ludwig, A. N., Jenneckens, I., Debus, L., Ludwig, A., Becker, J., Kirschbaum, F. 2000. Genetic analyses of archival specimens of the Atlantic sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía*. 16(1-4): 221-230.
- Ludwig A., Debus L., Lieckfeldt D., Wirgin I., Benecke I., Jenneckens I., Williot P., Waldman J.R., Pitra C., 2002. When the American sea sturgeon swam east. *Nature* 419: 447-448.
- Ludwig A., Williot P., Kirschbaum F., Lieckfeld D., 2004. Genetic variability of the Gironde sturgeon population. Proceedings of the International workshop on species differentiation and population identification in the common sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L. Blossin, Germany, 27.-28.07.2002. *BfN-Skripten 101*: 54-72.
- Lukyanenko V.I., Vasil'ev A.S., Lukyanenko V.V. and Khabarov M.V., 1999. On the increasing threat of extermination of the unique Caspian sturgeon populations and the urgent measures required to save them. In: Rosenthal H. *et al.*, (Eds.): Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Sturgeon. Piacenza, Italy 1997. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 15 (4-5): 99-102.
- Magnin E., 1962. Recherches sur la systématique et la biologie des Acipenséridés *Acipenser sturio* L., *Acipenser oxyrinchus* Mitchill et *Acipenser fulvescens* Raf. [Research into the systematics and the biology of the Acipenserids *Acipenser sturio* L., *Acipenser oxyrinchus* Mitchill and *Acipenser fulvescens* Raf.]. *Annales de la Station Centrale d'Hydrobiologie Appliquée*, Tome 9, 7-242.
- Magnin E., Beaulieu G., 1963. Etude morphométrique comparée de *Acipenser oxyrinchus* Mitchill du Saint Lorent et *Acipenser sturio* Linné de la Gironde. [A morphometric study comparing *Acipenser* Mitchill from the Saint Lawrence and *Acipenser sturio* Linné of the Gironde]. *Naturaliste Canadien* 90: 5-38.
- Manea G., 1980. Sturionii. [Sturgeons]Ed. Ceres, Bucuresti, 244p (in Rumanian)

- Marti V.Y., 1939. Biologiya i promysel *Acipenser sturio* v Chernom more [Biology and occurrence of *Acipenser sturio* of the Black Sea]. *Zool. zhurnal* 18: 435-442.
- Maurice L., 1994. La qualité des eaux de l'estuaire [The quality of the estuarine waters]. pp32-45 In J.L.Mauvais, J.F. Guillaud (Coord.) Livre blanc de l'estuaire pour l'agence de l'eau Adour Garonne.
- Maury-Brachet R., Rochard E., Durrieu G., Boudou A., 2008. The 'Storm of the Century' (December 1999) and the Accidental Escape of Siberian Sturgeons (*Acipenser baerii*) into the Gironde Estuary (Southwest France): An original approach for metal contamination. *Env. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 15 (1) 89-94.
- Mayer N., Lepage M., 2001. Sauvegarde et restauration de l'esturgeon européen. Action de communication et de sensibilisation, Opération Atlantique Sturio. [Protection and restoration of the European sturgeon. Communication and sensibilisation activities of the Operation Atlantic Sturio]. Programme Life Nature 98, Contrat Epidor – Agedra, Epidor, Castelnau-la-Chapelle, France, 43 p.
- Michelet N., 2006. Campagne d'information et de sensibilisation du secteur des pêches maritimes relative aux captures accidentelles d'esturgeon européen en mer. Contribution à la mise en œuvre d'un plan international de restauration de l'esturgeon européen. [Information campaign and sensibilisation of the marine fisheries sector for the accidental captures of the European sturgeon at sea. Contribution for the implementation of an international restoration plan for the European sturgeon]. CNPMM, Paris, France, 23 p.
- Mix H., Gessner J., 2001. Schutz und Nutzung von Wildstören in Georgien. Bericht zum Ergebnis der Prüfmissionen der GtZ vom 04.-18.10.00 und 02.-16.03.01. [Protection and utilization of sturgeons in the Georgian waters. Results of a pilot mission of the GtZ between 04.-18.10.00 and 02.-16.03.01]. 17pp. [In German].
- Mohr E., 1952. Der Stör. [The sturgeon]. Die Neu Brehm-Bücherei 84. Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft Geest und Portig K.G. Brehm, Leipzig, 86 pp. [In German].
- Myers R. A., Barrowman N. J., Hutchings J. A., Rosenberg A.A., 1995. Population dynamics of exploited fish stocks at low population levels. *Science* 269: 1106-1108.
- Ninua N. SH., 1976. Atlanticheskiï osetr reki Rioni [Atlantic sturgeon of the Rioni River]. Editions Metsniereba Editions, 122 p. [in Russian].

- Paccagnella B., 1948. Osservazioni sulla biologia degli storioni del bacino Padano. (Observations on the biology of sturgeons of the Padania catchment) *Archiv. Oceanogr. Limnol. Venezia*, 5 (1/2): 1-14 + (1/3): 141-154. [In Italian].
- Pavesi P., 1907. Gli Acipenseri nostrali. (The autochthonous sturgeons) *Rend. R. Ist. Lombardo Sci. Lett.*, 2 (40): 332-345. [In Italian].
- Philippart J.C., 1982. Mise au point de l'alevinage controle du barbeau *Barbus barbus* (L.) en Belgique. Perspectives pour le repoissonnement des rivieres [First experiments on controlled rearing of fry and fingerlings of the barbel, *Barbus barbus* (L.) in Belgium] *Cah. Ethol. Appl.* 2(2): 173-202. [in French]
- Quantz H., 1903. Störfischerei und Störzucht im Gebiet der deutschen Norseeküste [Sturgeon fishery and sturgeon reproduction in the area of the German North sea coast]. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Seefischerei-Vereins*, 19(6): 176-204. [In German].
- Rochard E., ed. 2002. Restauration de l'esturgeon européen *Acipenser sturio* [Restoration of the European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio*], Rapport scientifique Contrat LIFE n° B - 3200 / 98 / 460. Cemagref [in French]
- Rochard E., Castelnaud G., Lepage M., 1990. Sturgeons (Pisces: Acipenseridae); threats and prospects. *Journal of Fish Biology* 37 (Suppl. A): 123-132.
- Rochard E., Lepage M., Dumont P., Tremblay S., Gazeau C., 2001. Downstream migration of juvenile European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L. in the Gironde estuary. *Estuaries* 24 : 108-115
- Rochard E., Lepage M., Gazeau C., 1997a. Suivi de la situation de la population relictuelle d'*Acipenser sturio* dans le milieu naturel. [Overview on the situation of the remaining natural population of *Acipenser sturio* in its natural environment]. *Restauration de l'esturgeon européen Acipenser sturio. Contrat Life rapport final du programme d'exécution.* (ed. by P. Elie), pp 295-333. Cemagref de Bordeaux, Bordeaux. [in French]
- Rochard E., Lepage M., Meauzé L., 1997b. Identification et caractérisation de l'aire de répartition marine de l'esturgeon européen *Acipenser sturio* à partir de déclarations de captures. [Identification and characterization of the marine range of the European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* based upon catch records]. *Aquat. Living Resour.* 10: 101-109. [in French]
- Rosenthal H., Gessner J. 1992. Status and prospects of sturgeon farming in Europe. Pp. 143-189. In: Rosenthal, H., Grimaldi, E. "Efficiency in Aquaculture Production: Production trends, markets, products, and

regulations. Proc. 5th Intern. Conf. Aquafarming “Acquacoltura 90”, Verona, Italy, October 12-13, 1990. Published by Fiere di Verona, Verona, Italy.

Rosenthal H., Bronzi P., McKenzie D.J. (Eds). 1999. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Sturgeons. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 15(4-5): 1-352.

Rosenthal H., Bruch R.M., Binkowski F.P., Doroshov S.I. (Eds.) 2002. Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on sturgeons. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 18(4-6): 219-698.

Rosenthal H., Pourkazemi M., Bruch R. 2006. The 5th International Symposium on sturgeons: a conference with major emphasis on conservation, environmental mitigation and sustainable use of the sturgeon resources. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 22(Suppl. 1): 1-11.

Rossi R., Grandi G., Trisolini R., Franzoi P., Carrieri A., Dezfuli B.S., Vecchietti E., 1991. Osservazioni sulla biologia e la pesca dello storione cobice *Acipenser naccarii* Bonaparte nella parte terminale del fiume Po. (Observations on the biology and fishery of the “cobice” sturgeon *A. naccarii* in the terminal tract of the Po river) *Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano* 132(10): 121-142. [In Italian].

Rossi R., Arlati G., Bronzi P., Cataudella S. 1993. Sturgeon presence in Italy from the beginning of the century. 35-36 pp. Second International Symposium on Sturgeons, Abstract Bulletin. September 6-11, 1993, Moscow-Kostroma-Moscow, Russia 115 pp.

Roule L. 1922. Les poissons migrateurs Leur vie, leur pêches. [The migratory fishes, their life, their fishery]. Flammarion, Paris. [in French]

Roule L. 1925. Les poissons des eaux douces de la France [The freshwater fishes of France]. Les Presses Universitaires de France, Paris. [in French]

Schiemanz P., 1905. Weitere fischereiliche Studien über organische Abwässer. [Further fisheries studies on organic waste waters.]. *Z. f. Fischerei* 13: 49-81. [In German].

Schiemanz P. 1921. Die Fischerei in unseren Strömen einst und jetzt [The historic and present fishery in our rivers]. *D. Fisch. Ztg.* 44: 276 ff. [In German].

- Seligo A., 1907. Störzucht in der Weichsel [Sturgeon culture in the Weichsel River]. *Mitteilungen des Westpreußischen Fischereivereins* 19: 122-125. [In German].
- Seligo A., 1931. Die Seefischerei von Danzig [The marine fisheries of Danzig]. - *Handbuch der Seefischerei Nordeuropas* VIII (7): 25-28. [In German].
- Spillmann Ch.J. 1961. Poissons d'eau douce [Freshwater fishes]. Faune de France 65, Librairie de la Faculté des Sciences, Paris. [in French]
- Spratte S., Rosenthal H. 1996. Meldungen ueber Stoerfaenge im Einzugsbereich der deutschen Nordseekueste (1981-1985). [Records on sturgeon catches in the catchment areas of the German North Sea coast (1981-1985)]. *Fischer u. Teichwirt* 47(3): 78-82 . [In German].
- St. Pierre R.A., 1999. Restoration of Atlantic sturgeon in the northeastern USA with special emphasis on culture and restocking. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 15: 180-182.
- Stamenkovic S. 1991. Evolution des captures de trois especes d'esturgeon *Huso huso*, *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*, et *Acipenser stellatus* dans la partie Yougoslave du Danube. [Development of the captures in three sturgeon species *Huso huso*, *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii* and *Acipenser stellatus* in the Yugoslavian Danube]. Pp. 179-183. In : P. Williot (Ed.) : *Acipenser*. Cemagref Publication, Antony, France. [in French]
- Taverny C., Lepage M., Piefort S., Dumont P., Rochard E. 2002 Habitat selection by juvenile European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* in the Gironde estuary (France). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 18(5-6):536-541
- Tiedemann R., Moll K., Paulus K. B., Scheer M., Williot P., Bartel R., Gessner J., Kirschbaum F. 2007. Atlantic sturgeons (*Acipenser sturio*, *A. oxyrinchus*): American females successful in Europe. *Naturwissenschaften* 94: 213-217.
- Trouvery M., Williot P., Castelnaud G. 1984. Biologie et ecologie d'*Acipenser sturio* . Etude de la pecherie. [Biology and ecology of *Acipenser sturio*. Study on the fishery.] 79 pp [in French]
- Tsvetnenko Y.B., 1993. The effectiveness and genetic consequences of the introduction of the stellate sturgeon, *Acipenser stellatus*, into the Azov basin from the Caspian sea. *Journal of Ichthyology* 33(9):1-10.
- Van Eenennaam J.P., Doroshov S.I., 1998. Effects of age and body size on gonadal development of Atlantic sturgeon. *Journal of Fish Biology* 53: 624-637.

- Van Winden A. *et al.*, 2000. L'esturgeon européen, le retour dans le Rhin [The European sturgeon, the return to the Rhine]. *Stitching Ark/WWF*, 130 pp. [in French]
- Volk R., 1910. Die Bedeutung der Sielabwässer von Hamburg Altona für die Ernährung der Elbfische [the Importance of the communal wastes of Hamburg-Altona for the nutrition of the fishes in the Elbe River]. *Der Fischerbote* 2 (3): 57 ff. [In German],
- Williot P. (Ed.). 1991. *Acipenser*: Actes du premier colloque international sur l'esturgeon [Acipenser: Proceedings of the first international colloquium on sturgeons]. Bordeaux, 3-6 octobre 1989. CEMAGREF, Bordeaux, 50 Av. De Verdun, 33610 Cestas Principal, France: 519 pp. [in French]
- Williot P., 1984. L'expérience soviétique en matière d'exploitation des stocks d'esturgeons en mer d'Azov et mer Caspienne. [The Soviet experience on the exploitation of the sturgeon stocks in the Azov and Caspian Seas]. *Etud. Cent. Natl. Mach. Agric. Genie Rural Eaux For.* 20(3): 50. [in French]
- Williot P., Arlati G., Chebanov M., Gulyas T., Kasimov R., Kirschbaum F., Patriche N., Pavlovskaya L., Poliakova L., Pourkazemi M., Kim Yu., Zhuang P., Zholdasova I.M., 2002a. Status and management of Eurasian sturgeon: an overview. *International Review of Hydrobiology* 87: 483-507.
- Williot P., Brun R., Rouault T., Pelard M., Mercier D., 2005. Attempts at larval rearing of the endangered western European sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio* (Acipenseridae), in France. *Cybium* 29(4): 381-387.
- Williot P., Brun R., Pelard M., Mercier D., 2000. Unusual induced maturation and spawning in an incidentally caught adult pair of critically endangered European sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio* L. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 16(2): 279-281.
- Williot P., Rochard E., Castelnaud G., Rouault T., Brun R., Lepage M., Elie P., 1997. Biological characteristics of European Atlantic sturgeon, *Acipenser sturio*, as the basis for a restoration program in France. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 48: 359-370.
- Williot P., Rouault T., Brun R., Pelard M., Mercier D., 2002b. Status of caught wild spawners and propagation of the endangered sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* in France: a synthesis. *International Review of Hydrobiology* 87: 515-524.
- Williot P., Rouault T., Pelard M., Mercier D., Lepage M., Davail-Cuisset B., Kirschbaum F., Ludwig A., 2007. Building a broodstock of the

critically endangered sturgeon *Acipenser sturio*: problems and observations associated with the adaptation of wild-caught fish to hatchery conditions. *Cybium* 31(1): 3-11.

WWF France (coord.), 2005. Sturio project: Towards a European action plan for sturgeon conservation. In collaboration with Society to Save the Sturgeon (GRS), World Sturgeon Conservation Society (WSCS), Association pour la Défense de l'Esturgeon sauvage (ADES), Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), IUCN' Sturgeon Specialist Group, Institut de Recherche pour l'Ingénierie de l'Agriculture et de l'Environnement (CEMAGREF), Syndicat Mixte d'Etudes et d'Aménagement Garonne (SMEAG), Etablissement Public Territorial Dordogne (EPIDOR). Internal document prepared by Didier Moreau. Paris, WWF France : 19 p.

WWF France & UICN, 2006. Towards an international action plan for the sturgeon's restauration (*Acipenser sturio*). Internal direction note (prepared by Hervé Lethier and Benoît Guérin). Paris, WWF France : 15 p.

Yang D., Kynard B., Wei Q., Chen X., Zheng W., Du H., 2006. Distribution and movement of Chinese sturgeon, *Acipenser sinensis*, on the spawning ground located below the Gezhouba Dam during spawning seasons. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*22 (Suppl-1): 145-151.

Annex 1: List of exemplary critical habitats for *A. sturio* including sites designated or planned to be designated under Natura 2000

| Country | Name of Site | Surface (ha) | Area | | | Remarks (Code of Site under Natura 2000) |
|---------|---|--------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | Atlantic | Continental | Mediterranean and North Seas | |
| Italy | Basso corso e sponde del Ticino | 8564 | | X | | IT2080002 |
| Italy | Spagge fluviali di Boffalora | 172 | | X | | IT2090006 |
| Italy | Po da Golena Bianca a Isola Bianca | 616 | | X | | IT4060013 |
| Italy | Lanca di Soltarico | 160 | | X | | IT2090007 |
| Italy | Bosco Foce Oglio | 306 | | X | | IT20B0001 |
| Italy | Delta del Po : tratto terminale e delta veneto | 25362 | | X | | IT3270017 |
| Italy | Fiume Po da Rio Boriacco a Bosco Ospizio | 6156 | | X | | IT4010018 |
| Italy | Sacca di Goro, Po di Goro, Valle Dindona, Foce del Po di Volano | 4872 | | X | | IT4060005 |
| Italy | Laguna di Marano e Grado | 16288 | | X | | IT3320037 |
| Italy | Boschi di Vaccarizza | 465 | | X | | IT2080019 |
| Italy | Fiume Po-Tratto vercellese e alessandrino | 14107 | | | | IT1180028 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-------|--|---|--|-----------|
| Italy | Boschi Siro Negri e Moriano | 1352 | | X | | IT2080014 |
| Italy | Boschi del Ticino | 20553 | | | | IT2080301 |
| Italy | Senna Lodigiana | 327 | | | | IT2090501 |
| Italy | Castelnuovo Bocca d'Adda | 165 | | | | IT2090503 |
| Italy | Lanca di Gerole | 476 | | | | T20A0013 |
| Italy | Bosco Ronchetti | 210 | | | | IT20A0015 |
| Italy | Spiaggioni di Spinadesco | 825 | | | | IT20A0016 |
| Italy | Riserva Regionale Bosco Ronchetti | 300 | | | | IT20A0401 |
| Italy | Riserva Regionale Lanca di Gerole | 1180 | | | | IT20A0402 |
| Italy | Spinadesco | 1039 | | | | IT20A0501 |
| Italy | Lanca di Gussola | 152 | | | | IT20A0502 |
| Italy | Isola Maria Luigia | 556 | | | | IT20A0503 |
| Italy | Isola Boscone | 139 | | X | | IT20B0006 |
| Italy | Parco Regionale Oglio Sud | 4023 | | | | IT20B0401 |
| Italy | Viadana, Portiolo, San Benedetto Po e Ostiglia | 7223 | | | | IT20B0501 |
| Italy | Delta del Po | 25012 | | | | IT3270023 |
| Italy | Fiume Po da Stellata a Mesola e Cavo Napoleonico | 3140 | | | | IT4060016 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------|---|---|--|-----------|
| France | La Garonne | 5220 | X | | | FR7200700 |
| France | Marais de Brouage (et marais nord d'Oléron) | 26142 | X | | | FR5400431 |
| France | Marais de la Seudre | 14001 | X | | | FR5400432 |
| France | Estuaire de la Gironde | 61080 | X | | | FR7200677 |
| France | Pertuis charentais | 155907 | X | | | FR5400469 |
| France | La Dordogne | 5694 | X | | | FR7200660 |
| Germany | Lower and middle Eider River, Schleswig-Holstein | ? | | x | | - |
| Germany | Lower and middle section of the Stör River, Schleswig-Holstein | ? | | x | | - |
| Germany | Oste River (Elbe tributary), Lower Saxony | ? | | x | | - |
| Germany | Elbe River (Hamburg area and upstream (Lower Saxony and Schleswig-Holstein)) | ? | X | | | - |
| Germany | Middle part of the Elbe River (Magdeburg – Lauenburg) | ? | | x | | - |
| Germany | Lower reaches of the River Rhine (Nordrhein-Westfalia ; | ? | | x | | - |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|------------|--|---|---|-----------|
| | Germany) | | | | | |
| Germany | Lower and middle reaches of the Ems River (Lower Saxony) | | | x | | - |
| Germany | Helgoland Island (Littoral zone of the rocky Island) | Ca 2000.00 | | x | | - |
| Germany | Doggerbank, central North Sea) | | | x | | - |
| Germany | Eastern German Bight | 313,512.76 | | x | | - |
| Germany | Sylter Aussenriff | 513,428.39 | | x | | - |
| Germany | Dogger Bank (North Sea) | 169,895,35 | | x | | - |
| Greece | Delta Achelou, Limnothalassa mesologgiou-Aitolikou, Ekvoles Evinou, Niso I Echinades, Nisos Petalas | 35588,73 | | | X | GR2310001 |
| Spain | Rio Guadiana et Ribera de Chanza | 1545.81 | | | X | ES6150018 |
| Spain | Andevalo occidental | 52901.71 | | | X | ES6150010 |
| Spain | Doñana | 112355,2 | | | X | ES0000024 |
| Spain | Bajo Guadalquivir | 4113,94 | | | X | ES6150019 |

ANNEX 2

Detailed presentation of Actions, required measures, potential addressees for the implementation and expected outcomes (Milestones and indicators) as proposed under the general objectives listed in item 6.1 and Key Components of item 6.2

6.1 Goals and overall objective

The Action Plan aims at a step-by-step reconstitution of viable populations of the European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) in its historic range through close national and international co-operation of range States at all organizational levels.

The Action Plan specifically targets the implementation of effective conservation measures for *A. sturio* while simultaneously contributing to global biodiversity conservation and the sustainable management of aquatic resources, including the fulfilment of the 2010 target to halt the loss of biodiversity. Further, implementing the Action Plan may lead well to substantial improvements of the general ecological conditions in marine, riverine and estuarine ecosystems.

This Action plan, together with the Action Plan for the Danube River sturgeons and any future plans, is expected to contribute to the improvement of protection and restoration of sturgeon species and other anadromous fish in Europe and beyond.

6.2 Actions and expected results

A range of measures has already been taken for the conservation of the European sturgeon. These have been and are presently carried out by several institutions of some countries, mainly supported by the respective national authorities. However, these have so far proven to be only partially successful. It is now recognized that a much larger, consistent and EU-wide rescue programme is needed to secure the survival of the species.

The mortality from poaching and by-catch remains a continuous threat to the remaining individuals, and has to be largely reduced.

Appropriate *ex situ* measures are an essential prerequisite for the conservation of the species and its re-establishment within the natural range. Remediation of the population will require supportive stocking activities including the onset of restocking in other watersheds than the Gironde. Therefore, migration routes and habitats for all life-cycle stages are to be

protected and restored, since habitat loss prevents the natural recovery of the populations.

The plan has seven main conservation objectives which may be grouped under four general components:

- ✓ **Component 1: *In situ* conservation of *A. sturio***
- ✓ **Component 2 : Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats**
- ✓ **Component 3 : *Ex situ* conservation and reintroduction of *A. sturio***
- ✓ **Component 4: International cooperation**

| No. | Actions | Measures required | Actions mainly addressed to | Indicators and milestones |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Component 1: <i>In situ</i> conservation of <i>A. sturio</i> | | | | |
| 1. Objective 1: significant reduction of fishing mortality | | | | |
| 1.1 | Intensively involve professional and recreational fisheries to significantly reduce mortality due to accidental catches and through poaching | <p>Organize a structure for effective communication on risk status of the species</p> <p>Identify and address multipliers to transmit the information on measures and catches</p> <p>Launch Information campaigns in all fisheries communities, including recommendations for best practice in handling</p> <p>Produce Information leaflets and stickers for distribution</p> <p>Establish personal contacts to the fishermen through the multipliers</p> <p>Provide incentives for reporting on accidental catch</p> | <p>Representative of professional fisheries organizations, fisheries administration, enforcement agencies at all levels (including local and state police)</p> <p>Individual fishermen as key contacts and monitors</p> <p>Other stakeholders of the fishing industry supporting the continuity of the action</p> <p>Recreational fishermen, anglers, sport fishing clubs and associations at local, regional, national and international level</p> <p>Fish markets (auctions)</p> | <p>Accidental catches significantly reduced to negligible levels and poaching largely prevented</p> <p>Milestones:</p> <p>Active and accepted local multipliers are identified</p> <p>Knowledge on the status of the fish is prevalent throughout the fisheries community</p> <p>The coverage of reporting in time and scale increases significantly</p> <p>Catch reports and subsequent releases increase (unreported records become unlikely)</p> <p>Landings in harbours and marinas are substantially reduced</p> <p>Poached sturgeon products are no</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
| | | Involve children at schools in fishing communities to transport the message home | | <p>longer reported on markets</p> <p>No more anecdotal reporting of directed poaching</p> <p>Reporting consistency is reached (regionally or over the entire range)</p> <p>Promotional material being used by fishing communities and the public at large</p> |
| 1.2 | Raise public awareness about the status of <i>Acipenser sturio</i> as “protected species” and the state of international and community law | <p>Initiate information campaigns in coastal communities, schools, at fisheries meetings and fish markets</p> <p>Prepare well-designed leaflets (flyers), specifically targeting the public at large</p> <p>Organize exhibitions and documentaries on conservation and remediation needs</p> <p>Provide incentives and opportunities to involve local (public) activities</p> | <p>Broader public in the range</p> <p>Local and regional media</p> | <p>The public at large, commercial and recreational fisheries as well as the respective governmental agencies are well-informed on the endangered status and ongoing activities to rescue the species and are willing to support the associated programmes</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Good media coverage in space and time achieved</p> <p>Public interest increases through responses at polls</p> <p>Sturgeons are commonly recognized</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | <p>Their evolutionary traits are acknowledged</p> <p>The identification with the aims of the campaign is increasing</p> <p>Local activities are developing</p> |
| 1.3 | Enhance co-operation of fishermen and fisheries inspections to increase their awareness on the protection status of the species | Encourage exchange on aims and targets of conservation campaign between the stakeholders | Fisheries professionals and recreational fishermen and their organisations with cross-linking to fisheries authorities and NGOs | <p>Commercial freshwater and marine fisheries are cooperating with the respective authorities and NGOs on various specific and overall aspects on <i>A. sturio</i> conservation.</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>The critical status is commonly recognized and the responsibility of</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | <p>stakeholders widely known</p> <p>Interactions established, leading to increased understanding for control and restrictive measures</p> <p>Regular information exchange on pertinent issues established</p> |
| 1.4 | Develop incentives to promote release and accurate reporting | <p>Identify and develop means to reward the fishermen (i.e. basecaps, knives, mugs, inclusion in PR activities)</p> <p>Develop and continuously distribute reporting forms</p> | Fishermen, fisheries inspections, local representatives | <p>Fishermen actively participate in the protection measures and experience their contribution as a win-win scenario</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Measures taken are accepted and valued by the fishermen</p> <p>Returned reporting forms provide comprehensive details</p> |
| 1.5 | Monitor <i>A. sturio</i> by-catch and by-catch mortality | <p>Collect data from commercial and recreational fisheries on a deliberate basis</p> <p>Establish databases at national level with direct cross-linking (data exchange) internationally</p> | <p>Fisheries professionals</p> <p>Recreational fishermen</p> <p>Fisheries inspection services, and regional advisory councils (i.e. RAC North Sea: http://www.nsrac.org)</p> | <p>By-catch statistics steadily improved for better assessment of the status of the species at risk in range states</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Increased reports on bycatch lead to improved data</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| | | (within range states) Ensure that mortalities are collected for further investigation | Research institutions (database) Fish (whole sale) markets | quality Bycatch reports agree with anecdotal reports Fisheries inspections do not detect bycatch in excess of reported numbers Bycatch data are shared among stakeholders in range states allowing consistent assessment |
| 1.6 | Develop and introduce selective fisheries techniques where biologically needed and socially possible and acceptable | Fisheries techniques catching sturgeon are analysed in detail (i.e. target species, net colour, mesh size, utilization, time of year) Techniques to be identified which are locally detrimental to sturgeons Alternative means of sustainable harvest of the target species are identified (modification in gear parameters) Initiate studies to determine the effects of gear modifications on the selectivity for the target | Fisheries administration, fishermen, fisheries research institutions, policy level (for legal prerequisites and compensations) Fishing gear industry | Selective fishing gear developed and used during recommended periods and places Escapement of various life cycle stages from different commercial gear noticeably improved in support of better survival of mainly juveniles and immature fish Milestones By-catch data collected, indicating that certain techniques are prone to harvest sturgeon excessively |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| | | <p>species with subsequent reduction of sturgeon by-catch</p> <p>Organize testing of modified gear jointly with fishermen and research institutions</p> <p>Identify needs to compensate for lost fishing efficiency when using modified gear</p> | | <p>Patterns of use (gear type and deployment area) are and risk level for by-catch are identified</p> <p>Use of alternative techniques or limitation of use does not shift the by-catch to other fisheries</p> <p>Alternative means of gear use are not significantly reducing the catch of target fish</p> <p>Agreed gear modifications are widely adopted in the fisheries sector</p> <p>Compensation measures for lost gear efficiencies are established</p> |
| 1.7 | <p>Exclude non-selective clearly adverse fishing techniques in critical habitats of <i>A. sturio</i> (spawning sites, juvenile aggregations, nursery grounds)</p> | <p>Identify types of fisheries and their intensities applied in critical habitats</p> <p>Assess feasibility of gear modifications where temporal closures are to be applied</p> <p>Assess the needs for temporary or permanent closure of fisheries in critical habitats</p> | <p>Fishermen and their organisations, administrators, inspection services, policy makers, scientific institutions</p> | <p>Sturgeon are effectively protected in critical spawning/nursery habitats to enhance natural recruitment; selective fishing gear is employed as far as possible in periods other than those identified under Action 1.6</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Fishermen are accepting the limitations and</p> |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | <p>follow the legal prerequisites</p> <p>Selective fisheries techniques are applied in critical habitats (where applicable)</p> <p>Reduction of fishing pressure results in proportionally declining by-catch, in particular in coastal areas where released juveniles thrive</p> <p>Compensation measures for loss of yield established (where necessary)</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|

Objective 2 : Effective control of allochtonous species

| | | | | |
|------------|--|--|---|---|
| 2.1 | Prevent escapes from fish farms and ponds as well as from live transport systems (especially sturgeon) | <p>Promote the application of the ICES CoP and the EU Council Regulation No 708/ (11.06.07) concerning use of alien and locally absent species in aquaculture</p> <p>Develop and employ methods that improve containment of non-indigenous species in:</p> | Scientists, fish farm industry, aqua farm system designers, operational personnel (training), fish farmers, administration, regulatory bodies | <p>EU Council Regulation No 708 (11.06.07) translated into national legislation in most range countries</p> <p>Escapes of exotic species from local (national) fish farms are largely prevented, thereby reducing the risk of environmental change as well as reduced threats to biodiversity</p> |
|------------|--|--|---|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | <p>A) Ponds</p> <p>Promote the use of efficient escape prevention devices (i.e. double outlet screens)</p> <p>Assure system design that contains fish during flooding</p> <p>Prevent transfer by wildlife</p> <p>B) Land based systems</p> <p>Enforce the use of highly effective devices preventing escapes at outlets (i.e. tight to capture early life stages)</p> <p>Secure tight containment for live fish transport</p> <p>C) Cages</p> <p>Strongly discourage their use in open waters</p> <p>Provide information on potential interference of</p> | | <p>change</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Farms increasingly reported to use stringent measures (i.e. double screens, other devices) to reduce risk of escapement</p> <p>Mass tagging of farmed fish established and owner identification of captured escapees greatly improved</p> <p>Use of cages for culture of exotic species greatly reduced (or prohibited)</p> <p>Records on exotic sturgeons and other non-indigenous species in the wild are declining noticeably in the short-term (2-4 years) for juveniles and eventually for older surviving fish in subsequent years</p> |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|
| | | <p>exotics with native fauna</p> <p>Develop obligatory mass tagging system with lifetime persistence to track the origin of escapees</p> <p>Increased jurisdictional consequences</p> | | |
| 2.2 | Prevent illegal and accidental introductions of alien species (including sturgeons) into the wild | <p>Provide with purchase of fish information flyers on regulations (see 2.1) to prevent release of exotic species into the wild</p> <p>Ensure obligatory individual tagging of pet fish and cultured fish by PIT tags passive integrated transponders), registered in a central database</p> <p>Provide information via pet fish industry to related media (e.g. magazine) on ecological risks of releases</p> <p>Encourage and organize Information campaigns in schools (i.e. leaflets to biology teachers)</p> | <p>Fish farmers, pet trade, aquarists, sport angling associations</p> <p>Public authorities, fishery industries</p> | <p>International trade and transfers between watersheds of alien species are greatly reduced by voluntarily following the existing regulations and CoPs mentioned under Action 2.1</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Information about the risks of the release of alien species well known to interested parties and the public</p> <p>Fish captured in the wild can be identified and their previous owner can be determined</p> <p>Decreasing rates of release of exotic fish into the wild are noted</p> <p>Cases of prosecutions</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|
| | | <p>to inform about ecological consequences of release of exotic species</p> <p>Enforce strict control on stocking fingerlings by fishing organisations and public authorities (frequently imported) to prevent release of accompanying exotic species (also in accordance with the ICES-EIFAC Codes of Practice)</p> <p>Support enforcement measures</p> | | <p>against illegal actions are vigorously pursued whenever they occur (number of such cases decline gradually with time)</p> |
| 2.3 | <p>Aim towards greater consistency of European regulations on pet fish trade</p> | <p>Ensure that existing guidelines have to be adopted in national and regional legislation</p> <p>Promote harmonization of the legal framework for pet-fish farmers and traders within the community</p> <p>Assure active participation of fish farmers</p> | <p>Policy makers and fish farmers associations</p> <p>Public authorities, fishery related industries.</p> | <p>Regulations on pet-fish trade are strengthened in line with sturgeon conservation needs and are more widely accepted and implemented by industry and hobby aquarists</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Pet-fish industry has implemented voluntary guidelines on how to contain sturgeons</p> <p>Codes of conducts on restricting</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|---------|---|--|
| | | | | <p>release of exotics and prevent disease transfers are distributed EU-wide with specific info on sturgeons in local languages (plus info on CR 708)</p> <p>Information material on risks associated with release distributed in range state languages</p> |
| 2.4 | Inform the general public about the risk of allochthonous sturgeon introductions | See 2.2 | <p>NGOs (i.e. WSCS, EAS, EAFP, WWF; fishery associations), Nature Conservation Agencies, Media</p> <p>Sturgeon farmers and traders</p> <p>Institutions dealing with Invasive species (including intergovernmental organisations such as ICES and EIFAC)</p> | <p>Conservations needs to prevent negative effects on biodiversity and specifically on sturgeon species and their habitats are widely known and accepted while awareness being continuously re-iterated</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Newspapers, magazines and radio stations (TV) broadcast in intervals messages on risks associated with release of exotic species</p> <p>Website on risk of release of exotic species (including foreign sturgeons) established and linked with NGOs and respective agencies</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|
| <p>2.5</p> | <p>Encourage removal (eventual eradication) of exotic species, in accordance with national laws</p> <p>Promote to improve national laws in line with existing inter-governmental and international codes and guidelines</p> | <p>Existing guidelines have to be adopted in national and regional legislation</p> <p>Harmonization of the legal framework for fish farmers within the community and outside</p> <p>Deliberate participation of fish farmers has to be assured</p> <p>Promote the availability of experts to verify the sturgeon species caught while utilizing the securing systems intended under 5.1</p> | <p>Policy makers, fisheries scientists, national institutes, fisheries inspection services, commercial fisheries and trade organisations</p> | <p>EU Council Regulation No 708 (11.06.07) controlling aquaculture as well as the ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea) and EIFAC (European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission of FAO) Codes of Practices and the IOE (International Office for Epizooties) recommendations are largely translated into national laws in range states</p> |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|

Component 2 : Protection and restoration of essential sturgeon habitats

Objective 3: Protecting and improving the quality and continuity of essential riverine and estuarine sturgeon habitats

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| <p>3.1</p> | <p>Identify, map and analyse the functionality of present and potential essential <i>A. sturio</i> habitats</p> | <p>Identification of essential sturgeon habitats and their characteristics while mapping details preferably using GIS</p> <p>Determine historic habitat heterogeneity as far as possible</p> <p>Identify obstacles to habitat availability and/or human uses directly affecting the <i>A. sturio</i> population</p> <p>Identify measures to circumvent the utility limitations (identify restoration potential)</p> <p>Identify habitat availability under scenarios which include and exclude anthropogenic utilization of the respective watershed</p> | <p>See 4.1 + Inland navigation administration, flood control agencies, planning authorities (i.e. ministry of transport, environment ministries at state and federal level)</p> <p>River basin management organisations</p> <p>NGOs involved in habitat protection</p> <p>Scientific institutions involved in aquatic science and environmental research</p> | <p>Functionality of areas of importance for <i>A. sturio</i> conservation are clearly identified and mapped</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Maps (electronic and hard copies) have been established locally, regionally and for the entire historic range of the species</p> <p>Content of mapping information expands to include details on habitat structure</p> <p>Maps are incorporated in several databank systems of agencies to which the action is mainly addressed</p> |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------|--|
| 3.2 | Protect or restore critical habitat functions | <p>Assess driving forces required to maintain essential habitat functions</p> <p>Outline the prerequisites to maintain these driving forces</p> <p>Identify types of human activity to be considered for exclusion or temporary restriction</p> <p>Determine and implement closed area measures for temporary or permanent closure</p> <p>Identify critical factors to assess habitat functions for <i>A. sturio</i> in closed areas</p> <p>Where alterations have occurred through human uses, identify alternative means to restore and maintain habitat functionality</p> <p>List identified habitats as essential under the Natura 2000 network</p> <p>Allow critical habitats to be</p> | See 4.3 | <p>Closed areas identified, included in Natura 2000 and national legislation; protection enforced</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Activities covered under the closed area regulation identified</p> <p>Closure schedules for temporary closures established</p> <p>Monitoring (control) of areas implemented, including enforcement measures</p> |
|-----|---|--|---------|--|

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|
| | | functionally interconnected (habitat connectivity) | | |
| 3.3 | Identify and map barriers to sturgeon migration in rivers of the historic distribution area where reintroductions are foreseen | <p>Identification of potential recipient waterbodies</p> <p>Determine maximum historic distribution</p> <p>Identify obstacles for migration which directly prevent access to historic spawning grounds</p> <p>Identify conditions caused by obstacles to migration which reduce migration fidelity</p> <p>Identify conditions caused by obstacles for migration which limit reproductive success</p> | <p>Scientific institutions, river catchment managers</p> <p>Environmental and nature protection agencies (local and national)</p> | <p>Range states have identified and listed all historic sturgeon rivers as well as the reasons for the population decline</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Suitable candidate water bodies for remediation were identified based on assessed potential for successful reintroduction</p> <p>Migration obstacles were identified from the river mouth to the uppermost historic point of <i>A. sturio</i> migration (spawning grounds)</p> <p>impacts of hydro-construction sites adversely affecting the migration fidelity are identified (although these barriers may not have fully blocked migration)</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | <p>routes)</p> <p>Measures for their mitigation are outlined and proposed</p> <p>Measures to mitigate effects of obstacles are adopted and implemented</p> |
| 3.4 | Re-open and reconstruct sturgeon migration routes, including construction of suitable fish passes (in existing and future constructions) | Develop measures based on the results under 4.1 to circumvent the problems created by constructions (i.e. dams, underwater sills) | See 4.1 | <p>Obstacles removed or circumvented</p> <p>Upstream migration occurs</p> |
| 3.4.1 | Conduct feasibility studies for fish passage to potential upstream spawning sites | <p>Identify the obstacles for relevant river system</p> <p>Assess the impact upon migration</p> <p>Assess the feasibility of the proposed countermeasures</p> <p>Assess the potential for reproduction and nursery grounds in the upstream area and additional adverse impact restricting it</p> | <p>Local, regional and national environment authorities</p> <p>Fisheries agencies</p> <p>Waterway authorities</p> <p>Hydrodam operating companies (and respective regulatory authorities)</p> | <p>Feasibility studies completed for most important sites where historic migrations are seriously blocked and detailed solutions proposed and tested</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Potential capacity of upstream areas for reproduction are identified</p> <p>Experimental pilot trials on passes under</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|---|-----------------|---|
| | | | | way Data on performance being collected and analysed |
| 3.4.2 | Remove or open dams or modify barriers if feasible | Based on the outlined requirement and the probability of sturgeon remediation in a given river system, develop measures to overcome barriers to migration for adults and juveniles (i.e. removal of dams, building of suitable bypass around barriers, modification of sills, installation of fish passes, and modified seasonal dam management plans during spawning migrations) | See 4.1 and 4.2 | Sturgeon up- and downstream migrations are becoming possible again and the impacts of dams (hydro-constructions) are minimized Milestones Upstream migration of adult sturgeon documented Natural reproduction takes place in historic habitat Free migration of juveniles is achieved with little impacts of turbine passage or losses of through rakes and deflectors |
| 3.4.3 | Monitor the efficiency of fish passes | Annual assessments of the numbers and age structure of migrant fish though a re-opened river stretch are performed Reproductive | See 4.1 | Publications and internal reports indicate the progress achieved over time on effective upstream and downstream migration with further |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---------|---|
| | | <p>success is controlled</p> <p>Numbers of migrating juveniles are assessed</p> | | <p>improvements indicated</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>-increasing utilization of structures assisting or permitting fish migration</p> <p>-Natural Reproductive effectiveness is increasing</p> <p>- increase in numbers of downstream migrating juveniles are noted</p> <p>-mortalities due to turbine entrainment etc. are reduced or absent</p> |
| 3.5 | Monitor habitat status and utilisation | <p>Annual assessments of the numbers and age structure of migrant fish in an essential habitat are performed</p> <p>Reproductive success is recorded by appropriate monitoring</p> <p>Utilization of habitat is assessed separately for spawning and nursery grounds</p> | See 4.3 | <p>Monitoring programme on population development and dynamics established</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Data on abundance and population structure are collected regularly and available in a common data bank for continued status assessment</p> <p>Occurrence of</p> |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | <p>egg deposition on spawning grounds are recorded during spawning seasons</p> <p>Sampling programmes to follow the fate of larvae and juveniles at downstream habitats established and ongoing</p> |
| 3.6 | <p>Designate and manage protected areas to integrate essential sturgeon habitats into relevant networks, including marine habitats of <i>A. sturio</i>.</p> | <p>Map critical habitats</p> <p>Identify the requirements for the passage between them</p> <p>Verify the potential for utilization of the interconnecting areas</p> <p>Outline habitat alterations to restore the connectivity</p> <p>Alter the habitat quality according to the demand</p> <p>Monitor the effects of the restoration attempts</p> | See 4.3 | <p>Essential habitats are identified and are listed under Natura 2000 and OSPAR conventions</p> |
| Objective 4: Improvement of water quality | | | | |
| 4.1 | <p>Identify water quality requirements</p> | <p>Conduct literature surveys and</p> | <p>Scientific institutions, Universities,</p> | <p>Critical water quality parameters</p> |

| | | | | |
|------------|---|--|--|---|
| | for spawning and nursery habitats to the extent possible | directed research on water quality requirements, effects of critical pollutants and endocrine active compounds | river catchment managers, policy makers and water authorities, NGOs | identified Safe levels for compounds known to be especially harmful for certain life stages of sturgeons established |
| 4.2 | Identify and register sources of pollution which can directly have an impact on water quality of critical sturgeon habitats | Utilize data form 2.1 to identify harmful substances Use the data of the water management bodies to identify potentially harmful sources of pollution Provide a GIS based map for identifying the locations of the production sites or the pollution sources | Water authorities, Environment agencies (ministries), regulatory authorities, river catchment managers, policy level Scientific institutions | Types of pollution threatening sturgeon habitats identified (i.e. agricultural, domestic, industrial) Milestones Potential pollution sources identified and localized Knowledge on quality and quantities of pollution sources gradually improved Assessment of the acute impact at the sites known to be utilized by the respective life stages of sturgeon gradually accomplished in range states |

| | | | | |
|------------|---|--|--|--|
| <p>4.3</p> | <p>Integrate the identified needs in setting-up river basin management plans as appropriate while implementing locally the EU Water Framework Directive</p> | <p>Interaction with the river catchment management authority, outlining the importance of the control of identified harmful impacts</p> <p>Establish criteria to match good ecological status with regard to the handicaps for sturgeon development in the respective area</p> <p>Include the criteria in the WFD set of measures for the respective river management plan</p> | <p>Scientific institutions, river catchment managers, policy level, public organisations, environmental agencies, regulatory authorities</p> | <p>The requirements for changes in the river basin management plans in accordance with the WFD are established and translated in national plans</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Indications for a time frame to realize management plans in key range states are established</p> <p>Wide acceptance in the general public as well as in the management bodies for the aims is generated</p> |
|------------|---|--|--|--|

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Component 3 : <i>Ex situ</i> conservation and reintroduction of the <i>A. sturio</i> | | | | |
| Objective 5 : <i>Ex situ</i> conservation of <i>A. sturio</i> | | | | |
| 5.1 | Develop a contingency plan and establish receiving facilities for accidentally caught <i>A. sturio</i> | <p>Establish contacts to commercial marine, small coastal and inland fisheries to ensure the registration and safeguarding of a wild caught <i>A. sturio</i> at sea and in freshwater</p> <p>Develop a case-by-case decision-making system to ensure rapid response to sudden and accidental catches of adult sturgeon</p> <p>Organise emergency transfer strategies for fish accidentally captured</p> <p>Allocate several reception facilities per country to ensure short transfer distances at minimum stress level</p> <p>Provide training and knowledge on transfer techniques to</p> | <p>Commercial fishery companies and fishery associations; small coastal fishery; sport angling associations and clubs; fish markets; interested Public administrations (local fishery police); coastal guard (military marine corps); NGOs such as WCS and WWF;</p> | <p>Recuperation protocols prepared (including decision path for case to case handling, traceability records of tasks, in conformity with legal and technical considerations</p> <p>Accidentally caught wild <i>A. sturio</i> specimens are handled fast, with minimal stress and safely transferred to brood stock facilities</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Yearly CITES permits as well as permits from regional(national) authorities are issued for ex-situ conservation units to facilitate immediate transfer of accidentally caught live specimens</p> <p>Contingency (“firefighter emergency”) Plans established through a rapid information</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
| | | ensure survival of specimens transferred to the reception facilities | | <p>network linking various fisheries with identified “receiving stations”.</p> <p>Standby live transportation equipment for immediate retrieval of fish captured by fisheries are established at key points to enhance greatly survival and jointly used by range states (logistics plan included; with necessary standby equipment such as tanks, oxygen supplies, transportation tanks, etc)</p> <p>Survival of recovered wild animals high after transfer to broodstock facilities</p> |
| 5.2 | Establish and expand existing broodstock as a founder population | <p>Maintain the existing broodstock utilizing best practice technology</p> <p>Ensure culture risk is split to several independently operating facilities</p> <p>Develop strategies to minimize inbreeding and</p> | <p>Research Institutions, fish farmers, especially experts in sturgeon rearing and brood stock management</p> <p>Research institutions on nutrition, genetics and stress effects</p> | <p>Broodstocks established at several locations within water basins with suitable habitats to restore populations.</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Number of rearing facilities increases of the next 5-8 years</p> <p>Number of effective</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | | <p>outbreeding depression</p> <p>Promote in-depth studies to identify the underlying causes for malformations and eventual loss of fish</p> <p>Include – after a quarantine period – additional fish of wild origin to the existing broodstock</p> <p>Improve the nutritional status of fish maintained in captivity by developing weaning techniques and appropriate (balanced) diets</p> | | <p>broodstocks increases over the next 5 to 10 years</p> <p>Number of parental fish per broodstock increases to secure regular reproductions</p> <p>Good health record established</p> <p>Genetic diversity within broodstocks maintained or improved by addition of captured individuals</p> <p>Audits of the practice for each <i>ex situ</i> conservation facility are regularly commenced</p> |
| 5.3 | Ensure characterisation of all individuals of the broodstock | <p>Provide individual tagging of all broodstock to allow (a) the identification of individuals and (b) tracking of the fate of fish and their sexual products</p> <p>Establish a database which provides insight into the individual performance and history of a specific individual</p> | <p>Institutions in charge of the <i>ex situ</i> stocks</p> <p>Research institutions and universities (including international experts across range states for specific topics)</p> | <p>An individual “passport” (as commonly used for breeding lines established in any animal breeding programme) issued and registered centrally in a data bank (including registry of characteristics and history of all fish in the <i>ex situ</i> stocks</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>All brood stock</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|
| | | Perform comprehensive genetic characterization based on mt DNA and microsatellites thereby establishing the characteristics of individuals and their degree of relatedness | | <p>individuals (founder population) are genetically analysed</p> <p>Individual tracking protocols are structured and standardized for use in ALL brood stock facilities of range states</p> <p>Registry includes sample banks for finrays and other tissues</p> |
| 5.3.1 | Ensure genetic conservation, (Gene banking) | Tissue samples are to be collected as reference for the documentation of genetic heterogeneity | Research Institutes and Universities, international gene banks | <p>Tissue and gamete banks for conservation of genetic diversity established</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Local or central tissue banks established</p> <p>Data on locally kept samples are registered in international gene banks</p> |
| 5.3.2 | Cryopreservation of sturgeon sperm to be established | Improve methods of cryopreservation without DNA damage | Research Institutes and Universities, international gene banks | <p>Cryopreservation techniques and gamete banks for conservation of genetic diversity established</p> <p>Cryopreserved sperm available for selected brood fish</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|
| 5.3.3 | Establishment of cryopreservation of gametes (oocytes, eggs, sperm) and/or embryos | As indicated under 5.3.1 but now also focussing on oocytes and mature eggs | Research institutions | Survival of cryopreserved oocytes established Cryopreserved sperm fully functional after thawing |
| 5.4 | Establish a breeding plan to maximise effective population size and genetic diversity | <p>Determine the genetic structure of the population</p> <p>Understand the effects of genetic heterogeneity in a sturgeon population as well as its historic dimension</p> <p>Understand the implications of the findings</p> <p>Assess the potential for genetic management</p> <p>Develop a breeding plan aiming at the long term stabilization and maximization of the genetic heterogeneity</p> | Research Institutions specialized in genetics, Universities, sturgeon farms | <p>A common framework for a breeding plan established</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Several studies on population genetics at brood stock facilities and from field samples (including historic samples) are initiated, underway, or completed</p> <p>Production of fingerlings from a genetically defined and selected broodstock ongoing, guaranteeing high genetic diversity of offspring</p> <p>Breeding plans established</p> |
| 5.5 | Guarantee continuous and urgent improvement of cultivation methodology | Determine the best confined environment to adapt and rear wild animals (ponds, artificial river, cages, bottom quality, | Research institutions, Universities, aquaculture systems industry | Broodstock and hatchery management manuals produced, resulting in improved performance of |

| | | | | |
|------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | vegetation, etc.) Determine the best water regimes (temperatures, water quality criteria, water flow rates and current speeds, etc.) | | the ex-situ conservation facility Milestones Improved health status and physiological performance of brood stock documented Survival and wellness of raised specimens at all life cycle stages improved |
| 5.6 | Optimise diet composition and feeding regimes according to the requirements of life cycle stages | Determine the nutritional requirements of different stage of the life cycle Test different natural foods for establishing a list of preferences Test different fresh and commercial feeds | Research Institutions, sturgeon farms, fish feed companies | Improved performance of brood stock productivity and output of juveniles achieved Milestones Feeds optimised for breeder fish Survival of critical life cycle stages improved, Growth and feed conversion efficiency enhanced |
| 5.7 | Implement appropriate risk management systems to safeguard cultivation | Audit to evaluate risks procedures to reduce risks associated with system design and operation (i.e. mechanics, fail-save-devices, lifetime of equipment: | Research Institutions, Universities, Engineering Associations dealing with risks and norms (technical standards); | Risk management strategies developed and fully applied Milestones Operational records do not show critical |

| | | | | |
|------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | pumps, electrodes, compressors, refrigerators) through appropriate hazard identification protocols, risk assessment methodology and resulting risk management strategies | Aquaculture Insurance companies | failures of employed technology because of adequate maintenance protocols System failures are rare and are adequately met by fail-safe-devices with avoiding negative effects on kept livestock |
| 5.8 | Develop towards large scale rearing of juveniles in sufficient quantities for release | Involve predictive modelling approaches to verify parameters for optimum module size for scale-up | Research Institutions, sturgeon farms, aquaculture system designers | Scale-up installations completed and running successfully |
| 5.9 | Develop methods for gamete quality assessment to allow optimal control of the maturation processes | Develop standardized protocols for quality assessment using various types of bioassays | Research Institutions <i>Ex situ</i> conservation facilities | Specific bioassay procedures (guidelines) established (in line with ICES, OECD and other common standard procedures) |

Objective 6 : Release of *A. sturio* for re-establishment or enhancement

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| <p>6.1</p> | <p>Develop a management plan for the enhancement of the <i>A. sturio</i> population in the Gironde catchment area as well as in other areas with suitable habitats in several range states</p> | <p>Monitor the trend in population structure and population dynamics of naturally occurring and spawning fish as well as of released early life cycle stages</p> | <p>Coordinating committee of the partner institutions implementing the AP</p> <p>Participating scientific institutions</p> <p>Environmental authorities</p> | <p>Conservation measures are showing gradual and long-term positive effects on population recovery in some parts of the historic range</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Ex-situ reproduction achieved allowing increased number of juveniles to be released</p> <p>Optimum size of juveniles at release established for specific receiving areas</p> <p>Increased catch-and-release records for released fish by various fisheries</p> <p>Survival of recovered specimens indicated by multiple re-catch over the years</p> <p>Gradual recovery of</p> |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | <p>wild sturgeon populations noticeable through good survival of released fish to maturity stage (larger fish)</p> <p>Natural spawning of released fish is noted in the long term</p> |
| 6.2 | <p>Set up a distribution plan for restocking material to selected river basins in Europe (within historic range)</p> | <p>Develop strategic plan on sites and numbers to be released in line with the dimension of produced fry</p> <p>Determine the time-size-release window for each site</p> | <p>Coordinating committee of the partner institutions implementing the AP</p> <p>Research institutions</p> <p>National environmental and nature conservation authorities</p> | <p>Options to stock limited number of river basins within range states which are periodically assessed and ranked as to their ecological, technical, social and political feasibility</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Specific Plans established and agreed upon by participating range states</p> <p>Schedule for release methods prepared (including alternative logistics depending on season and weather)</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|
| <p>6.3.</p> | <p>Prepare management plans (including responsible authority, monitoring, surveillance of success), for the reestablishment of populations in those rivers</p> | <p>Provide common procedures for effective intra- and infra-communication</p> <p>Establish common procedures for experimental fishery and data collection</p> | <p>Coordinating committee of the AP</p> <p>Local research institutes , specific experts and Public Authorities</p> <p>Fisheries research and management institutions</p> | <p>Management plans established</p> <p>Milestone</p> <p>Common procedures established</p> <p>Release accomplished; presence of <i>A. sturio</i> in selected basins documented via monitoring programmes</p> |
| <p>6.4</p> | <p>Guarantee gradual adaptation of reared stocking material for fitness of survival under natural conditions</p> | <p>Develop strategic methods to prepare juveniles reared in protected facilities for fitness in the harsh and highly competitive natural environment (i.e. predator avoidance capacity)</p> | <p>Research Institutions, fisheries management authorities</p> <p>National agencies involved in authorization of procedures</p> | <p>Appropriate facilities for acclimation and release established and operational</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Nursery acclimation habitats at test release points are established</p> <p>Performance of such habitats assessed through monitoring programmes</p> <p>Improvements based on test results are used to improve system design</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---|--|
| 6.4.1 | Establish appropriate rearing facilities and procedures for rearing of stocking material | Prepare and/or modify hatchery facilities where fish raised for stocking can be accustomed to local environmental conditions at release sites (e.g. water quality, adaptation to natural food) | Local research institutes specific experts and Public Authorities | <p>Handling and routine practices assure the action aims are achieved</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Good adaptation of stocked fish to local competitive conditions</p> <p>Survival records improve with time and each new release activity</p> |
| 6.4.2 | Establish the optimum time-size-release-window for juveniles allowing best survival | <p>Analysis of local (site-specific) hydrological conditions</p> <p>Forecasts of local weather conditions</p> <p>Analysis of local suitable benthic and plankton productivity (key elements of the food web)</p> | <p>Local research institutes , specific experts and Public Authorities</p> <p>Participants of national and international research and implementation programmes of the AP</p> | <p>Optimum fish size for maximum survival and optimum season for release scientifically identified</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Ecological status of release habitats known and suitability status for release purposes assessed.</p> <p>Continuous monitoring of survival through cost-effective mass</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | <p>tagging methods achieved</p> <p>Improved survival of released specimens as documented through appropriate monitoring (tagging-recovery) programmes</p> |
| 6.5 | Develop and apply marking techniques to monitor the success of the release programmes | <p>Tagging and telemetry devices adoption</p> <p>Telemetry receivers installation</p> <p>Monitor behaviour and dispersal (migration fidelity)</p> <p>Communication to local fishermen and public authorities</p> | <p>Local research institutes, specific experts</p> <p>Public Authorities</p> <p>Participants of national and international research and implementation programmes of the AP</p> | <p>Validation of tagging methods completed and marking protocols are continuously employed</p> <p>Milestones</p> <p>Recovery rate of released specimens increases and collection of telemetry data successful over time</p> <p>Survival and growth estimates possible at population level</p> |

| Component 4: International co-operation | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Objective 7 The six conservation objectives above have to be complemented by the establishment of an appropriate co-operation mechanism. | | | | |
| 7.1 | As main co-operation mechanism, a European Sturgeon Group should be set up with relevant members from range States, to specifically discuss and co-ordinate individually or jointly funded projects to implement the Action Plan | Parties interested in cooperation on specific subjects (through work-sharing or workload splitting) are to seek for a common platform to organise coordination meetings | National organisations on nature conservation (with long-term commitment to species conservation) NGOs such as WSCS as facilitator and/or meeting platform | Coordinating body established (with flexible membership in line with ongoing or planned projects) |
| 7.2 | The European Sturgeon Group should meet at the invitation of a host country or the chosen facilitating platform | Organize meeting and plan schedule | WSCS as international platform on sturgeon conservation Other NGO | Workshop schedule established, meetings held in agreed intervals |
| 7.3 | The task of the European Sturgeon Group shall be to facilitate through communication and co-ordination of national activities the implementation of the six conservation objectives by the range States. | Collect regularly information on planned and ongoing as well as completed local, national and regional projects related to the AP and identify gaps in science and technology to be filled to comprehensively implement the AP | European Sturgeon Group WSCS as platform (gathering and archiving information and documentation) | Communication established on a regular basis Minutes and project reports are archived and available on demand |

Annex 3

Recommendation No. 127 (2007) of the Standing Committee, adopted on 29 November 2007, on the conservation and restoration of the European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*)

The Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats, acting under the terms of Article 14 of the Convention,

Having regard to the aims of the Convention to conserve wild flora and fauna and their natural habitats;

Recalling that Article 1, paragraph 2 of the convention requires Parties to give particular emphasis to the conservation of endangered and vulnerable species;

Recalling that Article 3 of the convention requires Parties to take the necessary steps to promote national policies for the conservation of wild fauna and natural habitats, with particular attention to endangered and vulnerable species, especially endemic ones, and endangered habitats;

Recalling that Article 4.1 of the convention requires Parties to take appropriate and necessary legislative and administrative measures to ensure the conservation of the habitats of the wild flora and fauna species, especially those specified in Appendices I and II, and the conservation of endangered natural habitats;

Noting that the European sturgeon is one of the most threatened fish in Europe, being in a critical danger of extinction;

Recalling the 2003 Kyiv Resolution on Biodiversity, which includes the commitment to ‘halt the loss of biodiversity by 2010’, as adopted by Environment Ministers and Heads of delegation from 51 countries in the Pan-European region;

Recalling the 2005 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment and its finding that “unprecedented increased efforts” are needed to achieve the 2010 biodiversity target at national, regional and global levels;

Desirous to avoid a further loss of biological diversity in Europe;

Aware that the drafting and implementation of Action Plans may be a useful tool to redress the situation;

Recalling its Recommendation No. 59 (1997) on the Drafting and Implementation of Action Plans of Wild Fauna Species;

Recalling its Recommendation No. 41 (1993) on the protection of freshwater fish;

Recalling its Recommendation No. 116 (2005) on the conservation of sturgeons (*Acipenseridae*) in the Danube River Basin

Referring to the Action Plan for the conservation and restoration of the European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*), adopted by the Committee [document T-PVS/Inf (2007) 4 rev and 4 add];

Desirous of taking prompt action for the conservation and restoration of the European sturgeon;

Considering this action plan as guidelines for competent national authorities,

Recommends Contracting Parties to the Convention and invites Observer States to consider drafting and implementing national Action Plans for the European sturgeon on the basis of the above-mentioned Action Plan, as adopted.

TITLES AVAILABLE IN THE VARIOUS SERIES

NATURE AND ENVIRONMENT

1. Aspects of forest management, 1968 (*out of print*)
2. Freshwater, 1968 (*out of print*)
3. Animals in danger, 1969 (*out of print*)
4. A handbook for local authorities, 1971 (*out of print*)
5. Soil conservation, 1972 (*out of print*)
6. Endangered Alpine regions and disaster prevention measures, 1974 (*out of print*)
7. Air pollution problems – Manual of experiments, 1975 (*out of print*)
8. Evolution and conservation of hedgerow landscapes in Europe, 1975
9. The integrated management of the European wildlife heritage, 1975 (*out of print*)
10. Threatened mammals in Europe, 1976 (*out of print*)
11. The effects of recreation on the ecology of natural landscapes, 1976 (*out of print*)
12. Heathlands of western Europe, 1976 (*out of print*)
13. The degradation of the Mediterranean maquis, 1977 (published jointly with Unesco) (*out of print*)
14. List of rare, threatened and endemic plants in Europe, 1977 (*out of print*)
15. Threatened amphibians and reptiles in Europe, 1978 (*out of print*)
16. Vegetation map (scale 1:3 000 000) of the Council of Europe member states, 1979
17. Model outline environmental impact statement from the standpoint of integrated management or planning of the natural environment, 1980
18. Threatened freshwater fish of Europe, 1980
19. European peatlands, 1980
20. Behaviour of the public in protected areas, 1981 (*out of print*)
21. Dry grasslands of Europe, 1981
22. Alluvial forests in Europe, 1981
23. Threatened *Rhopalocera* (butterflies) in Europe, 1981 (*out of print*)
24. Birds in need of special protection in Europe, 1981 (*out of print*)
25. Inventory and classification of marine benthic biocenoses of the Mediterranean, 1982
26. Town farms, 1982 (*out of print*)
27. List of rare, threatened and endemic plants in Europe (1982 edition), 1983
28. Nature in cities, 1982 (*out of print*)
29. The vegetation of the Alps, 1983
30. Salt marshes in Europe, 1984 (*out of print*)
31. Protected marine areas, 1985
32. European dune and shoreline vegetation, 1985 (*out of print*)
33. Ecological repercussions of constructing and using ski-runs, 1986 (*out of print*)

34. Environmental education for the farming community – Experimental schemes in Europe, 1987 (2nd edition, 1994)
35. Invertebrates in need of special protection in Europe, 1987
36. Development of flora and fauna in urban areas, 1987 (*out of print*)
37. Conservation of marine benthic biocenoses in the North Sea and the Baltic, 1987
38. The protection of dragonflies (*Odonata*) and their biotopes, 1988 (*out of print*)
39. Problems of soil conservation, 1988
40. Texts adopted by the Council of Europe in the field of the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats, 1993
41. The biology, status and conservation of the monk seal (*Monachus monachus*), 1989
42. Saproxylic invertebrates and their conservation, 1989
43. Possible causes of forest decline and research programmes in Europe, 1989 (*out of print*)
44. The biological significance and conservation of Hymenoptera in Europe, 1990
45. Status, conservation needs and reintroduction of the lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in Europe, 1990
46. Conservation of threatened freshwater fish in Europe, 1991 (2nd edition, 1994)
47. Status and conservation needs of the wolf (*Canis lupus*) in the Council of Europe member states, 1990
48. Marine turtles in the Mediterranean: distribution, population status, conservation, 1990
49. Evergreen forests in the Macaronesian Region, 1990 (*out of print*)
50. Marine reserves and conservation of Mediterranean coastal habitats, 1990
51. Towards the conservation of aculeate Hymenoptera in Europe, 1991
52. The means of giving greater prominence to environmental issues in agricultural education at secondary school level, 1992
53. Présentation et étude comparative de quatre réseaux de zones protégées en Europe, 1991 (available in French only)
54. The wild mink (*Mustela lutreola*) in Europe, 1992
55. Status and conservation of the pardel lynx (*Lynx pardina*) in the Iberian Peninsula, 1992
56. The conservation of natural habitats outside protected areas: legal analysis, 1992
57. The conservation of European orchids, 1992
58. Balanced development of the countryside in western Europe, 1992
59. Rehabilitation of natural habitats in rural areas, 1992
60. Datasheets of flora species – Volume I, 1992
61. Datasheets of flora species – Volume II, 1992
62. Datasheets of flora species – Volume III, 1992
63. Datasheets of flora species – Volume IV, 1992
64. Threatened non-marine molluscs of Europe, 1992
65. Potential long-term ecological impact of genetically modified organisms, 1993
66. Conservation of freshwater fish in Europe, 1994

67. Status and conservation needs of the otter (*Lutra lutra*) in the western Palaearctic, 1994
68. Guidelines to be followed in the design of plant conservation or recovery plans, 1994
69. Status and conservation of the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) in Europe and around the Mediterranean rim, 1994
70. The integrated development of the countryside in central and eastern European countries, 1994
71. European soil resources, 1995
72. Underground habitats and their protection, 1995
73. Introduction of non-native organisms into the natural environment, 1996
74. Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy, 1996
75. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (19.IX.1979) (1982-97), 1997
76. Status and conservation of Desmaninae in Europe, 1996
77. Listing of biotopes in Europe according to their significance for invertebrates, 1996
78. A classification of Palaearctic habitats, 1996
79. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part I: *Crustacea*, *Coleoptera* and *Lepidoptera*, 1996
80. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part II: *Mantodea*, *Orthoptera* and *Arachnida*, 1996
81. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part III: *Mollusca* and *Echinodermata*, 1996
82. Legal measures for the conservation of natural areas, 1996
83. Tourism and environment in European countries, 1996
84. Compensation for damage caused by wild animals, 1996
85. Private or voluntary systems of natural habitats' protection and management, 1996
86. Management of the beaver (*Castor fiber*): towards restoration of its former distribution and ecological function in Europe, 1997
87. Introduction of non-native plants into the natural environment, 1997
88. Comparative analysis of the effectiveness of legislation for the protection of wild flora in Europe, 1997
89. Legal obstacles to the application of nature conservation legislation, 1997
90. The conservation and management of the European badger (*Meles meles*), 1997
91. Study of biotopes and habitats losing wildlife interest as a result of ecological succession, 1997
92. Guidelines for action plans for animal species: planning recovery, 1997
93. First phase report of the Trebon otter project, 1998
94. Protection of biological and landscape diversity in agricultural landscapes of central and Eastern Europe, 1999
95. Nature conservation sites designated in application of international instruments at pan-European level, 1999

96. Progress report on the implementation of the Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy, 1999
97. Action plan for *Maculinea* butterflies in Europe, 1999
98. Environmental training for tourism professionals, 1999
99. Red Data Book of European Butterflies (*Rhopalocera*), (not yet issued)
100. Action Plan for *Cypridium Calceolus* in Europe, 1999
101. Model law on sustainable management of coastal zones and European code of conduct for coastal zones, 1999
102. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Sweden, 2000
103. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Norway, 2000
104. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Denmark, 2000
105. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Finland, 2002 (not issued)
106. Status of hamsters *Criterius criterius*, *Criterius migratorius*, *Mesocricetus newtoni* and other hamster species in Europe, 2000
107. General guidelines for the development of the Pan-European Ecological Network, 2000
108. Action plan for the conservation of the pond bat in Europe (*Myotis dasycneme*), 2000
109. Action plan for the conservation of the greater horseshoe bat in Europe (*Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*), 2000
110. National and Regional Approaches for Ecological Networks in Europe, 2001
111. Action plan for the conservation of the Iberian lynx in Europe (*Lynx pardinus*), 2000
112. Action plan for the conservation of the Eurasian lynx in Europe (*Lynx lynx*), 2000
113. Action plan for the conservation of the wolves in Europe (*Canis lupus*), 2000
114. Action plan for the conservation of the brown bear in Europe (*Ursus arctos*), 2000
115. Action plan for the conservation of the wolverines in Europe (*Gulo gulo*), 2000
116. Tourism and the environment in European countries, 2000
117. Action plan for *Margaritifera auricularia* and *Margaritifera margaritifera* in Europe, 2001
118. Methods to control and eradicate non-native terrestrial vertebrate species, 2001
119. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern, 19 September 1979) (1997-2000), 2001
120. Compendium of Recommendations and Resolutions adopted by the Committee of Ministers in the field of the Environment (Russian version only) 2001
121. The micro-reserves as a tool for conservation of threatened plants in Europe (English only), 2001
122. Threatened mushrooms in Europe, 2001
123. Corridors for birds within a Pan-European Ecological Network, 2002

124. Guidelines on the application of existing international instruments in developing the Pan-European Ecological Network, 2002
125. Ecological corridors in land use planning and development policies, 2002 (English only)
126. Ecological network and local authorities – Sociological instruments, 2002
127. Ecological corridors and species – large carnivores in the Alpine region, 2002
128. Identification of the most important transboundary protected areas in Central and Eastern Europe
129. Guidelines for the constitution of ecological river networks, 2002
130. *THE PAN-ALPINE CONSERVATION STRATEGY FOR THE LYNX*, 2003
131. Code of Practice for the introduction of biological and landscape diversity considerations into the transport sector, 2003
132. Studies on transport and biological and landscape diversity, 2003
133. “High-level Pan-European Conference on Agriculture and Biodiversity” – Compendium of background reports, 2003
134. Corridors and ecosystems: coastal and marine areas, 2003 (English only)
135. The restoration of sites and ecological corridors in the framework of building up a Pan-European Ecological Network, with examples of best practices from European countries, 2003 (English only)
136. 33 threatened fungi in Europe, 2004 (English only)
137. European strategy on invasive alien species, 2004
138. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Ireland, 2004 (English only)
139. Windfarms and birds, 2004 (English only)
140. Protecting birds from powerlines, 2004 (English only)
141. European bison (*Bison bonasus*) – Current state of the species and action plan for its conservation, 2004 (English only)
142. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Berne, 19 September 1979) 2001-2004, 2005
143. Using tax incentives to conserve and enhance biodiversity in Europe, 2005
144. Action Plan for the conservation of sturgeons (*Acipenseridae*) in the Danube River Basin, 2006
145. European Strategy for the conservation of invertebrates, 2007
146. The Pan-European Ecological Network: taking stock, 2007
147. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Hungary, 2007
148. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Spain, 2007
149. Conserving European Biodiversity in the context of climate change, 2007
150. European Charter on Hunting and Biodiversity Charte européenne de la chasse et de la biodiversité (Bilingual edition), 2008
151. Guidance for the conservation of Mushrooms in Europe, (English only), 2008
152. Action plan for the conservation and the restoration of the European Sturgeon (English only), 2008

ENVIRONMENTAL ENCOUNTERS

1. Environmental training in agricultural circles, 1987 (out of print)
2. Parks, plans and people – Protected areas and socio-economic development, 1987 (out of print)
3. Workshop on the situation and protection of ancient natural and semi-natural woodlands in Europe, 1987
4. A new management of the environment in rural areas, 1988
5. Training course for managers of protected areas in Europe and Africa, 1989
6. The situation of the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Europe, 1989
7. Nature tomorrow, 1989
8. The conservation of wild progenitors of cultivated plants, 1991
9. Nature museums: tools for learning about, promoting and protecting the natural heritage of Europe, 1990
10. Colloquy on the Bern Convention invertebrates and their conservation, 1990 (out of print)
11. The situation, conservation needs and reintroduction of the lynx in Europe, 1992
12. The management of Mediterranean wetlands, 1992
13. The conservation of the Mediterranean monk seal, 1992
14. Wetland invertebrates, 1992
15. Seminar for managers of diploma-holding areas, 1992
16. Seminar on the biology and conservation of the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) (Bilingual edition), 1993
17. Seminar on the management of small populations of threatened mammals, 1994
18. Workshop on nature conservation in central and eastern Europe, 1994
19. Seminar on recovery plans for species of amphibians and reptiles, 1994
20. Pan-European conference on the potential long-term ecological impact of genetically modified organisms, 1995
21. Between the two extremes – dereliction and over-use: how shall the land be managed so as to benefit the wildlife, the countryside and the landscape?, 1995
22. Symposium on the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Bern Convention: the next steps, 1995
23. Protection of coastal areas of the Adriatic Sea, 1995
24. Seminar on the conservation of the European otter (*Lutra lutra*), 1996
25. Seminar on the biology and conservation of European desmans and water shrews (*Galemys pyrenaicus*, *Desmana moschata*, *Neomys spp.*), 1996
26. Landscape diversity: A chance for the rural community to achieve a sustainable future, 1996
27. How hunting and fishing can contribute to nature conservation and rural development (bilingual edition), 1996
28. Seminar for managers of diploma-holding areas, 1996
29. Environmental education, 1996
30. Environmental education in school systems, 1996

31. Agriculture and related activities in protected areas, 1996
32. Sustainable tourism development, 1996
33. Colloquy on conservation, management and restoration of habitats for invertebrates: enhancing biological diversity, 1997
34. Colloquy on sustainable tourism development: reconciling economic, cultural, social, scientific and environmental factors, 1997
35. Seminar on incentive measures for the voluntary creation and management of protected areas, 1997 (bilingual edition)
36. Tourism and environment: towards a new tourist culture, 1998
37. Environment conservation and the media, 1998
38. The re-introduction of the Lynx into the Alps, 1998
39. Drafting and implementing action plans for threatened species, 1998
40. Protected areas: centres for propagating a general nature conservation policy, 1998
41. Links between the sustainable development of tourism and regional/spatial planning, 1999
42. The Bern Convention and national case law: effecting implementation, 2000
43. Tourism and environment: the natural, cultural and socio-economic challenges of sustainable tourism, 2000
44. 1st international symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network: "Nature does not have any borders: towards transfrontier ecological networks", 2000
45. Workshop on Ecological corridors for invertebrates: strategies of dispersal and recolonisation in today's agricultural and forestry landscapes, 2001
46. Communication and biodiversity, 2001
47. Nature as heritage: from awareness to action, 2002
48. International Colloquy in tribute to the memory of Cyrille de Klemm: "Biological diversity and environmental law", 2001
49. Biological and landscape diversity in Ukraine, 2001
50. The partnership of local and regional authorities in the conservation of biological and landscape diversity, 2001
51. Sustainable tourism, environment and employment, 2002
52. Awareness to the landscape: from perception to protection, 2002
53. High-level Pan-European Conference on Agriculture and Biodiversity: towards integrating biological and landscape diversity for sustainable agriculture in Europe, 2003
54. 3rd International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network "Fragmentation of habitats and ecological corridors", 2003
55. Marine and coastal ecological corridors, 2003
56. 4th International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network "Marine and coastal biodiversity and protected areas", 2004
57. 5th International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network "Pan-European Ecological Network in forests: conservation of biodiversity and sustainable management", 2005

58. 2nd Conference on the Status and Conservation of the Alpine Lynx (SCALP), 2005 (Amden, Switzerland, 7-9 May 2003) (English only)
59. Invasive plants in Mediterranean regions of the world, 2006
60. Transboundary management of large carnivore populations, 2006
61. The 25th anniversary of the Bern Convention
62. 40th anniversary of the European Diploma – A network for nature and people

Questions & Answers

1. Biodiversity, 1997
2. Agriculture and biodiversity, 1997
3. Tourism and environment, 1998
4. The pan-European ecological network, 1998
5. Forests and biodiversity, 1999
6. The European diploma for protected areas, 2000
7. Integration of biodiversity into sectoral policies, 2003
8. Conservation of large carnivores in Europe, 2005
9. The Bern Convention, 2007

Planning and management

1. Hedges, 1988
2. Farming and wildlife, 1989
3. Watercourses. Conservation, maintenance and management, 1994
4. Rural landscapes in Europe: principles for creation and management, 1994
5. Amphibians and reptiles: conservation management of species and habitats, 1997

Other publications

Naturoopa journal (3 issues per year, in English and French)
 Management of Europe's natural heritage – twenty-five years of activity, 1987 (out of print)
 A European cultural revolution: the Council of Europe's "Charter of invertebrates", 1986 (out of print)
 The Bern Convention on Nature Conservation, 1991
 Contribution to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), 1993
 European conservation strategy, 1993
 The state of the environment in Europe: the scientists take stock of the situation, 1993
 Model law on the protection of the environment, 1994
 The Council of Europe and the protection of the environment, 1995
 The Council of Europe and the environment, 2002
 Texts adopted by the Council of Europe in the field of the environment, 2002 (bilingual edition)

The majority of the Council of Europe's publications are available in English and French editions.

© Cover page photos credits: High speed train and motorway, Peter Frischmuth/Bios – Netherlands, old mill and windmills, Dick Ross/Bios – France, Vosges, stream in winter, Y. Noto Campanella/Bios – Brown bear, Frédéric Fève/Bios – Germany, traditional forwarding by animal traction, Hartmut Schwarzbach/Bios – Depressed dragonfly, Felix Labhardt/Bios – Hungary, stork nest built on a phone post, Ron Gilling/Bios – Grey wolf, Klein/Hubert/Bios – European otter, J.-J. Alcalay/Bios.

